

Conclusion to the Syrian Nuclear Terrorism Scandal
Lawrence C Chin, March 2014; corrections, July – August, 2017

The following is the concluding section to the planned “The Syrian nuclear terrorism scandal, the restoration of the ICJ trial, and its immediate dismantling” (the last part of *The Secret History of the International Court of Justice*). The planned narrative is still being written, but I have decided to post the concluding section here first.

The conclusion consists of speculations on the results of the hypothetical UN Study Group’s simulation of sustainable civilization using a super version of Sentient World Simulation. It also speculates on the behind-the-scene secrets in the Boston Marathon Bombing – information which has not yet been proven by evidences but which can be digested at this time for curiosity’s sake. The principal character is “Feefee”, the main personage of the “Secret History”. Read more about him in “Feefee and Valerie”. “Monkey” refers to the father of the girl nicknamed “Pyramid”, a girl who has appeared in previous narratives. In addition, there will be mentioned a “Kiersten”, a “Karin”, a “Veronica” (Pyramid’s sister), and a “Dr P”.

THE TEXT

The Syrian nuclear terrorism scandal, the restoration of
the ICJ trial, and its immediate dismantling

Conclusion

Night, 1 November 2013, after the LAX shooting

The CIA, MSS, and SVR officers would soon go inside the control center as well, and spend the whole night inside. Tomorrow some senior PAN officials and Pentagon’s SWS officers would join in. One look at the computer system which the Monkey had been using, and the SVR officer knew what was going on. He discovered that he could not only exonerate Russia from nuclear terrorism in this case, but could actually use the evidences for this nuclear terrorism case to restore the entire dismissed ICJ trial right there on Monkey’s computer. (He certainly remembered how the French had destroyed the case.) The evidences could actually establish two cases. First of all, refer to the recording of my narration on November 9, from 1:30:00 onward. My description there is however still vague. Apparently, the SVR officer discovered that what had happened was like this. In 2011, the Monkey, disowned by his family, sought re-entry into the control center, and per chance succeeded – because the Macrospherians had let him, or did not try to prevent him. Disgruntled, he wanted revenge against me, the Russians, the CIA, and the Chinese, but also wanted to regain the confidence of his daughter the Pyramid. He took control of the computer inside the control center and reactivated it – it was still linked to the hundreds of thousands of people in Los Angeles and elsewhere who had been implanted with brain chips since November 2009. He asked the computer to figure out a plan to realize these two wishes of his. The computer did so. The computer revealed to him a plan to turn Feefee into a vandalizing petty criminal and then into a terrorist suspect, and to cause such events as the Syrian chemical weapon scandal, the Boston Marathon Bombing, and finally the current Syrian nuclear terrorism scandal. The plan probably also included Sandy Hook elementary school shooting (December 14 2012), the Aurora shooting (July 20 2012, Colorado), the Mariam Carey case of October 3, the Washington Naval Yard shooting (September 16 2013). These plans “hang together” (zusammenhangen) in a way that each compensated the shortfalls of the others. What

Conclusion to the Syrian Nuclear Terrorism Scandal
Lawrence C Chin, March 2014; corrections, July – August, 2017

I'm saying is this. Still hating Feefee, the Monkey asked the computer to, while realizing the plan of ruining the CIA, Russians, and Chinese, turn Feefee also into the violent crazy dangerous person which he had claimed Feefee was back in 2010. He would not have been satisfied with the plan to turn him merely into a petty criminal doing no more harm than vandalizing other people's property; he would like it better if Feefee injured or killed people. But the computer revealed to him that this was not really possible because it was beyond Feefee's capacity. At the same time, the computer showed him that it would be able to make Feefee look really crazy to other people and take up interest in conspiracy theories, and that, by remotely controlling a few mad men to shoot people in public places, and the conspiracy theories-obsessed Tamerlan Tsarnaev to commit terrorism, it could make people react to Feefee all the same as if he were violent and extremely dangerous, etc. People's perception of Feefee would be skewed by these other events in the background. In other words, the Monkey, with the computer's help, orchestrated the Sandy Hook elementary school shooting, the Colorado Aurora theater shooting, the Mariam Carey case, the Washington Naval Yard shooting, and maybe even other similar incidences like Anders Brevik's case. The purpose was to create a general atmosphere of fear in American society – the perception that crazy people were violent and dangerous – so that, by merely looking crazy, Feefee could give the impression of being a violent terrorist posing grave danger to the Pyramid. As you have seen, the Monkey took special delight in this kind of simple black-and-white fairy tales (“crazy people who can't distinguish reality and are confused are violent and will harm people”), and so was his daughters, the Pyramid and Veronica. Or all the white women in this story for that matter, both Kiersten and Dr P. (Karin was another matter.) Simple-minded self-centered people loves this kind of scenario because, by projecting stupidity, craziness, and violence onto the Other, she or he could feel superior and good about herself or himself. The Monkey was satisfied now, and instructed the computer to carry it out.

I'm skipping over a lot of details in the control center's mind-control torture and conditioning of Feefee throughout 2011 and 2012. The plan which the computer had revealed to the Monkey was a lot more complicated than this. The Monkey had also wanted Feefee to cut himself and look like he was obsessed with the Pyramid because he liked this kind of scenario: a dirty, ugly, insane, and violent Chincker drooling over his white Mexican princess. The computer thus revealed to him the plan to control Feefee to say all these strange things and post all these weird posts about the Pyramid in the summer of 2012. Ostensibly, the computer revealed to the Monkey that this could not only satisfy his taste in this kind of scenario, but also would have strategic functions later in the whole project of regaining Pyramid's confidence in him and creating a terrorism scandal to harm Russia, China, and the CIA. It is for this reason that the computer also revealed to him that it would have to taint Feefee's process of becoming a petty criminal with the political flavor of anti-Americanism. The plan was extremely intricate, and I will not get into this here but will describe the whole intricacy in the special chapter on Feefee's mind-control torture, where the extremely difficult theoretical issues could be made plain of how exactly the computer, under Monkey's instruction, could have turned Feefee into a “bad person” – for it was not a straightforward matter to condition a person with no criminal records into a person who habitually commits petty crimes every single day of his life, and to understand it requires a lot of expertise in neurology and psychology. Here we need to concentrate on the fact that all the disparate media events which have captured people's attention in the past two years were in fact linked, all work of the Monkey, and his purpose was: (1) to frame Russia, China, and the CIA, for the crime of orchestrating Boston Marathon Bombing and the Syrian chemical

Conclusion to the Syrian Nuclear Terrorism Scandal
Lawrence C Chin, March 2014; corrections, July – August, 2017

weapon scandal to frame France and the anti-Russian rightwing elements of the US government for framing them for these; (2) to destroy Feefee; (3) to win back Pyramid's confidence by putting her into Mexican president's arm and making her the future "Queen of Mexico"; and (4) to restore the PRI to power in Mexico and, through this, himself. To carry out all these plans, the computer may have even informed the Monkey that more people had to be chipped in the brain. The Monkey thus instructed the computer to direct those military mosquito drones to fly around the country to secretly insert nanochips into people's brain without their knowing, until the number of chipped people reached one million. Again, the Macrospherians said nothing while watching the Monkey doing all this. The UN Study Group needed the "thought-records" of a representative sample of humanity anyway, for the purpose of testing the simulated sustainable civilization.

The Monkey wanted Feefee to become crazy, criminal, and anti-American for three particular reasons: (1) to make it possible to frame Feefee for Russian- and CIA-sponsored terrorism; (2) to discredit Feefee; and (3) for his own personal pleasure. How the first objective works you should already understand, and the third objective is self-explanatory: since he didn't like Feefee and thought it injustice that people were angry with him when he changed the mind-reading computer's setting and forged Feefee's profile, he wanted to do it again. There was just too much pleasure in seeing this God-damned Chincker being hated by everyone as a crazy criminal. The second objective stands in need of a little explication, however. Apparently, back in late 2010, the French had only a slight desire to discredit Feefee (the desire was weak because they knew that nobody paid attention to Feefee anyway), while the Monkey had a strong desire to discredit Feefee. The Monkey, just like his daughter, did not want people to know that he had gone inside the control center and messed things up for everyone, and did not understand the structure of "public opinion" (what is on people's mind, what people like to talk about and pay attention to, what people will likely to believe, etc., as noted earlier) well enough to appreciate the fact that, when Feefee was left to his own device, nobody would listen to him anyway. The Monkey was an ordinary person who had had no experience with public offices, mass communication duties, or website administration – positions where one can gradually learn about the interests and belief system of the common people on a large scale. Thus his desire to discredit Feefee was strong; and thus he wanted to make Feefee look crazy so that nobody would believe anything Feefee said.

We are here dealing with what was really going on with the Boston Marathon Bombing, which was thus extremely complicated. I was completely wrong in my 5/8/2013 blog post on the matter.¹ No government was behind it; it was entirely Monkey's work. The purpose of this attack was to make it look like someone was trying to frame the CIA and the Russian government for a false-flag terrorist attack. In my blog post I have only speculated correctly on the mechanism. In order to make it look like someone was trying to frame the CIA and the Russian government, the computer, under Monkey's instruction, started looking for candidates among CIA's arsenal, and the Tsarnaev brothers were found; their family had already ties with the CIA, and the CIA had gone to recruit them. They had also plenty of ties with Russia. Thus, the control center secretly implanted nanochips inside their brain, and its computer began molding their mental processes and desires toward becoming violent, radicalized, and terrorists... The implantation probably

1 The link: <http://www.lawrencechin2011.com/blogs/2013/05/08/the-bizarre-truth-behind-boston-bombing-to-produce-evidences-for-an-international-court-trial/>.

happened sometime in the middle of 2011, before Tsarnaev brothers' trip to Russia in January 2012. Presumably, the Tsarnaev brothers had a lot more potential in becoming violent than Feefee had. Keep in mind here the analysis I have given in the Preface, "The Cheney Plan, the CIA's war with the neoconservatives, and the crimes against Russia." In order for the mind-reading computer to steer somebody's thought-process in such a way that he or she will want to inflict violence on people, the neurological pathways in his or her brain must have already developed into such structure that he or she could be induced to have violent desires. Not everyone can be remotely controlled to kill people, but, apparently, the Tsarnaev brothers could. This was rather unfortunate for them, for, because they had this potential, the Monkey had chosen them as the sacrificial lambs for his grand design. If we assume that the reports about the brothers in the media are accurate,² we can see that the older brother, Tamerlan Tsarnaev, was already of a violent temperament before mid-2011 when he was supposedly "chipped". He developed interests in radical Islam in 2008, dropped out of college to devote himself to boxing, and, because of his interests in Islamic rebels, was already coming into the notice of the Russian FSB in early 2011. The FSB notified the FBI at the time, and the FBI interviewed Tamerlan, but then closed his case. It may be because of his family ties to the CIA and interests in radical Islam that the CIA was considering recruiting him into the un-yet closed Operation Gladio Plan B network (jihadist guerrillas in Central Asia and Middle East). The FBI may have even closed his case on the insistence of the CIA. I'm not sure if Operation Gladio Plan B was still active in 2011 after this ICJ trial; if it was, the intention could not be the same anymore – I believe that, apparently, the CIA had decided to keep open its anti-Russian operations in order to prove (or pretend) to the Republicans that it was on their side rather than on Russians' side, the purpose of which you have seen mentioned and will see in details later – and it is hard for me to imagine the CIA prizing Tamerlan who they must have noticed had temperament problems.³ But this circumstance was just perfect for Monkey's plan: you can't easily find someone who has bad temper, ties with the CIA, and interests in radical Islam all at the same time. The Monkey thus ordered the computer to "chip" the brothers and to stimulate the brothers' brain and stage their environment to condition them to experience increasingly violent desires. Tamerlan was thus mind-controlled to begin committing violent crimes (the triple homicide in Waltham, Massachusetts, September 11 2011) and taking interests in ideologies with a flavor of violence, and would eventually become so deluded that he would finally lead his little brother to carry out some cheap terrorist attack and get hunted down by police. The Tsarnaev brothers were truly victims. The Monkey did this all so that, because of the brothers' obvious ties to the CIA, knowledgeable people like Sibel Edmonds and her partner James Corbett would immediately raise alarms and point out the brothers' family association with Graham Fuller, the former CIA clandestine service's operation chief in the Caucasus region and an important player in Operation Gladio Plan B network who had, most importantly, publicly admitted his CIA employment. The conspiracy theorists thus immediately accused the CIA, and US government, of orchestrating false-flag terrorism in order to accumulate reasons to take away people's civil liberties. Meanwhile, in the circle of governments, not just the US government but governments around the world, insofar as confidential and classified information was accessible in this domain, the picture which emerged was that somebody was trying to frame the CIA and the Russian

2 If they are not accurate – as is frequently the case – then the whole matter may indeed be more complicated than is summarized here.

3 It is not clear why the CIA decided to place Tamerlan on Terrorist Identities Datamart Environment database in late 2011. To cover up its intention to recruit him for Operation Gladio? In response to his crazy temperament?

Conclusion to the Syrian Nuclear Terrorism Scandal
Lawrence C Chin, March 2014; corrections, July – August, 2017

government. Since most of the CIA officers did not know about the Macrospherians' secret plans, they were absolutely baffled by Tsarnaev brothers' actions – so were the Russian government officials who were being suspected of orchestrating the Boston Bombing. The CIA really did not try to use the brothers to commit terrorist acts. It was stupid: the Tsarnaev family's connections with the CIA were so much more obvious than, say, bin Laden's or Al Qaeda's. Graham Fuller was actually telling the truth when he came on public to assert that it was absurd to suspect the CIA of orchestrating Boston Marathon Bombing. And then, when this Syrian terrorism scandal broken open, by October 4, everybody in governments' circle now believed that the CIA and the SVR had together orchestrated the Boston Bombing in order to make it look like the French and the US right-wingers were trying to frame them. It is not without special purpose that the control center had orchestrated Feefee's seeing the French movie "Crime d'amour" with Valentine on September 4, 2011.⁴ The control center was faking a secret message to Feefee telling him what was to come in two years: Sagnier's role (Isabelle) was just like the CIA's: she killed her boss but made it look like someone else was trying to frame her for killing her boss, and eventually succeeded in getting that someone (also her enemy) arrested for her crime and for framing her. Most likely, the control center's plan for the Tsarnaev brothers was already in the process of implementation by then (the brothers were being conditioned already), which is why Feefee was taken to see this movie, this "metaphor" of the "crime in planning".⁵ Thus, I'm sorry to say this: all the conspiracy theories about false-flag attacks in this Boston Bombing case are wrong, and everyone is deceived because somebody else has purposely orchestrated a bombing that looks like a government-orchestrated false-flag attack on the superficial level. In fact, to make the conspiracy theorists – who have been half-right about past false-flag attacks – look like crazy fools who are completely wrong on everything is part of Monkey's objective, or part of the plan which the computer had devised for him. On this, later. In any case, when the Monkey, from the invisible universe of the control center underneath Los Angeles, watched his chosen patsies committing crimes and violently hurting people, he must have felt the greatest joy. He utterly enjoyed clandestinely turning normal people into violent criminals without anyone knowing that it was he who was behind it all, and watching everyone else getting scared and paranoid and shouting about dangers truly believing that these violent criminals were born "crazy". The Monkey was already of a criminal personality before he got involved in PLANMEX. Ever since he was disowned by his family, he had become a loner, and hiding inside the control center to hurt people without anyone's knowing was the only compensation to his excommunicated existence. When you are excommunicated from the human

4 Alain Corneau, director; starring Kristin Scott Thomas, Ludivine Sagnier, and Patrick Mille. See the previews on Cinefil: <http://www.cinefil.com/film/crime-d-amour>.

5 My research into the Tsarnaev brothers includes these sources: The Bing News, 4/28/13; Sebastian Fisher, "Toter Boston-Attentäter Zarnajew: Der radikalisierte Muttersohn" Spiegel, 4/23/2013, <http://www.spiegel.de/panorama/justiz/portrait-des-mutmasslichen-boston-attentaeter-tamerlan-zarnajew-a-896156.html>; "Mutmaßlicher Attentäter: Tamerlan Zarnajew soll in Dreifachmord verwickelt gewesen sein", Spiegel, 10/23/2013: <http://www.spiegel.de/panorama/justiz/boston-bomber-tamerlan-zarnajew-dreifachmord-in-waltham-a-929545.html>; Marc Pitzke, "Mutmaßlicher Boston-Bomber vor Gericht: Sieben Minuten mit dem Phantom", Spiegel, 11/7/2013, <http://www.spiegel.de/panorama/justiz/erste-anhoerung-von-mutmasslichem-boston-attentaeter-dschochar-zarnajew-a-910522.html> fem. Finally, there is the entry on Dzhokhar and Tamerlan Tsarnaev on Wikipedia: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dzhokhar_and_Tamerlan_Tsarnaev, retrieved 1/28/14. And the chronology of the Boston Marathon Bombing on Spiegel: "Chronologie des Anschlags von Boston: Fünf Tage Ausnahmezustand": <http://www.spiegel.de/panorama/anschlag-in-boston-chronologie-der-ereignisse-a-910509.html>.

Conclusion to the Syrian Nuclear Terrorism Scandal
Lawrence C Chin, March 2014; corrections, July – August, 2017

community, your desires will become increasingly warped and you will find increasing pleasures in the exercise of power over people, especially in stalking people in secret and harming them behind their back – the quintessential American style for which the very “control center” was invented. You have seen Feefee himself going through the same experience.

To realize this plan, Monkey’s computer had to dupe Feefee into going to Montreal and becoming mistakenly tied to the Boston Marathon Bombing. As I have noted in the conversation of November 9, Wes was being remotely controlled to suggest to Feefee to go to Montreal, not knowing that this would get the poor Feefee into big trouble. The computer then orchestrated Feefee’s visit to Chez Paris to coincide with whatever leads in the Boston Bombing investigation would show up in the massage parlor. The computer then began remotely controlling Feefee’s computer to malfunction in order to dampen his mood. The computer had already calculated that Feefee’s mood would sink when he got to Montreal because, as soon as it triggered his pessimism by disrupting his computer activities, Feefee couldn’t possibly regard the Canadians around him as enemies and harm them in order to regain psychological equilibrium. The computer had calculated that, since Feefee wasn’t by nature malicious, he would have to develop thorough familiarity with the people around him before being able to see them as his enemies. Lacking outlets for his miseries, Feefee would then desire to come home, write crazy emails to Wes and talk crazy things to him on the phone, and become disappointed in himself. Feefee would then learn that America was his only home because this was the only place on earth where he could derive a meaning of life from harming people. Just as Feefee had expected, if he could hold out a little longer in Montreal such as Wes had suggested, he wouldn’t have been implicated in the Boston Bombing investigation. But the computer knew that, once Feefee became disappointed, he would go home anyway. The computer had already calculated that Kiersten, out of boredom and in search of others’ recognition of her genius, would do everything she could to meddle in Pyramid’s business. The last thing which the computer had to do was to control Feefee to continue to provoke the Pyramid, and then to provoke Dr P. Just as Feefee had suspected, the computer had purposely matched him with Dr P in August 2012 knowing that these two were incompatible in every possible way – although Feefee couldn’t possibly expect then that the purpose was to frame him for a series of real and imaginary terrorist crimes. The computer had scanned the brains of all the available female psychologists in Los Angeles and discovered this Dr P, another variation of the stupid, uneducated, and yet self-important American white woman of which both the Pyramid and Kiersten were other variations. The computer knew that Dr P, immersed in the same worldview as were the Pyramid and Kiersten (“crazy stupid muscle men wanting to hurt pretty, smart, weak women”), would be provoked by simple pranks to over-react to the situation as if her very life were under threat.

The computer, in orchestrating disaster in this way, however, had a hidden purpose which the Monkey had never noticed. As is noted in the November 9 recording (from 1:33:00 onward), the computer, when it carried out Monkey’s wishes, was tricking him without his knowing. First of all, the computer had purposely linked up “white female fear-mongering” with “terrorism” for reasons beyond Monkey’s comprehension. Secondly, it devised the plan to satisfy the Monkey’s wish by repeating episodes from Feefee’s past. There were many ways to link Feefee up with some terrorist attack or some imaginary nuclear terrorism scandal involving Russia and the CIA, and yet the computer chose a plan which repeated how Feefee was mistaken as a terrorist suspect in Montreal in 2005. The computer lied to the Monkey that the repetition was to ensure that

Feefee would mistake the whole process for “replacement of evidences” (for “third run”) and so look crazy to others. In reality, the computer was carrying out another hidden purpose which the Monkey could not be expected to detect because he wasn’t familiar enough with Feefee’s case: the replacement of evidence once the ICJ trial was restored. Thirdly, the computer didn’t let him know that, when everything was going well, the CIA had secretly linked up with CISEN and PAN and the “alliance” had held an ex-parte hearing with the International Court judges. Thus, the Monkey ended up getting busted, ruining his own daughter in the process. This is the “bug” which has been referred to. How did this happen? We need to understand three things here: (1) what the “Macrospherians” roles were in all this; (2) how Monkey’s computer had constructed Feefee’s “delusions”; and (3) what exactly the “bug” in Monkey’s computer consisted in. The Monkey didn’t know that, when he re-entered the control center, he was falling into a trap. The Macrospherians had already configured the computer inside. Recall how the computer orchestrated world events. Some version of World Sentient Simulation was installed on the computer so that, when it controlled the mind of a million people around the world, it could control them to act in such way that the combined effect of their actions would be the world event desired by the planner or controller of the computer, by simply reversing the process of simulation (controlling the subjects to fit its own predictions). But the Macrospherians had secretly installed on the computer this “filter” called “justice” to constrain its orchestration. If the Monkey decided to use this computer to harm Feefee and the Macrospherians and restore himself to power, then the “filter” would secretly do things to cause him to fail at the last moment and cause benefits to accrue to his victims. The “filter” called “justice” was a computer program which basically dictated that, when anyone tried to use the computer to do things contrary to Macrospherians’ interests, the computer would carry out the operations only to secretly reinforce the Macrospherians’ interests. Apparently, the Macrospherians were among the one million people whose thoughts were being read by the mind-reading computer system which the Monkey was using. But, just as I have noted, the chips inside the Macrospherians’ brain were “master chips”, so that, while their thoughts, intentions, and knowledge were picked up by Monkey’s computer, the Monkey would not be able to remotely control their thoughts. In fact, the Monkey probably didn’t even notice that the computer was also reading the Macrospherians’ thoughts because it was reading so many people’s thoughts at the same time that he couldn’t possibly be expected to keep track of whose thoughts were being read. These Macrospherians include Putin, his daughter Ekaterina, Dame Higgins, Obama, the Clinton family, the former Chinese president Hu Jintao, and all the members of the UN Study Group who have worked on the simulation of a sustainable civilization in 2010 and since then. As the computer read their thoughts, it understood what their interests were, and so, without their actually telling the computer what to do, the computer program “justice” would secretly realize their wishes and protect their interests, all on its own operation.

What, then, were the “interests” of the Macrospherians? Speaking generally, the Macrospherians’ interests were “justice”, “fairness”, and a peaceful and sustainable world. But let’s speak in the particulars. Their interests were first of all that their “favorites” (the CIA, the SVR, and the MSS) shall never be harmed in the end (Feefee’s case is a little more complicated, as shall be explained momentarily) – so that the Monkey could not possibly succeed in framing the CIA, Russia, and China and destroying Feefee – and secondly that their lost ICJ trial be restored for the sake of implementing the new New World Order and the sustainable civilization (SC) program. Thus, as soon as the SVR officer came inside the control center, he discovered that evidences here to

exonerate Russia could at once be used to restore the lost ICJ trial. This came about because, as soon as the Monkey instructed the computer to devise a plan to harm Feefee and the Macrospherians and redeem himself, his daughter, and the PRI, the computer produced a program that only looked like it was going to do what he wanted but which was secretly directed toward another purpose, that of restoring the ICJ trial. Thus, when the Monkey instructed his computer to cause Feefee to develop delusions, Feefee would develop his delusions only in such way as could eventually be used to restore the whole ICJ trial. (As will be explained, Feefee's "delusions" would be used to replace evidences and establish the rules for replacing evidences.) When the Monkey instructed the computer to turn Feefee into a crazy criminal, the computer would begin torturing Feefee to cause him to "collect damages" but only in such a way as would eventually cause the ICJ judgment in favor of the Macrospherians to become unobjectionable. Since the Monkey chose evil, the "filter" called "justice began secretly orchestrating the possibility for evidence-replacement through Feefee's development of delusions about evidence-replacement and then this "nuclear terrorism" business so that, in the end, those favorites of the Macrospherians, the SVR, CIA, and MSS, would break into the control center and discover a way to restore the whole ICJ trial. Meanwhile, the Monkey was duped: when he asked the computer to make Feefee look crazy, the computer told him it would constantly send Feefee "secret messages" and synchronize the movements in Feefee's environment with his thoughts and actions in order to make Feefee believe, erroneously, that Russia had won the trial in late 2010 and was now in the process of replacing evidences – that we were all in the midst of a "third run". The installation of the filter "justice" to constrain Monkey's evil actions was the only way the Macrospherians could have restored their lost victory. Since the trial was non-existent from early 2011 until the end of 2013, there were no Macrospherians in existence and there was no possibility for Feefee's conspiracy with them, and they had not orchestrated anything. It was the Monkey himself who had caused the lost victory to be restored to Russia and the rest. By the time Feefee found this out – later – Feefee's "delusions" had already caused the evidences to be restored and replaced in such wise as to guarantee that Macrospherians could never commit conspiracy with Feefee no matter how well he knew they were in "hidden command". The Macrospherian victory can never be open to objection, because it is the conspirators themselves who have screwed it all up. Presumably, nobody can object then that Feefee has "conspired with justice".

The thing about the ICJ trial was that, although the case was dismissed, it was never closed, so that a party to the lawsuit could always invoke the rule stipulating that new evidences may be entered to persuade the judges to alter past judgments, in order to require the judge computer to re-examine the case. Now new evidences were found, namely, the evidences used to exonerate Russia, the CIA, and the MSS in the current nuclear terrorism case. Now, what are the evidences to exonerate Russia et al in the current nuclear terrorism case? That Feefee had figured out how the French had objected in April 2010, and how the lawsuit had really worked since the beginning (November 2007), and that the Monkey had been mind-controlling Feefee, a super genius, to become a crazy misanthropic petty criminal in order to orchestrate a nuclear terrorism scandal to frame Russia, China, and the CIA. Because evidences for current episodes could be taken backward in time to replace, or enrich, past evidences, Feefee's writings – this very Secret History of the International Court of Justice where he had now figured out how the French had objected and how the trial had worked exactly – could be moved backward in time to be superimposed on the earlier episodes which they described to prove that both France's

Conclusion to the Syrian Nuclear Terrorism Scandal
Lawrence C Chin, March 2014; corrections, July – August, 2017

vandalization of the evidentiary record back in October 2010, and their attempt to dissolve the trial, were indeed France's terrorist conspiracy with Feefee against Russia. There were three essential components to this: (1) that Feefee had figured out something true, namely, how France had objected, and, additionally, how the entire case worked (the idiosyncratic enforcement of UN Resolution 1373); (2) that Feefee was delusional about a third run, namely, that the Macrospherians were allowing someone to remotely control Feefee to repeat past episodes in his life to replace evidences, as if Feefee were a conspirator against them; and (3) that Feefee had become a misanthropic petty criminal. While the first component could be used to establish France's objection and destruction of the ICJ trial as part of Cheney's terrorist conspiracy against Russia, the second component established the very possibility for replacement and enrichment of past evidences with current evidences, and thus the possibility for the very establishment of France's conspiracy. This is because Feefee was not crazy at all, but was actually more intelligent than most people. Feefee in fact perceived reality more accurately than most people. As you have seen, it is the so-called "normal" human beings (from Kiersten through the Pyramid to everyone else) who could not distinguish reality – in fact, as you shall see in the next episode, not only are they unable to understand what is real, they do not even understand that there is a difference between reality and delusion, between what is true and what is false; they have no comprehension of this thing called "reality". Most human beings are so disorganized in their mind that they don't even know there could be a reality outside their mind, and yet they label Feefee, the only person who does know there could be reality and is always attuned to it, "insane" and "unable to distinguish reality". To make someone who is intellectually superior to them look like someone who is intellectually inferior to them, these "normal human beings" play all sorts of trick to deceive Feefee, to trick him into speaking falsehood, so that they can satisfy their desire to degrade someone who is naturally above them. Thus, in the preceding episode, the Monkey instructed the computer to make Feefee despair, and then to put up false signs to make Feefee believe in non-existent scenarios out of despair, in order to make him look delusional; and, in this and in the next episode as well, everybody else is playing tricks to the maximum to justify their construction of Feefee as delusional, to deceive him into speaking falsehood, and to interpret every intellectual mistake he had consequently made, or every idiosyncratic statement he had consequently uttered, as evidence for Feefee's "delusional state". Feefee, a most sound mind, yet somehow a subhuman, had been artificially reconstructed to look "delusional" in order to satisfy delusional, yet normal, people's desire to elevate themselves as "sane" and "of sound mind". For the Macrospherians, such inversion of reality (taking genius for insanity and mental retardation for sane, normal function) was a conspiracy against them – part of the terrorist conspiracy which hurt their interests. Or rather, the matter is a little more complicated – the inversion of reality was much more wide-scale. The "terrorist conspiracy" consisted in the fact that world-history (*Morphologie der Weltgeschichte*) has reached its self-destructive phase, that consumerism is humanity's suicide attempt by making civilization unsustainable, and that, as humanity's suicide, consumerism has inverted the entire social and human reality, has caused "brain" to grow only on those socially undesirable elements whom everybody considers disposable (subhumans, like Feefee), or who are excluded from participation in society and therefore are completely irrelevant, and has required that normal, sane people – people who do participate in society and are totally relevant – shall never grow a functioning brain. In the process, it makes people mistake insanity for sanity and sanity for insanity, stupidity for intelligence and intelligence for stupidity, good for bad and bad for good. This is the "conspiracy" in its overall outline, and it has been hinted at on the previous entry for October 29

2013: consumerism has, at bottom, been able to cause all this by divorcing the institutions which humans have erected to deal with and relate to reality from that very reality itself, and in the process frying up the brain of those human beings who work in these institutions. (We will, again, further comment on this theme, of central concern to the Macrospherians, in the final, special, chapter on their SC program.) Not only were the Macrospherians entitled to reverse the terrorist conspiracy under UN Resolution 1373, but also to adapt this harm to good use. Feefee's delusion, artificially created in his mind by his victimizers, these "terrorist masterminds", that there *had* been replacement of evidences was thus adopted as a reality that there *had indeed been* replacement of evidences, so that, as the Monkey's computer had already constructed a manner in which its torture of Feefee could be reconstructed as evidences to replace and enrich past evidences, the SVR officer could simply declare to the judge computer, "New evidences found and entered", in order for this reconstruction to be entered into evidence and reopen the dismissed ICJ trial.

At which point the last component played its crucial function. In the past three years Feefee had indeed become something like the bizarre creature in the profile which the Monkey had forged of him between April 1 and April 11, 2010. Feefee was now filled entirely with malicious intent toward his fellow human beings in American society. Feefee's entire attitude toward life has changed; at every moment of his waking life he would be calculating how to do damage to the life of the human beings around him (as long as he was in United States, that is). Secondly, since Feefee was spending his entire waking life believing in a reality which did not exist (namely, that his life was about the replacement of evidences in this ICJ trial), he look ever more like someone who suffered from schizophrenia. Third, since Feefee had no friends and was always by himself, it looks as if he were autistic. And so on and so forth. The SVR officer could enter Feefee's current state of being as new evidence into the judge computer: when the new evidence was taken backward in time, to April 29 2010 when France objected, it would constitute Russia's defense that the mind-reading computer had never been tampered with: Feefee was indeed the kind of creature which the Monkey said he was. (Note, of course, that, in late 2010, the Monkey had already been commanded to re-forge a profile of Feefee as violent and delusional to which it was actually possible for Feefee to conform and to replace the original forged profile from April 2010 with this new one. It is this new one which Feefee's current state of being has confirmed as accurate.) In this way, the most fundamental "version" of the official stories about this ICJ trial was taken care of, the version in which the Monkey had never changed the setting of the mind-reading computer.

The apparently contradiction within the "fundamental version" was also explained, the contradiction that, while Feefee was delusional, retarded, autistic, and violent, he was yet ingenious enough to understand the flaw inherent within the law governing a terrorist conspiracy and cause the Macrosphere to be established. At last, when Monkey's mind-control of Feefee (evidences for the nuclear terrorism case) was taken backward in time to fix the evidences for the original ICJ case, the fundament version explains that Feefee is in fact a genius but ends up looking crazy and retarded because the Monkey has tried for three years to destroy his mental function with brain-chip mind-control. While the Monkey has succeeded in destroying Feefee's short-term memory and many basic mental functions required for daily life, it was not possible for him to destroy Feefee's higher mental function. Thus, Feefee is a genius and yet looks crazy

and retarded because somebody else has been systematically destroying his mind but has only succeeded in destroying half of it.

I suspect that some particular CIA clandestine service official who was involved in fighting off Homeland Security's onslaught was actually doing so in full awareness of the fact that the whole affair was being orchestrated by the computer under the Monkey's control in such wise that it would soon end in their favor, namely, that the Monkey would be busted, the Agency and its fellow victims exonerated, and the lost ICJ trial restored. As he watched his colleagues and his Chinese and Russian co-defendants working nervously to ward off the crisis, however, he said nothing. "Let them worry; they will discover that all this is for their good in the end..." This assumption would explain some of Wes' extremely enigmatic pronouncements, for example his statement on October 12 that the Macrospherians could make the Pyramid fall in love with Feefee by making her believe he was someone else, someone she could actually fall in love with. Wes was actually not remotely controlled to say this, but was instructed by his CIA handler to say this – the CIA handler knew what was going on. The metaphor, as you can see by now, actually refers to Feefee: the Monkey was making Feefee believe that it was the Macrospherians who were talking to him when it was really he, the Monkey, who was talking to him. This is a very important matter here: it concerns the truth-value of Feefee's delusions. How much truth was actually in them? Or, to put it in another way: if all of Feefee's delusional beliefs about Macrospherians' commanding him and the whole world to replace evidences were simply the work of the computer under Monkey's malicious command, why is it that all these "secret messages" which the computer passed to Feefee to make him believe that Macrospherians were their ultimate source bore such resemblance to Macrospherians' actual messages had they really intended to communicate with Feefee? All these "messages" embedded in Wes' words – where did they come from? Was the UN Study Group's sustainable civilization program entirely made up by the computer? Had the Macrospherians never intended to pass any word to Feefee? Well, as you have seen, because the computer was also reading Macrospherians' thoughts, it had understood them so well that it could fake them. The computer understood what those Macrospherians would say to Feefee if they chose to say anything to him, and pretended to be them talking to him. At the same time, the computer made sure to jumble up the fake "Macrospherian wisdom" so that Feefee would only get a distorted, "caricature" version of it and, when Feefee repeated it on his blog, would fit the profile of a typical "conspiracy theorist nuthead", speaking something that was sort of true but not really true. The point is that some truth had to be found in Feefee's delusions in order for the implementation of the sustainable civilization and new New World Order to work – this will be explained in more details in the special chapter devoted to the Macrospherians' program – but that some stupid-sounding falsehood had to exist in them as well in order for them to qualify as "delusions" or "conspiracy theories". When, not knowing that he was also tricked, the Monkey, from the darkness of the control center, watched Feefee believing that the Russians or Ekaterina or Dame Higgins' associates were telling him these mumble-jumble, he laughed out loud, delighted in the fact that Feefee had made himself look ever more crazy to others and the government officers who were intercepting Feefee's phone calls.

Although neither Dame Higgins nor Ekaterina was ever communicating with Feefee at all, they had in fact been listening to Feefee's phone conversations with Wes for a long time, at least since the Boston Marathon Bombing (when Feefee was "re-discovered"), if not since earlier. The

Conclusion to the Syrian Nuclear Terrorism Scandal
Lawrence C Chin, March 2014; corrections, July – August, 2017

reason was that US government's warning about Feefee to the diplomatic community around the world was still in effect: that Feefee was severely mentally ill and had a history of obsession with high-ranking people. Since references to these two women abound in Feefee's writings and in his blog, the US government was required to alert them about Feefee's "obsession with them". As "victims" of Feefee's crazy obsession, they thus, like the Pyramid, obtained privileged access to Feefee's private phone conversations. Dame Higgins, together with her Macrospherian colleagues in the UN Study Group, was thereby able to assess Feefee's current understanding of her "programs", especially the most thorny issue regarding meat-eating and international animal rights laws. (Along with Ekaterina, that is.) Again, for details, see the special chapter on the Macrospherians' program. This is in fact how Dame Higgins had planned it. She was probably even reading the chapters from the Secret History. Even without access to the computer's data in the control center, she was thus able to gauge if her "program" for the whole world had a chance of being implemented once the ICJ trial was restored. Then, when the computer in the control center read from her mind her assessment of Feefee's current intellectual development, it knew how she would respond to Feefee when Feefee asked Wes how she would respond to his questions.

The SVR's decision to restore the ICJ trial right there inside the control center, from Monkey's computer – this was the indeed the ultimate goal of the whole process. The Macrospherians, before they dismissed the whole trial back in January 2011, had simulated the whole process, and were sure that, when the Monkey asked his computer to carry out his wishes, the computer would, constrained in its actions by the filter "justice", steer the thoughts of all those people under mind-control in this way or that, only so that the whole chain of events would eventually result in this moment – where the SVR and the rest of the "alliance" would find the control center and discover the possibility of restoring the whole *procès perdu*. You see at work here precisely the original "Cheney System" (in the "Cheney Plan"). If the Russian leaders were "chipped" back in 2009, Cheney would order his supercomputer to simulate how to lead world events to nuclear holocaust with Russia playing the role of the sinning party. The super computer would then stimulate, steer, the thoughts of Putin and his associates just slightly, in this way or that, during the right moment, so that, eventually, they would, in response to world events increasingly unfavorable to Russia, make the decision of launching nuclear first strike against the United States – out of total despair. No one would ever know that someone else was behind the whole "history" – not even Putin would notice that he was remotely controlled by Cheney to do all this. Putin had merely adapted the whole "Cheney system" to the purpose of "discovery of the lost trial".

If the whole process had been previously simulated to result in the restoration of the ICJ trial, you can then understand why the process contained these specific themes which, at first sight, seemed to have nothing to do with terrorism or Cheney's crimes. Since the purpose of this ICJ trial was the sustainable civilization program – since the whole reason why Cheney orchestrated the global War on Terrorism was that human civilization was not sustainable (Peak Oil, etc.) – the root cause for the unsustainability of human civilization had to be included in the simulated process – as part of Cheney's "terrorist conspiracy to harm the world". This is where we find those themes which Kiersten, the Pyramid, and Dr P, as "types", specifically represent: the root cause which we know as "consumerism". The UN Study Group has diagnosed the root cause for Peak Oil and the impending collapse of human civilization in our current consumerist economic

Conclusion to the Syrian Nuclear Terrorism Scandal
Lawrence C Chin, March 2014; corrections, July – August, 2017

model. They have then discovered the chain of causation leading up to the War on Terrorism: consumerism gives rise to feminism, feminism gives rise to fear-mongering (that specific way of making oneself feel special and superior through projecting oneself as the pure and innocent victim and the Other as the evil and corrupting victimizer), and fear-mongering conditions American society into the so-called (or what Barry Glassner has called) “Culture of Fear”, only on the basis of which could Cheney have succeeded in hyping up the whole world into a frenzy over mostly non-existent terrorists when it was he himself who had orchestrated 911 attacks (and more). It was a tremendous waste of resources. And why? Cheney thought it justified because human civilization was about to collapse and common people were too stupid for the elites to explain complicated matters to them and spur them to do the right thing. And why the impending collapse? Alas, it was because consumerism, this quintessential American invention, American life-style par excellence, is unsustainable in the long run – and yet it is about to be adopted by China and India and is being actively pursued by the rest of the developing world. This is why Feefee’s writings, in the Thermodynamic Interpretation of History, on the connection between consumerism, feminism, and the “culture of fear” is regarded by the UN Study Group as so enormously important – even though, in his genealogy of feminism, he has wrongly diagnosed the detrimental effect of feminism. In the Thermodynamic Interpretation of History it is argued that feminism is one of the major causes for the impending extinction of the human race because it is a major contributor to Global Warming. In reality, feminism, as a *dispositif* of consumerism, is not leading to the extinction of the human race through destruction of the earth’s atmosphere, but to the collapse of the human civilization (and human population bottleneck) through exhaustion of earth’s hydrocarbon energy reserves.

When the International Court of Justice shall issue a judgment demanding the global implementation of sustainable civilization program – the new Agenda 21 – this is a program designed specifically to reverse the consumerist economic model. The reversal will also mean the reversal of that specific *dispositif* of this consumerist economic model, namely, feminism, and of that specific feminist mode, namely, victimization mentality (“victimology”) and fear-mongering. When it is said earlier that American culture is a deadly disease affecting the whole humanity, it refers specifically to the problem that victimization mentality and fear-mongering, crystalized into “political correctness”, have become the foundation of all UN programs in the developing world. This political correctness sounds really good – helping the victims reject their oppressors and stand on their own feet at last – and so becomes indisputable; in reality, it is merely the covert transplantation of American consumerism onto the rest of the world, so that, while corporations might expand their operations into the developing world, human civilization might accelerate its unsustainable exploitation of earth’s hydrocarbon resources base and “die sooner”. In order for the International Court of Justice to issue an order to contain, and kill, this disease called “political correctness”, its most explicit representation, feminist fear-mongering, must be made one of the principal themes of this previously simulated chain of events, insofar as, when the lost ICJ trial was restored, this chain of events would be used to enrich past evidences and become part of Cheney’s (and Sarkozy’s) “terrorist conspiracy”, thus subject to reversal by nations around the world under the enforcement of UN Resolution 1373.

Finally, consumerism and feminist fear-mongering are intimately linked to another concern of the UN Study Group: the accelerating simplification of the human mind and the human experience under consumerist life-style. Another reason why “victimology” has become

increasingly prominent as the instrument for constructing one's self-esteem is that the human brain is increasingly no longer capable of more complex scenarios than the simple, black-and-white fairy tales (ugly, violent, and stupid monster wanting to eat up the beautiful, pure, and smart virgin girl; or bad terrorists wanting to hurt freedom-loving good people, etc.) as it searches for achievements of which it can be proud. On the one hand, the increasing consumption of objects (consumer products) both requires, and results in, the simplification of the human mind (the reduction of this reflective organ to mere intestine, as has been expounded in the Thermodynamic Interpretation of History under the concept "noosphere consumption"). On the other hand, the simplification of the human mind requires, and results in, the construction of self-esteem under a passive, instead of active, mode. To be good, one no longer actively does good; this is now too hard on the mind, which is no longer capable of complex operations. To be good, one merely has to passively suffer evil, which any person can do. One just has to be a victim. Thus, consumerism quickly finds its ally, another *dispositif*, in the early revolutionary ideologies which first appeared in the nineteenth century and according to which victims of oppression, by virtue of being victims, are automatically assigned both moral and intellectual superiority. Furthermore, consumerism requires ordinary people to acquire a high self-esteem, for people in traditional cultures, because they are ridden with guilt, prefer to save for the future rather than consume all there is at the present moment, which is bad for corporations' profit margin. Everything thus comes together – all these things "hang well together" (zusammenhang): simplify the human mind, promote their conception of victimhood, increase their self-esteem, and thus switch them from the mode of "saving" to that of "consuming". It has been noted in the Thermodynamic Interpretation of History that, while men were promoted during the constructive phase of capitalism, women are promoted during the consumption phase of capitalism because women not only represent a massive economic reservoir hitherto untapped, but also because they, by nature or nurture, are more interested in consumption of immediately perceived, concrete objects than men are, who are more interested in the construction of abstract entities on a large scale (nation-building, corporations, etc.). Major corporations thus increasingly favor women's welfare over men's. They will favor exporting political correctness to the developing world because, when it helps women (or "victims") stand on their feet in those backward places, it merely turns them into consumers rather than anything more significant. In telling this story, therefore, while it constitutes the final episode of the Secret History of the International Court of Justice, we have at once completed, and corrected, certain key insights in the previous Thermodynamic Interpretation of History.

The UN Study Group wishes to rid the world of feminist fear-mongering because this ideology (or "victimology" in general) accelerates unsustainable consumerism while making people more and more stupid. When people are becoming more and more stupid, they become increasingly unable to jump out of the trap of extinction because they can no longer understand anything. This is why the UN Study Group regards the increasingly simplified mind, along with the ideology and life-style which it supports and which condition it, as the most serious threat to the survival of human civilization. When people are stupid, they do things that cause others and themselves to die faster while believing they are helping others and themselves to live longer – just like the Chinese emperors who, constantly swallowing magic potions believing these would make them immortal, inevitably died much sooner from chemical poisoning – and are utterly incapable of

being dissuaded by the wiser elites from their self-destructive actions.⁶ Dame Higgins must have elevated the negation of the stupidity of common people to top-priority in the project to save human civilization when she was awakened by the Russians, autumn 2009, that she had been deceived by Boss Cheney. Cheney, as we have seen, had deceived her into authorizing his genocidal and fascist plan because he pointed out to her the obvious: that ordinary people are so stupid that you can never save them by just telling them straightforwardly what to do to save themselves. They will not understand what you are saying and will not listen to you. They will just panic, if anything. It's not just because ordinary people don't understand science, but also because their brain has lost so much of its substance that they can no longer process complicated information. The only way to save people is to deceive them onto the right paths with easily understood fairy tales, such as "Terrorists hate your freedom". Whether you are on the right (like Cheney) or on the left (like Dame Higgins), you simply cannot overcome this obstacle to all necessary changes, the mental retardation of the 95 percent of human population. This is why Dame Higgins had gone along with Cheney in adopting the policy of "duping people for their own good". Now she regretted it because, in the process, she was duped herself. She thus decided that, when you cannot save people from themselves because they are too stupid, instead of duping them onto the right path, you should try to make them smarter. The UN Study Group has thus adopted the "radical policy" of restoring the human mind to its original, intelligent state, despite the "dumb-down" effect of both digital technology promoting automation and laziness and mass, democratic government based on deception and secrecy. To save human civilization, people need to be able to understand the instructions to save themselves, rather than being duped into carrying out the instructions under some other pretexts. It is however not clear to me if Dame Higgins and her UN Study Group fellows have concluded that a transitional period might be required where people are nevertheless being duped onto the right path – the path toward restoring original human intelligence in the next generation but not in the current generation because, just as a child who has never learned to speak by 5 or 6 year-old will forever lose the ability to speak, it might not be possible to restore intelligence in the current generation who have already irrevocably "lost their mind". This might correspond to the device of proletarian dictatorship preceding genuine democracy in Marxism, or of racial absolutism preceding racial harmony and equality in many white supremacist ideologies.

6 The science of compassion might sound very encouraging indeed. Emma Seppala begins her report for the Association of Psychological Science (*The Compassionate Mind*; May/June 2013) in this way: "Decades of clinical research has focused and shed light on the psychology of human suffering. That suffering, as unpleasant as it is, often also has a bright side to which research has paid less attention: compassion. Human suffering is often accompanied by beautiful acts of compassion by others wishing to help relieve it. What led 26.5 percent of Americans to volunteer in 2012...? What propels someone to serve food at a homeless shelter, pull over on the highway in the rain to help someone with a broken down vehicle, or feed a stray cat?" She then proceeds to summarize the scientific evidences for the beneficial effects of compassionate acts on human physiology and psychology. It might be natural for people to feel good when they help others and do the world a lot of good. But do they really know if they are doing the world a lot of good? I'm afraid that the UN Study Group's simulation might have very well demonstrated that, when ordinary people put away their time and energy to work for charities or be engaged in altruistic causes, the actual effect of their actions on the world is harm to the planet's resources base, and even harm to the very people they are trying to help. The cognitive state or belief system "I have done the world a lot of good" which has caused the physiological changes in body chemistry resulting in the feeling of happiness and the state of health is no more than an illusion. Truth is harsh, as any wise person knows.

Conclusion to the Syrian Nuclear Terrorism Scandal
Lawrence C Chin, March 2014; corrections, July – August, 2017

I believe the UN Study Group's notion is not that helping victims of oppression stand up is wrong (of course not), but that the whole process has been hijacked by the major promoters of consumerism to promote their own cause rather than the victims' cause, or the whole humanity's cause – just as, as soon as the second wave feminism took off in America itself, it was hijacked by economic forces to become a *dispositif* of consumerism. In other words, as soon as one invents a cure for the disease, the disease quickly adopts the cure and turns it into a poison which reinforces the disease rather than curing it. This is a very Foucauldian insight, to be sure, and is the central theme in the Thermodynamic Interpretation of History: that Power always quickly, and adroitly, turns resistance against itself into reinforcement of itself. The same phenomenon occurs everywhere, and, when the more discerning mind notices it, the effect is to quickly cause disillusionment with the whole cause. This is most apparent in the domain of conspiracy theories. Read, for example, Ian Wishart's *Air Con: The Seriously Inconvenient Truth About Global Warming* (2009), in which he thoroughly exposes the hidden agenda ("profit calculation") of the politicians and corporate executives who have been promoting the climate change agenda and dismisses, in the end, the whole business as a non-existent problem. While Global Warming, or anthropogenic climate change, may indeed have been an exaggerated problem, the skeptics are motivated primarily by the hypocrisy in the promoters of the Global Warming agenda. When they notice that these Global Warming stars, big corporations and so on, are fear-mongering about climate change in order to make money, they declare the whole climate change business a made-up story. Similarly, when the libertarians notice that bureaucrats in the UN are promoting agenda 21 or, specifically, population-reduction in order to increase their power at the expense of nations' sovereignty,⁷ they declare the whole issue of unsustainability of industrial civilization, and specifically over-population, to be made-up problems. This is very unfortunate because the problems are real enough; just because profiteers are inventing fake cures to a problem in order to scam money off people, this does not mean that the problem is not real. The concern of the UN Study Group, therefore, must be the replacement of the "fake cures" with "real cures". Thus, New Feminism, for example.

I have somehow acquired the impression – without much evidence, to be sure – that, for this project of New Feminism, the UN Study Group has in fact constructed several, or at least two, versions. One might be fake and the other real, or they might be alternatives to each other. Which one would be used or adopted depending on the circumstances (on the specific cultures, for instance) and on the players involved. It is very important to note that, so far, feminism has built up a solid intellectual tradition only in United States and France; in everywhere else feminism is about practice and hardly backed up by any comprehensive, and consistent, ideology. Moreover, French feminism (this intellectual tradition) is very different from American feminism (this intellectual tradition) and has very little effect on how things are run in France in regard to women's issues. French feminism is a highly sophisticated, and esoteric, intellectual construct confined in small circles in universities and has little to do with real life. The focus of the UN Study Group therefore must have fallen entirely on American feminism. Although, as is noted in the Thermodynamic Interpretation of History, few American women whose lives have been significantly altered by the women's movement from 1960s to 1980s have ever heard of any of the feminist theorists who have been toiling in universities and obscure revolutionary

⁷ Like William Jasper in his February 2011 article, "Your Hometown and the United Nations' Agenda 21": <http://www.thenewamerican.com/tech/environment/item/6911-your-hometown--the-united-nations-%E2%80%99-agenda-21>.

publications, the ideology which the theorists have advocated has indeed been absorbed, in simplified forms, in the experience of American women (though chiefly among white women). This imperfect absorption of a revolutionary ideology by the masses has been explained by applying the Heideggerian notion of “artists” to the American feminist theorists. That there are different versions of New Feminism must, as anyone familiar with the issue would assume, hinge on the question of whether the cultural feminists (whose orientation has dominated the women activists’ perspective in the US) are correct in asserting that women, by bringing into the public domain their special experience conditioned by maternal instincts, can make the world a better place, a more humane world. In the foregoing story a certain hostility might be evident toward American feminism insofar as the “fear-mongering” which the principal women figures manifested is precisely the result of the absorption, on the level of the masses, of the cultural feminist ideology. But it must be noted that the criticism of feminist “fear-mongering” has in fact no bearing on the essential question of whether the infusion of women’s instincts into the public domain could indeed make the world a better place. It is not our intention to make this central tenet of American feminism the point of controversy. Without access to the simulation of the UN Study Group, one in fact cannot answer this central question at all. Without such access, however, one can still be sure that the criticism is legitimate, and points up a real flaw in the cultural feminist ideology. There can be no dispute that the feminist ideology has been hijacked by consumerism. This is openly admitted even by the famous industrialists themselves. For example, in his 2005 interview with Alex Jones – one of the most well-known pieces in the circle of conspiracy theories – Aaron Russo has divulged that Nelson Rockefeller himself has confided in him that the elites look favorably upon “women’s liberation” (women’s going to work) because this can double the tax-revenue of the state. What a validation for one of the central theses in the Thermodynamic Interpretation of History, that the purpose of the “liberation of women” is to increase production and consumption. The hostility in this story toward the feminist ideology is not directed toward the central tenet in question, but toward two particular fatal ideological components in American feminists’ thinking which have been absorbed into the experience of ordinary American women: “driving” and the internalization of male-defined female sexuality.

It was mentioned that the most fatal flaw in American feminist thinking is the unitary focus on the goal without consideration of the side effect – when the side effects might very well be the actual goal in a “setup” where one is manipulated by the power structure to pursue a diversionary goal in order to unintentionally accomplish the power structure’s real aim as the side effect of one’s goal. The American feminist theorists have never considered the possibility that, even if they are quite right, before the motherly perspective can even make a dent in the masculine world, these women’s mere driving to work has already done ten times more damage to the earth’s resources base. The “trap” is of course not inevitable; if the American feminists have at the same time encouraged men not to work while encouraging women to work, or if they have encouraged both to use public transportation, they would have avoided the “side effect”. The second fatal flaw in American feminist thinking can be illustrated with two opposing examples of the raped victim: a sensitive woman who is raped and so traumatized that she tries to kill herself, and a prostitute who is raped but doesn’t care, calls the police to arrest the rapist, and then goes on with life as if nothing particular has happened. It is not clear if the feminists would agree with the realization – which we suppose is a claim which the UN Study Group would have made – that the patriarchal ideology of women as sex objects (for which “purity” or “impurity” is an

Conclusion to the Syrian Nuclear Terrorism Scandal
Lawrence C Chin, March 2014; corrections, July – August, 2017

utterly important issue and the most proper categorization), which has given rise to women's guarding of their virginity on the one hand (in traditional societies) and the blatant and ubiquitous images of women's sexuality (in the "liberated" societies of the West) on the other, has in fact been internalized by American feminists insofar as American feminists have an obsession with the sexual victimization of women by men. Namely, that the foundation of American feminism is actually just patriarchal ideology from which the feminists have never succeeded in escaping. On a daily level, ordinary women have never been liberated from this patriarchal notion either, even though the feminists have liberated them from the kitchen and taken them to offices. This is why being raped can be so traumatizing. (In the past, the men think from their perspective: "Oh, somebody has already fucked her. I don't want her. I want this one. Nobody has fucked this girl yet." As if having been fucked and not having been fucked is the essence of this thing called "girl". Once the girl has internalized this kind of valuation, then she will feel very bad about being raped but not very bad about being stabbed or robbed by criminals, as if absolutely important, decisive, qualitative difference exists between rape and other forms of victimization.)

We have to suspect that this is a criticism which the UN Study Group would want to make to the American feminists because the cause of the disaster in the preceding episode is precisely the white women's (except Karin, perhaps) internalization of this (supposedly) unhealthy attitude toward their sexuality. Both Dr P and the Pyramid were completely incapable of interpreting Feefee's action in a gender-neutral fashion, as simple acts of vandalism and revenge harassment – and we have seen that Feefee's motivation had nothing to do with his initial physical attraction to the two women – but insisted on misperceiving the situation as an instance of sexual (or rather, sexually toned) victimization. Meanwhile, Kiersten was not even relevant to the whole incident: a complete outsider. But she wanted to be "on the inside" and be harassed because this would validate her being an instance of ideal womanhood, so to speak. She could only have dreamed of harassment as validation when she has completely internalized the very patriarchal notion of female sexuality.

Let us for the sake of hypothesizing suggest that there are two versions of New Feminism revolving precisely around the named central tenet of cultural feminism. The first version would confirm that the cultural feminists are correct. The second would however affirm that they are wrong, and that women's participation in the public domain has in fact made things worse. Let us then hypothesize that the reason for this is the commonly held notion that women are less capable of abstract thinking than men. This is in fact not true; the matter is much more complicated. For convenience's sake we may define "abstract thinking" as the higher domains of mathematics (way up in the upper divisions of calculus), critical thinking (such as philosophy, both analytic and continental), and theory-formulization (the "Grand Narrative" which is hardly popular in this post-modern age). Unfortunately, the studies on the underrepresentation of women in these traditionally male domains tend to focus on the higher mathematics domain. Abstract thinking is much larger than that; but, again, for the sake of hypothesizing, which is all that is important here because we are only here speculating on the general outlines of UN Study Group's simulation of sustainable civilization, we may talk about "abstract thinking" as if it were entirely comprehended in higher mathematics and logics. The factors which are usually discovered to account for the underrepresentation of women in math-intensive fields are male superiority in spatial thinking and mental rotations, which are thus thought to underlie higher

mathematical abilities. A cursory review of some classic literature on this subject⁸ however seems to suggest that the inconclusiveness of all the researches (as to how much of this is caused by sex differences in biology and brain structure and how much by cultural factors; in the United States as least, the factor of social discrimination seems to have entirely faded away by now) is really caused by the inadequacy of research methods (such as the lack of exclusive focus on the tiny “right tail group”, in which male predominance is all that which accounts for the underrepresentation of women in these domains). We shall here decide to ignore the usual approaches of the previous researches and suggest a new line of reasoning. This is not based on any empirical researches surveyed, but is devised to speculate on the general outline of the UN Study Group’s simulation results. Amidst all the confusing conclusions reached in past researches on this topic, a peculiar picture seems to suggest itself: (1) males’ predominance in STEM (science, technology, engineering, and mathematics) professions (the math-intensive fields) seems to be the result of the fact that there are greater variations in abilities among the male population than among the female population; (2) it also seems to be the result of the fact that women are simply less interested in these fields because they have a greater preference for the concrete reality in front of them (the world of people who can talk back and of objects which can be touched) and are less impressed by the noetic world of pure ideas (which cannot be touched and with which verbal interactions are impossible);⁹ and (3) both these factors seem to be the result of the fact that males are more aggressive than females. Aggression has resulted in the fact that males are more likely to go into the extreme – on both the good end (as geniuses, for example: the so-called “right tail group”) and the bad end (as psychopathic criminals: the so-called “left tail group”) – which would mean that the portion of the male population that is simply “average” (not too good, not too bad) is much thinner than it is among the female population. This explains why females *on average* score and perform better than males in academic settings and yet why they are conspicuously absent in the top STEM professions: the STEM professions only recruit from the extreme cases on the “good end”. It is curious to note that while women are consistently underrepresented in highly abstract thinking fields such as STEM and philosophy and are far more likely to lose interests in, and withdraw early from, these domains, they always perform, *on average*, better than their male counterparts in preparatory courses in these domains. It just seems very strange that the most obvious answer to this mystery is rarely found in research literature on this topic: namely, because women are more passive, they are more rule-abiding, are less likely to “go out of bounds”, and so perform better in the procedure-based settings such as in a classroom. We must also suggest that it is the greater aggression in males which have driven them more often toward that realm of abstractions where the empirical reality dissolves into the Platonic ideas which are only hinted at in the empirical reality but are never manifested by themselves (the central topic in Plato’s *Republic*). In addition, this seems to be the case as well in the case of underrepresentation of women in (American)

8 The most classic study must be the works of Stephen Ceci and Wendy Williams at the Cornell Institute for Women in Science: <http://www.human.cornell.edu/hd/ciws/index.cfm>. See the most comprehensive summary of all relevant studies: Stephen Ceci, Wendy Williams, and Susan Barnet, “Women’s Underrepresentation in Science: Sociocultural and Biological Considerations”, *Psychological Bulletin*, 2009, Vol. 135, No. 2, 218 – 261; and then Stephen Ceci and Wendy Williams, “Understanding Current Causes of Women’s Underrepresentation in Science”, December, 2010: <http://www.pnas.org/content/108/8/3157> . .

9 Hence the breakdown of discrimination has allowed women to outnumber men in the biological sciences, medicine, and psychology, and become majority in law, etc., but has scarcely made any difference to the minority position of women in physics and engineering.

politics. While research has demonstrated that women do not suffer from discrimination, either from institutions or from voters, when they run for office, the reason why they are underrepresented in public offices seems to be that they are simply uninterested in entering politics, their lack of interest reinforced by their outdated perception that society still discriminates against them. Again, it seems to be the case that, because women are passive, they have less ambition.¹⁰ Less interested in those “larger”, or “more abstract things”, they prefer a life of stability and comfort among consumer products, and become targeted by corporations’ advertisement (hence it is important to check on the researches which indicate that TV commercials and programs are more often geared toward women than toward men) since they often spend, not just their own money, but also their male partner’s money, on consumer products, and are buying, not just for themselves, but also for their family members.¹¹ A vicious cycle of mutual reinforcement between two bad sides: the worse news for the UN Study Group. Meanwhile, those ambitious, power-hungry (trashy) men, when they strive to make more money than they can ever spend, typically dispose of the money by reinvesting in order to expand their business empires; it is not quite clear to outsiders what their motivation is since the senseless expansion serves no practical purpose for them. They seem to be motivated simply by the abstract idea that they have a lot of (inexpendable) money and a lot of power. It seems that men are still stuck in the “spirit of capitalism” (Weber) while women have been conditioned into the “spirit of consumerism” (A Thermodynamic Interpretation of History). Women indeed seem to be more in tune with the new electronic consumer culture, as studies have persistently shown women to be more avid users of Facebook and hand-held electronics – precisely those new technologies whose very purpose is to kill off the human brain.¹² Instead of taking pride in women’s taking their “special experience” into the public domain, the feminists should, again, change their focus toward the insidious tactics which the corporations are employing to kill off their brain so as to shake money off them.

The point is that, without the aid of computer simulation, one is often too concentrated on the ideological component of one’s goals and loses sight of the real consequences of one’s actions. This applies not just to ordinary feminist organizations in the domain of civil society (like the UNPAC Manitoba used as an example earlier), but also to government institutions set up to

10 C.f. Jennifer Lawless and Richard Fox, “Men Rule: The continued under-representation of women in US politics”, Women & Politics Institute, Jan. 2012.

11 We can use two examples to illustrate the situation. It is so bizarre that UNPAC (United Nations Platform for Actions Committee, Manitoba) has actually set up a project, “Women and Economy” (<http://www.unpac.ca/economy/index2.html>), in order to celebrate women’s purchase of 80% of all consumer products sold. C.f. “Women as Consumers”: <http://www.unpac.ca/economy/consumers.html>. Another website of this kind: She Economy: A Guy’s Guide to Marketing to Women (<http://www.she-economy.com/facts-on-women>). I show you how to brain-wash me into a consumption machine! A buying slave to suck dry our earth’s resources! Where do these women’s brain go? Perhaps using too much Facebook and the Internet (see below). These kinds of brain-washed women’s “empowerment” websites never end. So concerned with women’s empowerment, are these women’s organizations really losing sight of the fact that they are falling into corporations’ trap? Would it really offend the feminists to point out that the capitalists are fucking with their head?

12 E.g. Alexis C Madrigal, “Sorry, Young Man, You’re not the Most Important Demographic in Tech”, The Atlantic, June 8 2012: <http://www.theatlantic.com/technology/archive/2012/06/sorry-young-man-youre-not-the-most-important-demographic-in-tech/258087/>. Refer again to Nicholas Carr’s *The Shallows: What The Internet Is Doing To Our Brains* (2011) and Manfred Spitzer’s *Digitale Demenz: Wie wir uns und unsere Kinder um den Verstand bringen* (2012).

promote women's wellbeing and gender equality, like the UN Women¹³ established in July 2010. One sees this in history all the time; Feefee has learned this even while reading Deutscher's volumes on Trotsky. Many of the leaders of the Bolshevik revolution, like Trotsky, were quite educated in philosophy and history, and were hardly the kind of blind, naïve leftwing enthusiasts so typical among the young "rebels" in society defying an authoritarian regime (like the Pussy Riot who will be mentioned below). They understood the pitfalls in revolutionary efforts to create a better, more just society, and yet they ended up creating disasters and killing each other in the process – all because they were simply unable to foresee the consequences of their actions – something which individual humans simply cannot do – and so were blindly led onto the wrong path by what Hegel has called the "cunning of reason" (List der Vernunft). Since the Macrospherians are a group of revolutionaries hiding inside the UN, and since they know that central planning by a group of geniuses guided by a super computer which can predict the future – which can simulate the consequences of any action and policy before its implementation – is the only way to devise global policies that will be truly effective, they would naturally develop an interest in utilizing existing institutions for central planning, like the UN Women, to implement their versions of New Feminism. But the problem of bureaucracy and political correctness would crop up here again to obstruct the implementation of any truly effective measures. The problem posed by bureaucracy and political correctness is manifested when you observe the UN bureaucrats in action: unlike the directors of feminist organizations in the civil society, they have been typically government officials, and so understand how the mechanisms of nations and society works, have often access to national security secrets, and understand public opinion (what is on people's mind, what people can understand, etc.). This is their strength. The bureaucrats in UN's women organizations are more intelligent than the feminists in civil society in this sense. However, when they are shown the shocking results of the SWS simulation of the consequences of the policies they have been promoting – that the nice sounding, because politically correct, programs aimed at promoting women's participation in main-stream institutions in countries around the world would result only in the acceleration of unsustainable civilizational (economic) processes, even though "sustainable development" is one of their agendas in their women's programs – they would not be able to understand why. How is it that their good intention to promote women's welfare and protect earth's resources at the same time only ends up accelerating the depletion of these resources? Although, unlike the feminists in civil society, their "self-esteem" would not be hurt if they suddenly are told that their well-intended actions will produce just the opposite effects – because they are bureaucrats and are not in this business to assuage their psychic wounds or aggrandize a sense of superiority as is frequently the case among leftwing revolutionaries – they have never had the kind of education in philosophy and critical theories to disillusion them about the nature of human society and to help them interpret the results of computer simulation: if the very institutions of society are designed to be unsustainable, how can you expect to have sustainability when you send more people (this time, women) to participate in them? Unless the very functions of human institutions are fundamentally altered, women's equal participation in society is incompatible with any goal of sustainability. In the same way, if these very institutions of human society are poisonous, women's equal participation in them is incompatible with the goal of promoting women's welfare – it is in fact harming them. We see this in both "She-economy" and Madrigal's piece which have just been used as illustrating examples. They are celebrating women's empowerment through shopping the most and communicating electronically the most – one the cause of

13 United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women: <http://www.unwomen.org>.

Conclusion to the Syrian Nuclear Terrorism Scandal
Lawrence C Chin, March 2014; corrections, July – August, 2017

unsustainability and the other the cause of women's increasing stupidity – and complain about corporations' not advertising their products to women more often. This is like celebrating women's empowerment when they smoke more cigarettes than men and complaining about Marlboro's not trying harder to market cigarettes to women. It is not the case that feminists, or feminist-oriented women, have no common sense at all; they have never complained about the garbage disposal business being male-dominated or about the fact that there are more men than women wandering homeless or rotting in prison. Somehow, when it comes to economic activities and electronics, they cannot see that women are killing the earth and killing themselves at the same time through equal or greater participation. Again, this is because most people, including many government bureaucrats, do not have the philosophical education to guide them through the deception which social conventions are exercising upon them.

In the case of the bureaucrats, the impasse is unavoidable, because, by running bureaucracy, they don't have the time to educate themselves about the nature of society by reading philosophy and critical theories; and by educating themselves about the nature of society by reading philosophy and critical theories, the intellectuals do not have the time to get into governments and become bureaucrats. Those who know how to rule never get to rule: the same problem which occupies Plato in the *Republic*. It is only Dame Higgins who has decided, late 2010, that her group of intellectuals, who normally would never have any political power, must take control of all governments in the world through the restoration of this ICJ trial and the enforcement of UN Resolution 1373.

It is important to note that the UN Study Group's goal is sustainable civilization, and is therefore getting into the business of feminism only because it is mainly women who determine the pattern of human consumption of earth's resources. In a country like the United States, it is women who purchase most of the consumer products produced. Women are doing the purchases not just for themselves, of course, but for the whole household. Thus, unless gender roles change, the responsibility for sustainability rests on women. It is thus far more important to make women "smarter" in regard to the true nature of what they are doing. And yet women are dumbing themselves down more aggressively than men by text-messaging more and perpetually stuffing their face into Facebook.

Now, in regard to feminism in the United States, which of the two versions of New Feminism is correct hinges on the question of whether it is abstract thinking which is more effective in making the world a better place or whether it is "special experience conditioned by maternal instinct" which is so. It shall be suggested here that, for the feminists in civil society, it would in fact make no difference in praxis whether the first version or the second version – the two versions based on opposite empirical facts (maternal instincts vs critical/ quantitative/ theoretical thinking in making the world a better place) – is correct. The course of action for the feminist seems to be the same. Whatever the status of women's "special experience", it is hard to imagine that the feminists would be opposed to any project to raise women's ability for abstract/ quantitative/ theoretical thinking – that is, to increase the frequency of finding women in the extreme cases (on the good end, of course) – given the fact that STEM professions and critical thinking fields are male-dominated, that empirical results seem to indicate a male superiority in these domains, and that this does not seem to have resulted from discrimination. If you can make a "super woman", combining both male advantage and female advantage all in one, why would

Conclusion to the Syrian Nuclear Terrorism Scandal
Lawrence C Chin, March 2014; corrections, July – August, 2017

you not do it? We can therefore be fairly certain about the content of the UN Study Group's New Feminism even when we do not have access to their actual conclusions based on SWS simulation results: it consists of three sub-projects: to balance women's work with "driving"; to redefine women's notion of their own sexuality; to change the patterns of women's (and perhaps men's) participation in society and purchasing habits; and to (paradoxically) raise the level of aggression among women as a way to increase the frequency of "extreme cases" (on the good end, that is).

The project to increase the frequency among female population of "extreme cases" on the good end (the "right tail group") is intimately linked, however, to an issue which might very well offend the feminists: the issue of elitism vs mass participation. It is very possible that the SWS simulation has shown that human society will be far better off if it is led, and dominated, by a tiny minority of geniuses while everybody else simply follows the lead and that the opposite of this model, mass participation without the clear guidance of a small group of genius elites, spells doom for human civilization. This conclusion would be very unpalatable to the cultural feminists who derive satisfaction from the mass participation of women in the economic cosmos and who cannot do so if the power to guide the society's functions is concentrated in the hands of a tiny minority of elites – when the elites are invariably male-dominated. Throughout history, however, this is simply the harsh truth: that human civilizational achievements are invariably the work of a few geniuses who came from the "right tail group" (extreme cases on the good end) while the masses simply vegetate away their life – and males appear far more frequently in this "right tail group" than females. Empirical researches have also demonstrated that the more people are allowed to participate in a certain sort of intellectual activity, the lower the quality of the products. The feminists must be advised to face this possibility: that women's mass participation in public institutions might not bring the desired results (making the world a better place), not because women are not smarter (*on average* they are indeed "smarter" than men) or not kinder, but because they are too average, and that the feminists, in order to achieve gender equality and make the world a better place at the same time, might place their bets, instead of on flaming up passion among the vast majority of average women, on aggressively breeding a small group of elitist women for service in leadership positions in science, critical thinking, and politics. They should at least consider the strategy of concentrating resources on promoting those highly intellectual women nerds whom nobody pay attention to because they are unattractive and who toil quietly in obscure corners of universities; it might just be that it is this small number of obscure women who could do the world a lot of good, and that whether the vast number of average women stay home or work in offices is of no consequence to the world at all. (It is not even clear if it would be of any consequence to themselves.)

Using math-intensive fields in academia and STEM positions as examples of the current situation with "right tail group", statistics is certainly not encouraging. Women in STEM professions and or who hold PhDs in math-intensive domains are far less likely than their male counterparts to be married with children. Women who hold PhD in these intensively intellectual domains are also more likely than their male counterparts to be married to a partner who is also a PhD holder. This bespeaks the fact that female nerds are even less popular in society than male nerds, and so are even less likely to attract the attention of the opposite sex. (Presumably, while women may marry a nerd because he has obtained tenure track and has a stable income, only intellectual men are willing to marry women nerds because the women's intellect is all that remains to attract, and it can only attract another intellectual who understands its value.) The

Conclusion to the Syrian Nuclear Terrorism Scandal
Lawrence C Chin, March 2014; corrections, July – August, 2017

cultural expectations of our post-modern world, reflected in mating practices, discourage the formation of intellectuals (the right tail group), more so in females than in males – and yet it is precisely these tiny minority who can understand the problems when human civilization is under threat of extinction and who are therefore most urgently needed. Why are the feminists in civil society, and the bureaucrats in governmental women’s organizations, concentrating their attention on the status of the average woman and not on the situation of the female extreme cases – when they are already less frequent than male extreme cases – when it is the latter who are actually more important to human civilization?¹⁴

We now turn our attention from the issue regarding the legitimacy of American feminism to the gender-neutral issue of the psychological construction of the Self in the post-modern age. When an increasingly simplified mind is combined with a very high self-esteem, the result is increasing selfishness. Incidentally, increasing selfishness among urban population is – it is safe to assume, without yet access to the UN Study Group’s simulation results – another major concern of the UN Study Group. This must be why the preceding events were orchestrated also to bring to the forefront the incredible selfishness inherent in the dramatis personae which, under normal circumstances where everyone is socially polite, can never manifest. The problem is not necessarily a moral one, but is a problem because of the agenda of sustainable civilization. In other words, it is a problem out of strategic concern for humanity’s future. Furthermore, the problem is specifically urban, a problem in big cities where individuals are atomized, and not so much a problem in agricultural communities where individuals live in a network of large, extended families. The UN Study Group must have concluded that it is impossible to achieve sustainability of industrial civilization (the “urban life”, that is) unless people learn to work together to promote the common interests rather than working separately to promote their individual interests. If human (industrial) civilization were not at stake, presumably it is not such a big deal if everyone is so selfish: hence the problem is not a moral one. While analytic philosophers debate about the objectivity of human morals, anthropologists will easily attest to the fact that morals emerge in human society because they promote the survival of the human

14 I sometimes wonder if the whole issue about increasing representation of women in the domains of high-level abstract thinking is all but superfluous. Male superiority to women in these domains – at least as it is usually measured in math-intensive STEM fields – is so universally attested to that the politically incorrect, extremely offensive La Griffe du Lion has been able to calculate the natural ceiling of women’s representation in STEM fields to be between 22 to 27 percent, without discrimination and yet absent social policy intervention. This, in other words, is presumably the index of the “natural” difference in frequency of “right tail groups” between men and women. (“Women and Minorities in Science”, September 1999: http://www.lagriffedulion.f2s.com/women_and_minorities_in_science.htm.) If women are not naturally gifted in this kind of things and if they have no interests in this kind of things either, this makes those programs designed to artificially increase young girls’ interests in math and sciences (for example, the “Introduce A Girl to Engineering” program created by the ExxonMobil Foundation: <http://news.exxonmobil.com/press-release/exxonmobil-engineers-encourage-girls-envision-careers-science-and-math>) very strange. This kind of programs is basically about encouraging people who are neither good at the job nor interested in the job to do the job, so that the overall quality of the work of this job can be dragged down to the gutter. As shall be noted later, one of the major reasons for the decline of post-modern society’s intellectual vitality (especially in regard to the self-destruction of American society) seems to be precisely women’s participation in society: their doing jobs for which they are not naturally suited and their massive influx into jobs which are parasitic and harmful to society (law, marketing, psychology) or over-abundant (medicine). But then it really is presumably unfair if the sexual division of labor shall be allowed to persist – not that fairness is that important if everybody is content with the unfair state of affairs – so that increasing the frequency of the “right tail groups” in women is really the only rational option for liberal feminists, though not for cultural feminists.

Conclusion to the Syrian Nuclear Terrorism Scandal
Lawrence C Chin, March 2014; corrections, July – August, 2017

social organization. No human society can survive if there are no “Ten Commandments” in place to restrain individuals’ actions toward others, however much the commandments may become clichés over time and be abused by those in power for selfish purposes. While traditional morality (these commandments) still exists in fossilized form in human discourse, when the simplified mind with a high self-esteem learns it, it becomes simply a veil of immoral, or amoral, selfishness. In the preceding, a Nietzschean technical term has been coined for this phenomenon, the aforementioned “Ape Morality”. The women in this story, the Pyramid foremost, are exemplifications of this “Ape Morality”.

Along with the Pyramid, the Homeland Security CO chief also epitomized the “Ape Morality”. The preceding narrative has described how he, in order to make a name for himself in the American system, had attempted to destroy his neighbor the CIA, and continued to do so even after he had been enlightened to the fact that the CIA was innocent and that the terrorist crimes he had been investigating were made-up stories. Not to mention CIA’s Chinese and Russian counterparts. Try to imagine how the CIA clandestine service officers felt toward the CO chief’s action – when the man’s uneducation and lack of character were so self-evident. It’s like: George is out of toilet papers to wipe his ass after he has taken a shit, and you have just figured out the solution to quantum gravity which will at last explain the origin of the universe. But George comes along, takes your latest solution away to wipe his butt with it, and then flushes the solution down the toilet. The CIA was supposed to let this community-college graduate destroy one of the most sophisticated intelligence system which many geniuses have spent decades building up just so that this worthless retard may make a name for himself. Thus the principle of “Ape Morality” may be further characterized: give no qualm about taking care of your slightest inconvenience, or promoting your slightest interest and pleasures, at the expense of others, even at the expense of others’ life-work, and even at the expense of the entire humanity. The Pyramid was practicing the same principle when she obliges the Mexican tax-payers to spend one million dollars a day just to help her realize her fantasy of “innocent girl being victimized by disgusting and violent monstrous males” and thereby feel good about herself. Everyone else in the story has been practicing the same principle: when Dr P found graffiti on her car, she expected Dr R to put aside all her good times with Feefee and work solely to take care of *her* problems – whatever Dr R wanted was never in need of consideration, and Kiersten would give no qualm about destroying Feefee’s entire life’s work just so that she may, like the Pyramid, fulfill some fantasy about “her Goddess medicine curing violent men who can’t respect women’s space” and thereby feel herself to be a special person.

Homeland Security CO chief’s practice of Ape Morality is of course nothing particularly novel in the history of politics. In American history, everyone is familiar with McCarthyism. Joseph McCarthy was a man with no special talent to promote himself in the political arena; but he discovered a “niche” for himself in anti-communism. And so he went around falsely accusing anyone he could of communist ties, and thus made a name for himself. That he had in the process ruined the career of countless good people who otherwise had a great future in front of them was something of no significance to him. That everyone in today’s society is in fact just another Joe McCarthy who had not yet manifested himself – veiled by the usual practice of social etiquette and politeness – is, perhaps, the point which the UN Study Group wishes to make when the filter “justice”, understanding their view of the world, orchestrated the preceding chain of events.

Conclusion to the Syrian Nuclear Terrorism Scandal
Lawrence C Chin, March 2014; corrections, July – August, 2017

In the domain of ordinary life, “Ape Morality” is just the modus operandi of criminals. Again, the serial killers, for example, epitomize “Ape Morality” in the criminal domain. For his momentary pleasure, a serial killer finds, and kills, a pretty woman. The fact that the woman has a future in front of her, or that her parents have spent tens of thousands of dollars and two decades of their life to raise her, is of no concern to the killer. He kills her, and wastes all her future and her parents’ effort, in an hour or two, just to feel some pleasure which lasts a few hours. Again, keep in mind the distinction between “reactionary” and “actionary” serial killers. You may say with some justification that a “reactionary” serial killer like Glen Rogers purposely practices Ape Morality with his victims in order to fulfill “Human Morality” with society, which means that he is still a Human and not an Ape. But at least he looks like he is practicing Ape Morality. As you shall presently see, this is the key to Feefee’s mind-control suffering in the past three years. The purpose of the Monkey’s control center was to make Feefee forfeit Human Morality and adopt Ape Morality. Since Feefee by nature practices Human Morality, the only way to make him adopt Ape Morality is to make him practice Human Morality under the cover of Ape Morality. The control center systematically victimizes him, and causes other people to practice Ape Morality on him, so that, to fulfill Human Morality, he will have to practice Ape Morality on others and society. He will then look like he was practicing Ape Morality, and look like an Ape, just like everyone else. Presumably, whenever the control center notices a Human surviving somewhere in this Ape Society called “America”, it will move into action and reduce that Human into an Ape.

When “Ape Morality” is exposed like this, it seems very strange that anyone would find it laudable. It is merely immaturity, the childish mode, “brain less developed”. The bizarre thing about American culture is however the fact that it has been able to promote Ape Morality as a noble way of being by repackaging it in the garb of “liberation from oppression” or “enlightenment” or “human rights”. It tells people that they are, by nature, entitled to good treatment and meticulous catering and encourages them to claim this “right” or entitlement: you must “stand up for yourself”. Thus emerges that peculiar stereotype of the annoying and demanding Americans who constantly complain about this and that. The stereotype is well-known in foreign lands, that caricature of the stupid, ignorant, but complaining American tourists, but in America itself it can also be felt by those working on the receiving side, such as servers in customer services... They have felt that annoying fascism, “The customers are the kings”, but do not condemn it in ethical terms because catering to every arbitrary whim of the customer is how you can make your business prosper. This is the whole point here: how consumerism has an interest in turning people into selfish babies and pushing back the progress which humanity has made in taking into account, not just one’s own instincts and desires, but also those of others. Just recall those accidents lawyers’ commercials: “You should fight for your rights, and call us...” encouraging, rather than discouraging, people who have barely suffered anything in a minor traffic accident to exaggerate their injury and harass other people with it just to enrich themselves. Again, McCarthyism, demanding to be served, and then that self-righteous sense of entitlement... The American culture has been inverting traditional morals, presenting what used to be condemned, like selfishness and self-centeredness, as some sort of good thing, all because this can increase the wanton consumption of resources... It’s “good for the economy”. Although this sort of infantile attitude might increase sale of products and services in the short-run, the UN Study Group has discovered that it is a deadly disease which will drive humanity off the cliff through unfettered exhaustion of earth’s limited hydrocarbon energy

Conclusion to the Syrian Nuclear Terrorism Scandal
Lawrence C Chin, March 2014; corrections, July – August, 2017

reserves. They have thus decided to embed this American attitude in the pre-simulated chain of events as part of the “terrorist conspiracy” so that, in the end, it may be reversed with conscious efforts of governments from around the world under obligation to enforce UN Resolution 1373. While the Pyramid has certainly exemplified this American attitude of entitlement in this narrative, Kiersten will play out this theme to even greater perfection in the next, final episode.

The final cause which shall not be overlooked in the regression of the post-modern human to self-centered being is the isolating effect of social media and the Internet in general. While industrialization has broken down traditional, extended family to nuclear family, and while consumerization of economy has further broken down nuclear family to single persons who are sometimes juxtaposed with a partner in a sexual relationship but who often don’t even do that,¹⁵ the Internet and online networking and social tools have discouraged the atomized, free-floating individual from even meeting others of its kind. The process is hardly new, but is simply the last straw in the trend started when televisions became widespread in the 1950s. It is said that community has disintegrated with the invention of the TV set because, once everybody has a TV, nobody goes out anymore to meet his or her fellow human beings in clubs and associations. Study after study has shown that online socialization has tremendously decreased people’s desire and occasions to meet others face to face. Left in isolation, the human being of course thinks nothing except about himself and herself, and becomes increasingly self-centered in perspective, losing sight of what might be going on in the minds of others. Such isolation would furthermore accelerate the atrophy of the mind; prisoners whose brain deteriorates under condition of solitary confinement certainly attest to this truth – especially since the information which the person of the digital age is absorbing on the Internet consists mostly in junk, fantasies and senseless images that do not reflect the external reality in any way.

You can now understand the didactic purpose in the central theme of this story, the description of the psychology of three (white) women: the despicable girl nicknamed “Pyramid”: stupid, selfish self-centered, bad-to-the-bone, mentally ill, living in a fantasy world and without any care for what is real outside her head; Kiersten, the sick, traumatized, controlling, predatorial, bored, and stupid American white woman; and Dr P, the self-important and ignorant pretty white woman (another American type). The filter “justice” in Monkey’s computer knew that Macrospherians would have wanted the whole process purposely in this way in order to expose the impoverished existence in the interior of the diseased white female types (not every type, but the diseased type). The purpose is to expose not only certain flaws in the American feminist spirit but also that general horror of consumerism which has already been named and which shall summarize all of the previously named evils in a single stroke: the “simplification of human beings” (or of the human experience) – a process which has begun since the onset of consumerism in the 1960s and is now greatly accelerated in proportion as digital technology becomes ever more developed and prevalent. When we speak of the “atrophy of humanity on all fronts” – cognitive, moral, and emotional – this means just a process of simplification of human beings. Human beings are becoming increasingly simple in every one of their domains of functioning. They are, in other words, fast losing consciousness and are fast regressing back to animal instincts and reflexes, and eventually, to vegetative life. As you have seen, “Ape Morality”, and its concomitant “entitlement mentality”, are really just simple self-centeredness which characterizes children before they have matured enough in brain capacity to be able to imagine themselves in other

15 C.f. Chapter 4 of A Thermodynamic Interpretation of History, “The Liberation of Women”.

Conclusion to the Syrian Nuclear Terrorism Scandal
Lawrence C Chin, March 2014; corrections, July – August, 2017

peoples' shoes. The "Apes" are precisely those creatures for whom "reality" has shrunk to the immediate range of the Self – anything other than the Self is non-existent, unnoticed, and can consequently never be understood. Most of the universe, most of reality, escapes the mind altogether. This is the ultimate meaning of the "atrophy of humanity" in the "common people". Under consumerism and American culture, the adult humans are fast regressing to children's state, and the process is masked by a prevalent ideology so that, even while considerations for others are passed down from generation to generation in social politeness and etiquette, everybody practices childishness in every other situation without even noticing it. The cause of all this is the simplification of the human mind as required, and encouraged, by consumerism and increasing replacement of human activities with machine/ computer automation resulting in the atrophy of normal human abilities due to lack of exercise. Somehow, it seems that the UN Study Group has orchestrated – or, rather, would have wanted to orchestrate – this chain of events in order to produce a lesson which we could use to supplement, clarify, and enrich the insight that is given in "The Consumerization of the Mind" in the Thermodynamic Interpretation of History. The cause, consumerism, is now enriched with the additional characterizations of digital media, computers, electronics, peace, prosperity, crimelessness – all the good things that are celebrated in the American success story. The pitfalls of the success story are completely overlooked: increasing atomization of the individuals and social isolation, selfishness, decreased tolerance for injustice and unpleasant experiences, and increasing stupidity. To complete the diagnosis of the post-modern disease, we may bring in here as well the principal difficulty which Feefer encountered in his wanderings throughout 2012, that he could not find any friend in society to understand what he said because everybody was both too busy and seemed to suffer brain damage.

Thus, another factor which has not yet been named in the simplification of the human mind is the increased work load which human beings experience under consumerism. Ironically, as you have seen, many people bring this upon themselves simply because they have been conditioned by the dominant consumerist ideology to believe that they should make something out of themselves by starting up some business – and most of the time, since the business they have started is completely superfluous to market demands, when they have never calculated if other people would even have the time to consume the new services they are offering, the business fails and only ends up eating up all their savings in the process: they have accomplished nothing other than consuming up more products and services which other businesses provide for "start-ups". Overwork can also be caused by over-consumption, which thus forces one to work a long time. (The case of Annukka.) Increased work load, often unnecessary, combined with the electronic communication technology which permeates the consumerist society, has caused the equivalent of brain damage on a mass scale. The brain damage, as we have seen, seems to consist of two types: one is thought-process disorder. This is where the ability to reason simply breaks down whenever people begin to talk about something beyond simple descriptions of daily life events. When people are required to talk about the structure of reality or how the world works or discuss ethical, religious, and political issues, the things they say seem more like the "word salad" typical of schizophrenic patients. A bunch of mumble jumble. Or they can be simply repeating politically correct slogans. Or they are simply unable to grasp the simplest concepts, as if they suffer from mental retardation. Occasionally a disorganized bunch of oft-heard positive thinking and cliché wisdom. This type of brain damage seems to be prevalent among the mass of uneducated people. The second type is where the mind is only capable of interacting with its own

Conclusion to the Syrian Nuclear Terrorism Scandal
Lawrence C Chin, March 2014; corrections, July – August, 2017

content. When you say something to people, something more complex than simple description of daily living, they will respond by talking about something else. This something else is not necessarily retarded; it can even be a very sophisticated, self-consistent intellectual construct, but it has nothing to do with what you are talking about. This type of seeming brain damage, as you have seen, occurs frequently when Feefee discusses theoretical matter with educated people.

Yet another factor which causes artificial brain damage in consumerist society – although this seems more like a function of democracy and political correctness – is the diversity of viewpoints – both necessary and unnecessary: namely, both the increased specialization in academia and human knowledge in general (which is necessary given the complexity of reality and the limitation of human intelligence and life-span) and the proliferation of pseudo-intellectual metaphysical and superstitious garbage in the common people's domain. This latter is the sort of wanton diversity which you have seen Kiersten advocating. This problem is made far worse by worthless democratic and equality ideology which even someone whom Feefee respects, like James Corbett, has been brain-washed to advocate.¹⁶ Besides these two types of brain damage, the diversity of viewpoints also makes each person's world view (sensory experience, cognitive map) increasingly incomprehensible to another person, causing the other person to lose interest in what you have to say. Thus the isolation and atomization of the individual is further reinforced, with the attendant consequence of increasing self-concern. When the two types of brain damage and one type of increasing disconnect between people's idiosyncratic sensory experiences and worldviews cause us to be unable to connect with each other, we then try to comfort ourselves with the slogan "You have your reality and I have mine" or "We need to respect each other's different viewpoints, for diversity makes the world great." The result of this "politically correct world" is that we no longer have any close friends, and have to travel to the other end of the city just to find someone who has some common interests. The consumerist order likes it this way because, then, we can only find refuge in the inanimate world of consumer products.

When looked at from a different angle, the "atrophy of the mind" – stupidity, selfishness, and artificial brain damage – looks like that "ultimate machine", the metal casket which, when you touch a switch, snaps open to reveal a mechanical hand which closes up casket again.¹⁷ The other side of the stupid, atrophied mind is people's no longer being able to hear and understand each

16 James Corbett has the habit of encouraging other people to set up their own broadcast news site like he has done, believing that the more common people disseminate their own views (in contrast to "official stories"), the better informed they will be. (The most popular conspiracy theory, or alternative media, website in German language, Alles Schall und Rauch, <http://alles-schallundrauch.blogspot.com/search/label/Medien>, expresses the same view: "Everybody should become a journalist for everybody else".) The reality is, of course, just the opposite: people will become more stupid. See Corbett's podcast, October 7 2013: Make your own media: <http://www.corbettreport.com/solutions-make-your-own-media-video/>. This is just one of many on his website. Another one is his November 11 2013 podcast: <http://www.corbettreport.com/whats-your-view-on-bitcoin-qfc011-video/>. Why does he want more people to do what he does, when he is the person who does it better than anyone else? "More people" mean more "mediocre intelligent people". Why do you want more stupid people clogging up the airway with their second rate opinions? This only makes the voice of intelligent commentators less noticeable, and so contributes to the dummification of the masses. Here you see another instance where, when people are hurting themselves and each other, they are dumb enough to believe that they are helping themselves and each other.

17 C.f. "The Consumerization of Mind".

other. The UN Study Group's concern must be that it is consumerism which has caused each person's mind to close in upon itself, becoming unable to receive inputs from another mind (thus not hearing and not being interested in others' point of view on things and being content with the political correctness garbage "You have your reality and I have my reality"). Consumerism has caused this presumably because the mind has become more and more absorbed into its relationships with consumer products and media content; the consequence is that each person then has a world view that is different from and incompatible with every other person's world view because his or her world view is formed only from the consumer products and media content he or she has encountered (all "representations"), rather than from conversation with other minds. Since there are more varieties of consumer products and media content than all the people on the planet put together, no two persons will absorb the same products and media content; each person's world view is thus the result of a unique combination of a tiny subset of the total amount of consumer products and media content that exist. Thus, we no longer have a common view upon reality, unlike people in primitive tribes where all the people in the same tribe believe in the same gods and see the world in the same way. The diversity of viewpoints makes it impossible for people to cooperate to achieve a common goal – and it's not as if any of these viewpoints actually describe reality at all. So what's the point of such diversity? "Wanton diversity". You cannot save the planet from the coming crisis of resources shortage if people cannot work together. Ironically, in this democratic world where we are accustomed to diversity and respect for diversity, what is most urgently needed is in fact an effort to homogenize our world views. A common world view should run through all of us, and this world view is favored over others because it describes reality more accurately while others are just fantasies: *aedquatio intellectus et rei* (the traditional definition of truth). Many people, like Feefee's retarded ex-friend Kiersten, would call this "fascism", but that's because she was brain dead and couldn't see how she had been duped by consumerism to "respect others' opinions as if all opinions are of equal value" – all in order to doom everybody. In fact, as we have all seen, she doesn't even respect others' opinions at all; she doesn't respect Feefee's opinion that, while a simple-minded ideology imposed from above is indeed stifling to the mind, pointless proliferation of diverse opinions can only confuse the mind and shut it off from what might possibly be true.

Again, the matter depends on the topic of discussion. People can still hear each other when they share with each other stories about what they did with their boyfriends or girlfriends on the previous night. It is when the topic of conversation becomes more complex than this, it is when people begin to talk about how the world works, etc., that they inevitably lose sight of each other.

The last factor which has combined with the previous elements of consumerization to destroy the human mind is human society's natural tendency toward bureaucratization. This is where the problem of bureaucratization discussed in the entry for October 17 falls in. Refer to the analysis of the problem there. Unfortunately, because American society is the most evolved society in the world, it is also the most bureaucratized. This Weberian problem is intimately related to the famous problem of McDonaldization: increasing mechanization and simplification of procedures to maximize efficiency and production. Every society tends to bureaucratize in order to maximize the dominant economic model (its form of production and consumption), and so the path on which American society has bureaucratized is McDonaldization. Unfortunately, because the American way has the tendency to become the way of the humanity, the whole world is at

risk of McDonaldization. We have already seen earlier (the entry for October 17) how bureaucratization and McDonaldization destroy the human mind. We may thus sum up the causes for the simplification of the human mind: the (often wanton) diversity of viewpoints, electronic communication, and increased work load, all of which destroy the mind while elevating self-esteem and intensifying selfishness in order to increase consumption.

As we have said, “the universe hates a vacuum”. These are the pitfalls of peace and prosperity. No sooner have we eliminated famine and warfare and racial and religious strife than these new sets of problem, new diseases, comes in to besiege us. If the so-called “new New World Order” is supposed to bring about eternal peace and sustainability, would not we be in danger of different kinds of atrophy? It is no coincidence in this respect that, days after the LAX shooting, Feefee would find Issac Deutscher’s *Trotsky* echoing the UN Study Group’s expressions on this matter – the computer in the control center had orchestrated this:

There were those who, with Nietzsche, argued that a classless society, if it ever came into being, would suffer from excess of solidarity and that it would lead a passive and herd-like existence in which man, his competitive and fighting instincts extinguished, would degenerate. [*Namely, the very problem of atrophy of human functions under peace, prosperity, and comfort.*] Yet socialism, far from suppressing the human instinct for emulation, would redeem it by turning it towards higher purposes. In a society free from class antagonisms there would be no competition for profit and no struggle for political power; and man’s energies and passions would concentrate on creative emulation in the fields of technology, science, and art. New “parties” would spring into being and contest with one another over ideas, over the planning of human settlements, trends in education, styles in the theatre, in music, and in sport, over schemes for gigantic canals, over the fertilization of deserts, the regulation of climate, new chemical hypotheses, and so on. The contests, “exciting, dramatic, passionate”, would embrace society as a whole, and not merely priest-like coteries. “Art will therefore not be starved of those varieties of nervous energy and collective psychological stimuli” which produce new ideas and images. People will divide into rival artistic “parties” according to temperament and taste. The human personality will grow, refine itself, and develop that priceless quality inherent in it – “the quality of never contenting itself with what it has achieved”.¹⁸

There thus seems to be a way to go around the pitfalls which Nietzsche and others have warned in regard to prosperity and peace, maintaining human beings in healthy and developed state and yet in peace and prosperity at the same time. (Refer back to the recorded conversation of October 27.) Trotsky’s repudiation sounds suspiciously like the Macrospherian program for preserving both peace and the human mind simultaneously while aligning humanity altogether to achieve sustainable civilization.

We have noted that the “Macrospherians” are a secret order formed within the United Nations with the express mission to save human civilization under the obligation, imposed on all nations of the world, to enforce UN Resolution 1373. To save human civilization, the secret order has not

¹⁸ *The Prophet Unarmed*, p. 195.

only to dismantle the traditional geopolitical opposition between East and West, but also to contain, and kill, this disease called “American culture” which is destroying the future of human civilization. It must be emphasized once again that the whole problem rests on the level of ideologies, institutions, and conventions which have encompassed the entire culture. In normal settings of socialization, everyone understands that being selfish is bad and that sharing is good, and everyone, to be polite on the dinner table, offers something on one’s own plates to others. And yet, when a minor traffic accident happens, one is successfully encouraged by lawyers, institutions, and the ideologies regarding one’s “God given rights” to harass another human being for non-existent injuries to profit oneself. The ideology of “rights”, “civil rights”, and “human rights” have somehow the capacity to turn a normal adult into a infantile “criminal” in a single second. This is the American culture of entitlements, born out of humanitarian ideology, which the UN Study Group must have decided to target. American culture is evil in that it is able to make people mistake, suddenly, as if through some magical sleight of hand, what everyone knows to be bad for what is really good. This fact however places those few Macrospherians who are themselves Americans in a strange position. For they are asked, for the sake of the whole humanity, to not only renounce American unipolar world-domination, but also to kill the very culture in which they have grown up. One may point to other similar occurrences in past history, such as the White Rose in Nazi Germany, and claim that this is not something unique.¹⁹ The White Rose however was only attempting to overthrow their own government, not to fundamentally alter the very way German people live their lives. What makes the current episode so unique in world history is that it is the very culture, the very structure of society, the very way of living, perceiving, and believing which is the target of destruction. Those Macrospherians who are Americans can only have adopted this strange mission when the SWS simulation of sustainable civilization produces indisputable proof that, when everybody on the planet lives like an American, not only will industrial civilization collapse altogether and the majority of human beings die from starvation, but people’s brain will have been so crushed that nobody will even understand how all this has happened. The whole matter will be much easier for people in the CIA to digest than it is for the politicians like Clintonites because the CIA clandestine service people are, in a way, “Opposite Americans” (*Americana inverta*). Recall what their trade is: destabilization of unfriendly nations from within by inflaming ethnic and religious strife within them. To be experts in their trade, they have become the foremost experts in the world on human cultural differences. They are all cultural anthropologists, and so will not be shocked by the inherent insidiousness of the American mentality and way of life. They have known about this since a long time ago. While the stereotype of an American is someone who doesn’t understand anything outside his or her country’s border, the CIA has always been evolving in just the opposite direction, knowing the mentality and culture of a foreign people better than those people themselves (all in order to manipulate them, of course). For Dame Higgins, the “program director”, so to speak, this must be a talent which has been used in the wrong place and shall be now used in the right place: the CIA shall use their expert knowledge about human culture and psychology to reform America and the world into a better place instead of manipulating people to fight against each other. It is precisely the skill and talent that is needed to clean up, or cure, the disease called “American culture”.

19 Sophie Scholl und die Weisse Rose, <http://www.bpb.de/geschichte/nationalsozialismus/weisse-rose/>, and the movie, “Die letzten Tage” (<http://www.bpb.de/geschichte/nationalsozialismus/weisse-rose/61071/sophie-scholl-der-film>).

Conclusion to the Syrian Nuclear Terrorism Scandal
Lawrence C Chin, March 2014; corrections, July – August, 2017

The raid of the control center on November 1 constituted the moment from which onwards Feefee would gradually understand why the news events in the past three years never seemed to reflect the geopolitical reconciliation which should have been expected if Russia had indeed triumphed over France at the end of the second run. Namely, why it is that Russia and United States continued to stand toward each other in a relation of antagonism. It would turn out that the CIA and the Clintonites had indeed reconciled with the Russians, but that the Republicans were not favorable toward such reconciliation (in fact, although they could not openly vouch for France in 2010 for fear of looking too bad if they associated themselves too closely with Cheney, they really rejoiced when the ICJ trial was dismissed thanks to French meddling), and that, consequently, the CIA was simply pretending to continue to side with the Republicans and fight the Russians and the Chinese. In other words, the CIA in the past three years has been only pretending to be the usual bad guy and to victimize Russia in accordance with the wishes of the rest of the bad guys (Republicans), and this only in order to win the confidence of the bad guys ruling America so as to stab them in the back suddenly and reform America and (together with the Russians and the Chinese) save the world. What was going on from 2011 onward seemed actually to be something like this: the CIA, observing that a bunch of bad criminals have hijacked America and continue to victimize the Russians and the Chinese, felt, just like the White Rose, utterly indignant, because they are good people who can't stand the sight of injustice. They therefore secretly linked up with the Russians, etc., while pretending to be on board with the bad criminals at home... When they have suddenly, through surprise attack behind their back, disposed of the bad criminals, they will start to "clean house": it is those bad criminals who have been "victimizing" Americans, lying to them to make them stupid, and inverting the whole society and natural order of things in order to promote consumerism. The CIA would move in to save America by "righting things" again at home while making friends with the Russians and the Chinese to promote the common interests of humanity. The super-just White Rose function of the current CIA is of course the exact opposite of what the CIA used to be: the most evil bad guy correctly condemned in conspiracy theories who victimized Americans at home by lying to them and victimized foreigners abroad by destabilizing their countries and installing dictatorship upon them. However, the CIA's current White Rose function will be intercepted into the International Court of Justice as evidence to replace or enrich the evidences for all of CIA's evil deeds in the past, so that the "official story" (the ICJ record) of the past would be rewritten to show that the CIA has always been the White Rose since 30 years ago when the United States began in earnest to destabilize the Soviet Union. (The March 2014 crisis in Ukraine resulting in its partition is just this: the "bad guys" have commanded the CIA to destabilize Ukraine; the CIA pretends to obey the order and destabilize Ukraine, but is secretly linking up with the Russians to report this to the ICJ and dispose of the "bad guys". The evidence for Ukraine's destabilization will then be taken backward in time to replace the evidences in ICJ docket for CIA's role in the destabilization of the USSR.) How Dame Higgins, this old lady, in her capacity to enforce UN Resolution 1373, has, in 2010, converted the CIA into its exact opposite is indeed the strangest, and most amazing and incredible, episode in world history.

This conversion of the CIA is also the most important factor in the saving of human civilization because it is the CIA which is in the best position for the task. This has something to do with the reason why the CIA has been so successful in destabilizing nations unfriendly to the US – why it has been so successful in destabilizing Russia and Russian allies while the Russians could not do the same to US and US allies. It is certainly not because the CIA is smarter than the SVR. The

SVR would certainly like to be able to incite opposition groups within the US or US allies, and yet it is very difficult for them to do so because these opposition groups, just because they are opposed to the dominant regime, are not necessarily pro-Russia. The CIA has a distinct advantage over their Russian and Chinese counterparts in the game of destabilizing the opponent regime because American pop culture is popular everywhere (universal popularity) whereas the Russian or Chinese pop cultures are only popular in local regions (local popularity). It is very easy for the CIA to flame up pro-American youngsters in Belarus to rebel against the pro-Russian incumbent in the hope that the new Belarussian regime would be pro-US – whereas it is virtually impossible for Russia to return the favor – because the Belarussian youngsters all love American movies and American music – while Russian pop stars are unknown outside Russia and Ukraine. We have discussed this in “The Cheney plan, the CIA’s war with the neoconservatives, and the crimes against Russia”. Human cultural diffusion is not a reciprocal process but is usually one-way, the innovative culture in a center radiating out to the peripheral regions to “convert them”. Since World War II human cultural diffusion has been a one-way process of diffusion from America to the rest of the world, American culture gradually even conquering the communist regions. It is the cultural diffusion process which the foreign dictators and communist leaders are really afraid of when they perceive American music (like “Michael Jackson”) as politically destabilizing and dangerous virus which must be kept out. The UN Study Group must have come to the conclusion that, insofar as new technology has now made it possible to exploit those previously inaccessible hydrocarbon resource bases (oil shale, tar sands), it is no longer necessary to drastically reduce human population size (the original Bilderberg plan) in order to make industrial civilization sustainable – as long as the masses can switch their modus operandi from consumption to conservation. In other words, as long as a new culture can be adopted by the whole humanity – something which is the opposite of American consumerist culture which has been diffusing rapidly to the rest of the world – we can all actually “make it”. However, given the way the current process of cultural diffusion works, the universal adoption of an “opposite culture” can proceed most rapidly if it is the Americans who adopt it first. If the new conservation culture becomes popular in the United States, it will become popular everywhere on earth in a short time – whereas if it becomes popular in Russia, it will always stay in Russia, unless the very process of cultural diffusion is reversed: not an easy event.²⁰ Since it is the CIA which is most experienced in exploiting the process of diffusion of American culture to the rest of the world, and since the CIA is by nationality American, i.e. exercises the greatest influence, especially in regard to public opinion, in American society by having moles in every major media group and lobby organization, Dame Higgins would naturally see the CIA, rather than the SVR or MSS, as the most important key player in the saving of human civilization. Hence the conversion of the CIA from its old Pax Americana ideal to her own ideal of fairness and justice for all in an indefinitely sustainable world is the most important factor in this whole ICJ episode to save the world.

For this reason, the Macrospherian UN Study Group must be extremely concerned with killing

20 It is imaginable that the creation of a world-wide conservation culture – which must be the Macrospherian goal – can be carried out simultaneously in all major nations at the same time. But one has to remember that, in all major cultures of the world, the American culture coexists with the indigenous culture as part of the “main stream”. It is common for people in foreign cultures to “aspire to things Western” (and “American” constitutes the dominant portion of “Western”) – whereas the same is not the case in the US. If the Americans themselves disown their own culture, it is hard to imagine the foreigners continuing to “aspire to things Western” (meaning, consumption, consumption, and consumption).

off the existing American virus which has already been spread to, and is currently active in, the rest of the world. Again, thanks to the atmosphere of international business and consumerism, when American virus begins affecting people in foreign countries and turning them into selfish, mind-atrophied apes, the transformation, or rather regression, actually acquires, in ordinary perception, the connotations of “liberation” and “advancement”. The phenomenon of Pussy Riot which has hyped up so much fanaticism in the international arena in the past two years is the clearest example. These women – like Nadezhda Tolokonnikova – have been infected with the American disease and their movement is nothing other than the attempt to spread the virus over all Russia: this they call “Revolution”.²¹ The Macrospherians, I believe, would in fact call this heroine who wants to free Russia “brain-fucked”, the vehicle of an extremely dangerous and deadly disease. While the Western media tends to glorify them partly for political reasons – anyone who is against Putin is a friend of the West – it is also partly because the common people in the West really believe that the value the group advocates for Russia and Russian women is a sort of advancement from authoritarian regime toward “freedom”, i.e. some sort of “growth”, when it is really “regression”, i.e. atrophy.

We are not here to defend any authoritarian regime. We are trying to see the world as the UN Study Group sees the world, and we believe that the most proper perspective is neither the authoritarian point of view on the right (the stereotype of Putin, which is not the “real Putin”) nor the liberal view on the left (like Pussy Riot). The most proper perspective is that of a disillusioned left, in the vein of Michel Foucault, for example. We recognize that the left, rather than liberates, simply replaces current oppression with another system of oppression going in the opposite direction but which is even deadlier and more disastrous. Again, the problem is that power is always cleverer than its oppositions and knows how to co-opt them for its own ends. When we resist against a power structure, how, then, can we know that we are not being duped into reinforcing it? How do we know we are not mistaking our enslavement for our salvation? We believe that the criterion upon which the UN Study Group has decided for determining whether the direction we have taken is oppression or liberation is the measurement of the actualization of human potential. The UN Study Group does not espouse the authoritarian regime, but they condemn the resistance movements as even more deadly. The latter’s goal is to crush the human mind, destroy its ability to reason, and shrink its horizon to the immediate range of the Self, all in order to increase consumption under the disguise of “freedom” and “liberation”. The few members of the UN Study Group who are Americans must have diagnosed this as concomitant with the gradual corruption and fossilization of the American system, the transition from rights and freedom and the good person and good society to an exclusive focus

21 References: “Wir wollen eine Revolution”, interview with Nadezhda Tolokonnikova, *Der Spiegel*, September 2, 2012; “Ich will Gerechtigkeit”, interview with Nadezhda Tolokonnikova, *Der Spiegel*, 01/2014. Tolokonnikova suffers from precisely the misperception which Foucault has pointed out characterizes the “rebels” in the West: to mistake reinforcement of the power structure for liberation from it. She says to *Spiegel*: “Ja, wir sind Teil der weltweiten Anti-Kapitalismus-Bewegung, die aus Anarchisten, Trotzlisten, Feministinnen und Autonomen besteht. Unser Antikapitalismus hat keine antiwestliche und keine antieuropäische Stoßrichtung. Wir begreifen uns als Teil der westlichen Welt und sind ein Produkt der europäischen Kultur. Die Mängel der Konsumgesellschaft stören uns zwar, sie wecken aber nicht den Wunsch in uns, die Konsumgesellschaft als solche zu zerstören. Freiheit ist der Kern unserer Ideologie. Wir denken Freiheit westlich: Dies ist ein Kampf um die richtige Definition von Freiheit.” She never notices that the real effect of her struggle for freedom is the reinforcement of consumerism (the capitalism she condemns) through the cultivation of a consumerist ideology which will cause the collapse of human civilization. She never notices this because she is deceived. Instead of Slavoj Žižek, she really should study the later Michel Foucault.

on safety and protection of property, something very similar to the “aging” or “corruption process” which the European society was undergoing just before World War II.²²

By reading the interests of the Macrospherians and orchestrating the preceding chain of events, the filter “justice” was also critiquing another essential characteristic of the American Way: the American habit for secrecy and its concomitant, the “compartmentalization of knowledge”. This other quintessential American *modus operandi* has also been bringing disasters upon humanity through infecting the entire United Nations. (We have seen how the US requirement for China to forge evidences about 911 attacks has compartmentalized knowledge in the UN and how this has almost brought about very unfortunate consequences.) The Macrospherians would have wanted to impart the lesson here – to embed it into the terrorist conspiracy – that “compartmentalization of knowledge” is the source of disasters and unnecessary conflicts, and that people, by pooling their respective knowledge together, will promote the common interest, and the welfare of everyone. The women gang got themselves arrested in the end because each of them was withholding her particular set of knowledge from the others. The Pyramid withheld from everyone else her knowledge about the control center and the brain chips; Karin withheld from everyone else her knowledge about the International Court of Justice trial. This caused Dr P and Kiersten, who didn’t know anything about Feefee, to act recklessly and get the whole group in trouble by reporting Feefee as a potential terrorist, etc. While Karin and the Pyramid deceived Kiersten and Dr P in order to promote their own particular interests – in order to better manipulate these strangers to accomplish their own ends – they had in the end only screwed themselves up. Furthermore, it was because the CIA, the Russians, the French, and the police all withheld their knowledge about Feefee and Feefee’s past with the International Court of Justice that Homeland Security CO chief had decided to pursue Feefee with all his energies and resources, getting himself arrested in the end. Meanwhile, it was because everybody was withholding his or her knowledge from Feefee that he ended up creating a Syrian nuclear terrorism scandal completely unknowingly, wasting everybody’s time and resources in the process. This is how compartmentalization of knowledge was the source of disasters and failures in this episode (even though, of course, the filter “justice”, at the last moment, reversed the disasters to produce a greater good).

You can see in this episode that the compartmentalization of knowledge is also the source of conflicts and a concomitant of the shrinkage of one’s mental horizon to the immediate range of the Self. The Pyramid wanted to do everything to harm Russia, the CIA, and Feefee because, according to her knowledge, these three parties had inflicted injustice on her. Meanwhile, the Russians, the CIA, and Feefee wanted to inflict harm on her (bust her) because, from their perspective, she had inflicted harm on them and they desired to get even with her. This wide divergence in attitude was due to the narrowness of Pyramid’s conception of reality – where the harm she caused to others was non-existent and where the harm she suffered from others was the

22 This is especially reminiscent of Hannah Arendt’s thinking. Antonia Grunenberg summarizes, “Durch ihre ungebremste Expansionspolitik hielten die herrschenden Klassen in Europa innerhalb weniger Jahrzehnte die von ihnen seit dem 17. Jahrhundert aufgebauten politischen Regelwerke aus: die Kontrollfunktion der Parlamente ebenso wie die Gewaltenteilung und die Balance zwischen wirtschaftlichen und politischen Interessen. Das Bürgertum hatte offensichtlich kein Interesse mehr am Erhalt der von ihm geschaffenen politischen Tradition. Statt dessen trat der Schutz des Besitzes und der Einflussbereiche als das Hauptinteresse des expandierenden Bürgertums in den Vordergrund” (*Hannah Arendt und Martin Heidegger: Geschichte einer Liebe*, p. 327).

most conspicuous element of reality: this was the make-up of her “cognitive map” – and the more comprehensive nature of our conception of reality – where the harm she caused to the three parties and to the world was not only existent but also figured as an extremely significant component. The Pyramid believed the Russians and the CIA had inflicted injustice on her because they had tried to feed a “piece of trash” to her, but the Russians and the CIA could not see how they had inflicted injustice on her because this “piece of trash” with which they tried to feed her was wiser than 99 percent of the human population, his “unattractiveness” entirely dwarfed by her apishness. She saw “injustice” where others saw “justice” entirely because she had never noticed the existence of the “world” and “wisdom”. The same with Kiersten, Dr P, Valentine, and Laura. For them, Feefee was a disposable piece of trash because “Russia” and the “world” were not important pieces of reality. Even if the authority told them that Feefee had indeed “saved Russia”, they would still choose to dispose of Feefee for the benefit of a few dollars. Again, their conception of reality was simply too narrow, their “cognitive map” underdeveloped, on the basis of which they therefore did what they did to the best of their ability, and angered the authorities who were about to arrest some of them. The “whole truth” was only approximately found among the few wise persons (like Feefee, the Russians, and the CIA) while only small pieces of it were found in the mind of the “common people.” Reality was “compartmentalized” among these diverse actors. You see the effect of this “compartmentalization” in the concepts of “selfishness”, “pettiness”, and “saintly-minded” – and here it is expressed in the distinction between “Apes” and “Humans”. Reality, or knowledge about reality, cannot help but be compartmentalized when nobody can conceive of anything beyond the immediate range of the Self. Because different people are attuned to different aspects of reality, they couldn’t understand each other and conflict with each other, each convinced that they are doing the right things and righting injustice.

The foregoing has laid out a general outline of what we believe to be UN Study Group’s perception of the problem which a doomsday theorist forerunner, Mandred Wöhlcke, has termed “social entropy” (*soziale Entropie*).²³ “Social entropy” may be seen as consisting of both cultural and bureaucratic (material) components, the two reinforcing each other. The shrinkage to the Self and the atrophy of the mind might be seen as belonging to the cultural domain of “social entropy”, while the compartmentalization of knowledge as belonging to its bureaucratic side. Wöhlcke’s observation of the postmodern, consumerist society of the West is very appropriate to a summing up of the characters in the preceding narrative.

Der modern Kapitalismus wird bekanntlich als Marktwirtschaft bezeichnet. Das klingt besser, meint aber dasselbe, nämlich das individuelle Streben nach dem größten ökonomischen Vorteil. Die Marktwirtschaft ist wertblind, das heißt, die Rationalität ihrer Mittel entspricht keiner Rationalität der Ziele. Das einzig sinnvolle Ziel wirtschaftlichen Handelns besteht letztlich in der Optimierung von kollektiven Wohlbefindin. Hiervon kann allerdings nirgendwo die Rede sein, denn selbst in jenen wenigen Gesellschaften, in denen die Marktwirtschaft zufriedenstellend funktioniert, machen die Maschinen die Menschen zu Maschinen, werden die Menschen schlechter behandelt als Waren und wird der

23 *Soziale Entropie: die Zivilisation und der Weg allen Felisches*, 1996.

steigende Lebensstandard von einer Zunahme neurotischer Störungen begleitet, kurzum: Dort leben kranken Menschen in einer gesunden Wirtschaft.²⁴

The modern capitalism is familiarly designated as market economy. This sounds better, but means the same thing, namely the individual striving toward the greatest economic advantage. The market economy is value-blind, namely, the rationality of its means does not correspond to any rationality of goal. The only meaningful goal of economic activities consists in the optimization of collective wellbeing. It is in fact not about “wellbeing”, for, even in the few societies where the market economy functions satisfactorily, the machines have turned human beings into machines, and human beings are dealt with worse than things and the rising living standard is accompanied by an increasing neurosis, in short, *sick people live in a healthy economy*.

The “sickness” (“Krankheit”) of the individual persons which Wöhlcke has identified here is precisely the “simplification of the human mind and experience” which is summarized above. For a certain reason, we do not think that Wöhlcke has correctly characterized “social entropy”. He seems to think that “social entropy” is manifest when a society is in a state of disintegration and uncooperation, like the way in which the conservatives might criticize the decline of traditional values in modern societies.²⁵ But the preceding is meant to bring out a different way to conceive of “social entropy”: it occurs when a society’s natural creativity has been so dissipated – when it has become so fixed in its habitual ways and when its habitual ways have so passed from bringing out its potentials to suppressing it: the proper meaning of “decay” and “old age” – that, as it exhausts its chances of survival by destroying the very resource base on which it depends for its survival, it can no longer do anything to change its ways: it can no longer stop itself driving off the cliff. “Social entropy” has to do with the dissipation of “potential”. Western societies are becoming more efficient in its economic functioning (“dissipation”, to speak in the terms used in the Thermodynamic Interpretation of History) than ever before, and suffer less disruptions in its functioning (e.g. crimes) than ever before, and yet they are clearly decaying and dying. The selfish, atrophied minds in the preceding narrative are all the most law-abiding citizens in American society, and they only victimized Feefee through legal channels. And yet they represent the highest degree of “social entropy”, their entire human potential having been dissipated. Thus we have to assert that Wöhlcke, although he has always noticed that something is wrong in Western societies, cannot quite pinpoint the problem; his conception is too simplistic, and off the track. Just as in the cases of many other theories, something like what he says is true, but he hasn’t quite hit the mark. Furthermore, with the SWS simulation of the future in view, it seems to be an inevitable conclusion that the course of a civilization indeed exhibits some sort of “morphology” – that it has a birth, a phase of maturity, then that of old age and decay, and finally death – it is simply that Oswald Spengler’s “Morphologie der Weltgeschichte” has failed to accurately describe it: something like what he says is true; but it is not quite simple like that. The specific problem seems to be that there are several variants of such “morphology”, e.g. the Sinic type vs. the Western type. While in the Chinese case, when the civilization (dynasty) dies, it can be reborn again as if nothing particularly has happened before (this under an overall morphology

24 Ibid., p. 167.

25 Ibid., IV. Soziale Entropie in « hochentwickelten » Gesellschaften, esp. Gesellschaft, p. 170 - 174.

which is manifested in the aesthetic realm), in the Western case, when the current industrial civilization dies, there is no possibility that the new civilization arising from the remaining human beings after the population bottleneck can be of the same size and of the same quality as it is before the death. This is disastrous for the whole planet because, in the past two centuries, the Western model of civilization has been adopted by peoples from every corner of the earth, almost. The “death” which the Western model of civilization will inevitably suffer as a matter of its “morphology” is the death of human industrial civilization everywhere on the planet. The question is: why do civilizations die? Why do individual organisms die? For the latter, it is because nature has actually programmed them to die: there are specific genes in the human being which cause him or her to age and then die. It thus turns out that the course of world-history is actually *not* inevitable. Wöhlcke has the conception that it is inevitable because it is not possible to organize human labors and intelligence in such centralized manner as to dismantle the inevitability of human collective death.²⁶ It is inevitable only because human beings are not willing to work together toward this single goal: collective survival. The task of the UN Study Group is nothing other than identifying the “program” inherent in the Western model of civilization which would cause it to die (as it is currently very active: the inversion of traditional values) – and then neutralizing it to achieve “immortality”. This is now possible because the ICJ trial over Feefee would soon, in the next few months, result in the concentration of the power to command all the governments of the world in a single decision-making entity: the International Court of Justice. (In fact, in the hands of a single person, a British old lady!)

We shall leave the exact description of the “program for death or suicide” inherent in the Western model of civilization to the final chapter on the Macrospherian programs. Here it shall simply be noted that the “program” has something to do with the “potential to suppress all potential”. Each human being has a certain potential, this potential can be actualized or not under certain circumstances, but part of the potential actualized might just be the ability to suppress all other potentials. A civilization works in the same way. It has a certain potential within itself which, if actualized, will act to suppress all other potentials and eventually kill the civilizational organism.²⁷ In the Western model of society, this “death potential” seems to be found in power, rationality, and efficiency, and is an inherent aspect of the higher degree of differentiation of consciousness which characterizes the Western mentality.

Here we have laid out the possible general directions in which the Macrospherian sustainable civilization program should be going. The details are to be left to the final, special chapter on the topic. At the end of this exposé, we shall return to the reasons why Feefee is a subhuman. While everybody else is regressing and atrophying under the pressure of consumerism, Feefee has been developing in the opposite direction. He continues to complexify, cognitively, morally, and emotionally – just as old humanity was doing. He does so because he is completely outside the society’s functioning – the whole society has simply passed him by. The only part of the world which has impinged upon him just turns out to be the government’s covert agencies, and then criminals who have insinuated themselves into the covert agencies’ resources. And yet he complexifies, despite the fact that the US government and the Monkey have continually tried to cause his development to derail – to suppress his cognitive functioning on all fronts. Feefee had

²⁶ Ibid., Perspektiven, p. 231: “Wenn wir wollen, daß alles gut wird, müssten viele Dinge geändert werden, aber sie können nicht geändert werden, weil die kollektive Intelligenz, die der individuellen Intelligenz in vielen Bereichen überlegen ist, ausgerechnet bei der Frage nach dem Überleben der Menschheit versagt.”

Conclusion to the Syrian Nuclear Terrorism Scandal
Lawrence C Chin, March 2014; corrections, July – August, 2017

been progressing on the evolutionary path toward greater self-awareness while everyone else was regressing to mechanical reflexes. This – separation and development in opposite directions – explains the great chasm which has opened up between him and his fellow human beings in American society and which widens every single passing day. The chasm is especially illustrated in the previous episode by the fact that, even though the Monkey had been “dumbing down” Feefee to the best of his efforts for almost three years and Feefee was supported by nothing but a malfunctioning laptop, compared with the Pyramid whom the Monkey was probably instructing his computer to “smart up” to the greatest extent possible and who was backed up, first by Kiersten, then by Karin, then by the army of investigators and her family’s large fortune, and finally by the US Department of Homeland Security itself, Feefee still managed to “get away” – this fact proves to you most clearly that the difference between Feefee and the Pyramid is not one of personal difference, but a difference in species: under normal circumstances, when Feefee is not “dumbed down” and the Pyramid not “smarted up”, what the Pyramid is to Feefee is equivalent to what a frog is to the Pyramid. The Pyramid is the “star of Atrophy of Humanity”. The battle between Ape and Human.

27 Many philosophers in the past have already spoken of this phenomenon in other terms. For example, Heidegger’s disillusionment with National Socialism, which began 1936, is very similar to our reaction to American culture: “Er sah nun den Nationalsozialismus als Herrschaft einer seelenlosen Technik, ausgestattet mit einem unbändigen Willen zur Macht. Er nahm die besondere Verbindung von Technik und absoluter Herrschaft als besondere Erscheinung der Moderne wahr, die sich der Menschen bemächtigt habe. Die im Nationalsozialismus praktizierte Gleichschaltung, Uniformierung, Bürokratisierung sah er mit weiteren Kontext der modernen Technik aufgehoben. Am Nationalsozialismus sei Heidegger ‘das Aggressive der modernen Technologie und des modernen rationellen Denkens überhaupt erst aufgegangen’...” (Grunenberg, *ibid.*, p. 204). (“He now saw National Socialism as the domination by a soulless technology, established with a boundless will to power. He took notice of the special combination of technology and absolute power as the special phenomenon of modernity, which has overpowered human beings. He saw the sort of equalization, uniformity, and bureaucratization which is practiced in National Socialism in the wider context of modern technology. National Socialism, so Heidegger, is ‘the first actualization of the aggressive modern technology and modern rational thinking’...”.) The criticism of Nazi Germany as racist and genocidal is really superficial; Heidegger had gone deep enough to see that the real problem with the Nazi regime was “bureaucratization”, namely, the crystallization of the German society into a giant machine in which individual human beings have become mere cogs and lost all freedom in thinking and acting. United States is really going through the same thing, “bureaucratization”, as touched upon preliminarily in the diary entry for October 17, becoming a giant impersonal machine in which human beings, by being reduced to its cogs, have lost all potential, and become mere robots acting on selfish instincts. No thinking, nothing, “Apes”. Save that the US bureaucratization is worse than that in Nazi Germany. In the final chapter, the connection between the simplification of human beings and bureaucratization will receive definitive treatment. Then note Heidegger’s conclusion on Nietzsche’s dictum, “God is dead” (1943): “Das Denken beginnt erst dann, wenn wir erfahren haben, daß die seit Jahrhunderten verherrlichte Vernunft die hartnäckigste Widersacherin des Denken ist.” (“Thinking first begins, when we have experienced that the dominant form of ‘reason’ in the past centuries is the plainest opposite of thinking.”) Grunenberg comments: “Ihnen [Adorno, Horkheimer, and Arendt] allen war bewußt, daß die Aufklärung nicht nur Fortschritt, sondern auch Selbstzerstörungspotentiale mit sich gebracht hatte” (*ibid.*, “To them all it has been known that Enlightenment is not only progress, but has within itself the potential for self-destruction.”) Namely, human beings use their brain to rationalize their institutions in order to increase their efficiency; the institutions have now become so rationalized that they have crushed the human brain which has invented them; or: the human being thinks hard to analyze, and understand, something (“differentiation of consciousness”), the thinking then becomes so differentiated (analysis so dense) that it dissociates into nothing. You have to refer to the Thermodynamic Interpretation of History for the clarification of this tendency for any genius to self-destruct. It will be treated more extensively in the final chapter. It should simply be noted in passing here that the “Cheney Plan” is just the ultimate form of this bureaucratization, or the completion of Enlightenment’s self-destructive process: the replacement of human beings by the very computers which they have invented.

The Pyramid is the quintessential “Opposite Woman” (*femina inverta*) just as America is the quintessential “Opposite Land” (*terra inverta*): where traditional human values are inverted through a silent revolution. Meanwhile the CIA is the “Opposite American”, and Feefee an archaeological relic from the past, and, in fact, as shall be explained, a “wild species”, “Opposite Ape”. The Pyramid believes that the Russians and the CIA have inflicted injustice on her by feeding Feefee to her, whereas, as any traditional human being would assert, the Russians and the CIA have in reality inflicted the gravest injustice upon Feefee by feeding her to *him* – Feefee can never have imagined, three years ago, that a female creature, looking okay on the outside, could be so rotten and worthless inside, with the Intelligence Quotient barely equal to that of a chimpanzee and without the slightest ability to imagine that “other people might want something too”. The Pyramid is clearly no more important than pigs raised for food, and should probably be slaughtered and packaged into meat products in order to spare some animals’ lives at people’s dinner table. In fact, she should probably be used as animal feed. And yet, America has celebrated her – because America is the Opposite Land. In Opposite Land what is traditionally trash is elevated to the status of crown jewel and what is animal feed is allowed to eat animals. (The Pyramid is no vegetarian.) The same can be said of Kiersten.

You must understand that Feefee’s nature is very distorted in the preceding narrative. We have repeatedly emphasized that his true genius is artificially masked by the “delusion” about evidence-replacement which the Monkey had purposely created in his head. You should also have noticed that even his unattraction and his disgusting nature to women folks was artificially created by the Monkey through mind-control technology. This is important because it is his disgusting nature to females which had triggered Dr P’s ultimate response to create this nuclear terrorism scandal. What is Feefee really like – to women, that is – in his “natural state”? He likes a woman who is independent, dependable, and intellectually superior, in addition to the usual quality of being physically attractive. Unfortunately, the quality of intellectual superiority is virtually impossible to find among the “women of society”. The “women of society” have to go to work 9 to 5, either to earn a living, or simply because they feel that they have to for the sake of self-esteem, or self-concept, even when their men are willing to support them. Going to work means going inside institutions, learn their pointless rules which have no intellectual content – which in fact have nothing to do with the “natural world” – and practice them. So the “women of society” have become very stupid, just like “men of society”. Often, by performing “better” and adopting more often the technology in currency, they have become even more stupid than “men of society”. To find a woman who is intellectually superior to Feefee, one has to dig deep inside the obscure corners of top-ranking universities – where few women are physically attractive – or inside the hidden world of intelligence agencies, which are not accessible to anyone “outside the circle”. Meanwhile, although “women of society” have learned to make a living on their own, they still prefer a man on whom they can “nestle like a little bird”, whether in a physical sense or in a psychological sense. Although this general preference is incompatible with Feefee’s preference, the contradiction is not so severe as to be fatal. We have seen that, among all the women – particularly white women – who have figured in this story, Annukka, personality-wise, was the one person whom Feefee would most prefer. Although Annukka did not like childish behavior, there was no chance that Feefee would have manifested such behavior had the Monkey not intentionally caused him intense pain, isolated him, driven him to helpless desperation so that he would find Annukka, and then remotely controlled him to cry – just in order to cause him to

disgust her. Feefee and Annukka would have remained friends without Monkey's meddling.

One has to seriously think about why Feefee's preference is incompatible with that of "women of society". Where do those preferences come from? While it is common practice for observers to go into psychoanalysis in order to explain Feefee's peculiar preferences, it does not seem to occur to anyone that it is important also to go into sociological analysis in order to explain the preferences of "women of society". Why is it that the "women of society" find Feefee's behavior unattractive – in case it is manifest thanks to someone's intentional attempt to drive him to manifest it? It is just obvious that women have been conditioned by "social conventions" to find only a certain type of men attractive – it is just as simple as that. Everybody in fact knows this, and Feefee does it too. As he explores society in his early years, he has long ago learned something about these "social conventions" and has learned to hide his unattractive behavior. He is not an idiot, although he is a long way from becoming like a Clintonite who has so skillfully mastered these social conventions as to appear "attractive" to everybody and thereby build up a vast reservoir of power base (the "slicker"). Being attractive to someone means to attach value to the person exercising attraction; and, since Feefee is unattractive, people do not assign value to him. This is the sole purpose of "social conventions", to create a world in which things have unequal values, so that certain things are selected for preservation and other things for disposal. Where do these "social conventions" come from? Who has put them there? Why does this "Planner of conventions" want us to preserve certain things but not others? Obviously, it is because the "Planner" *wants* those "certain things" that he has set out to condition people to find these things "attractive", and it is because he doesn't want those other things that he has set out to condition people to find these other things unattractive. Why does he do this? What is his goal? By this time the answer should be really obvious. His goal is to perpetuate the dominant economic model of production and consumption, which in the current case is "consumerism". Since consumerism is unsustainable in the long way, this means that people, and the "women of society", have been conditioned to engage themselves in suicidal behavior, to find poison tasteful and to find what is not poisonous distasteful. Women have been conditioned to be attracted to Tom Cruise (in the same way, men have been conditioned to find Nicole Kidman attractive) really because the society wants to die – it wants to die because it is, now, "time to die". As is noted in the Thermodynamic Interpretation of History, the goal of history is extinction, just as the goal of a human life is death. It is the natural "morphology" of world history. People want to die, basically. Nobody really wants to live. And people have been finding dying and death preferable to living forever. The problem, then, is that there are people, the Macrospherians, who want to live, and want everybody to live.

The problem is thus that there is a small minority of elites who wants everybody to live, and, as soon as they see in SWS simulation of the future state of the world that these social conventions we live by are leading us to death, they begin to find them distasteful. It is as if you have been living for your whole life to find steak tasteful and shit distasteful, only to discover, in your prime time, that eating steak will cause you to die early, whereas eating shit will cause you to live forever. You begin to find extremely distasteful, "unattractive", Mr Tutor who, attractive, has, during your early life, taught you that you should like to eat steak and dislike shit – and yet you simply don't know how to eat shit and like the taste of it at the same time. Now we shall come back to the CIA again, its National Clandestine Service. As we have seen, the NCS, at least among the lower ranks, has more females than males, and is predominantly a women's

Conclusion to the Syrian Nuclear Terrorism Scandal
Lawrence C Chin, March 2014; corrections, July – August, 2017

organization if you simply count by heads, not by ranking. The feminization of the NCS began in earnest in the 1980s when the male-dominated spy organization began to realize that pretty women made better spies because, in this “male-dominated world”, the majority of “important people” in governments and organizations were men who easily fell into “honey traps”. The CIA has been consistently hiding its (numerically speaking) female-dominated nature by falsely advertising itself, in accordance with stereotypes, as suffering male-domination, because it is the nature of a spy organization to hide itself. The white women in the NCS are in a peculiar position – and this is the second sense in which the CIA is “Opposite American” – in that they are not quite like the rest of the American white women (whose “types” have figured in the preceding narrative) because, by being recruited into the secret service, which functions like a cult, they have been conditioned to adopt the norms and values of the “cult” as primary and ignore the norms and value of the general American society as unworthy. The point is that the two sets of norms and values are not identical, in fact frequently opposite of each other: the “cult’s” value lies in the “group”, where members have been conditioned to identify their goals in life with the group’s goals, a very “tight group”, in complete contradiction to the “individualism” prevalent in American society, and, among Americans, it is only found in the stereotypical images of the marine corps. In this way, the NCS women do not, for example, suffer from “feminist fear-mongering” – they simply know better than that. But while the “cult” has taught them to ignore certain values of the American society from which they came, it has not taught them to ignore others, the other social conventions including those which teach you what a “real man” (an attractive man) consists in. The marriage patterns of the NCS women therefore remain typical. (Think Valerie Plame and Ambassador Wilson.) Now, since the CIA has access to the Macrospherian SWS simulation of the future, its pretty women are thus suddenly confronted with the truth that the attraction they have hitherto felt toward their male partners is poisonous and that the unattraction they have felt toward Feefee (which you have seen throughout this Secret History) quite unhealthy. The shock is of course not such a big deal because it is not they, but the society, or whole humanity, which wants to die, and it will die only after they have aged and died, so that it is not necessary for them to divorce their husbands whom they have learned to love just in order to learn to “eat shit” and say “It tastes good”. Nevertheless, they would have seen things – or Feefee – in a rather different light, especially in view of his unattraction among female agents in the lower ranks. This is in fact the only way for people to not dislike Feefee, a subhuman: by being awakened to the truth that they like what they like and dislike “subhumans” because everybody wants to die – without knowing it. Individual human beings actually don’t want to die, or are at least not desirous of death; it is the society which wants to die, and it has had to deceive people onto the path of “dying and being dead” because, otherwise, nobody will go on it. When the “death gene” in a civilization becomes activated, the society begins to condition people to engage themselves in suicidal behavior while making them believe that this is the healthy way.

When we say that we like the taste of certain things and dislike the taste of others, or that we find certain types of people attractive and others unattractive, we are basically dwelling on the question, “What is beautiful”. Women are attracted to Tom Cruise because he is “beautiful” and men to Nicole Kidman because she is “beautiful”. One has to remember that, because women in Western societies can work and are usually working, without problems to support themselves financially, when they are attracted to a man because he is successful in society, they are really finding him “beautiful”, not for purely monetary reasons as it is the case in the past. A man is

Conclusion to the Syrian Nuclear Terrorism Scandal
Lawrence C Chin, March 2014; corrections, July – August, 2017

“beautiful”, not because he looks a certain way, but because he is “responsible”, knows the ways in society, and has learned to master his environment. A man is “beautiful” to a woman because he is independent and can be relied on, in a psychological and practical sense, and less and less in a financial sense. Feefee is not “beautiful” in this sense, and this is why he is disliked. This then raises the interesting philosophical question, “What is *really* beautiful?”, i.e., if our notion of “beauty” is an artificial construct into which the society has conditioned us, often for very bad purposes, is there anything that is “naturally beautiful”? What kind of men and women would women and men find attractive if the society has never conditioned us? We are not here to answer this question. When an American who loves culture walks through a European city and finds its neoclassical architecture “beautiful” and, when she or he comes back to Los Angeles and finds the city ugly because its architecture has “no style”, it is important for her or him to ask what is really wrong with the American carelessness with “style”. The neoclassical architecture, like classical music, raises the human spirit toward complexity whereas the modern and postmodern “no style” or “bizarre style”, like rap music, teaches people to be “simplistic” and “mindless”. Beauty has a function here; one meant to condition you toward complexity and other to mindlessness and simplicity. This is the whole point here: to “want to die”, we need to be stupid, and so modern and postmodern culture is purposely designed to make us stupid in order to lead us onto the path of death without our knowing – or else we will not go on it.

Now “dying” is in the economic sense. We are dying because our economy – our consumption habit – is unsustainable in the long run. We therefore have to accept as truism the Marxist premise that economic substructure determines the superstructure of culture, which is the principal thesis of the Thermodynamic Interpretation of History. It is to reinforce consumerism that we have been conditioned to find certain types of men, and certain types of women, attractive, and others not. The superstructure of “social conventions” and “beauty” is determined by the economic substructure of the dominant form of production and consumption. We have come back to the central tenet in the Thermodynamic Interpretation of History which would have caused consternation among feminist theorists in the second and third wave: whether Friedrich Engels has got it right when he attributes the (past) oppression of women to economic reasons or whether the Radical Feminists of the 1960s – whose point of view remains dominant in American feminist discourse ever since – have got it right when they argue that men oppress women (presumably in the past) because, basically, “they like it”. Unfortunately, the SWS simulation must have shown that it is the Marxists who have got it right – that gender relation is simply a function of the underlying economic substructure of the patterns of production and consumption – that the problem with Engels²⁸ is that he, like Wöhlcke and many other “doomsday” theorists, although he has noticed the problem, has failed to pinpoint it. It is certainly not “private property” which has caused the rise of patriarchal organization; it’s not that simple, the underlying economic structure which has determined gender relations is the much more complex, total patterns of production and consumption current in a society. It is to become part of the process of perpetuation of the dominant patterns of production and consumption that Valerie Plame finds Ambassador Wilson attractive in that moment of first meeting at the Turkish ambassador’s residence²⁹. Meanwhile, Feefee is attracted to women who are independent and

28 His famous *Der Ursprung der Familie, des Privateigentums, und des Staats*.

29 Her *Fair Game*, p. 351. Note that, at the time, Wilson was 47 year-old and Plame 33. He was 14 years older than she.

intellectually superior (although such kind doesn't actually exist in his environment) purely because of psychological reasons – while his reason for feeling attracted to the angular facial features of a white woman like Dr P, etc., is completely unknown:³⁰ he feels dependent and is utterly lonely, because he has been excluded from society; he spends all his time developing his brain complexity; he wants to tell people what he thinks and nobody understands him because nobody in his environment is educated enough;³¹ he therefore is perpetually operating in the mode of “I-Thou”, wanting the person to whom he is physically attracted to be “smart” in order to be able to understand what he thinks. He wishes she could be more educated than he is so that he doesn't have to be the one who is always talking and explaining – who is supposed to be inferior and yet cannot help but be superior. He is stuck in the perpetual mode of “I-Thou” while everybody else operates in an “I-It” mode because he has never had the chance to practice “I-Thou” (a natural human desire) with anyone while other people have already had the chance to practice “I Thou” with those people in the circle to which they belong and so do not desire to practice “I Thou” with the common people in society. Feefee is perpetually stuck in a self-reinforcing cycle of brain complexity and I-Thou while the entire society disintegrates away in brain degradation and I-It. He is a “subhuman”, the exact opposite of the rest of his society. He is “wild” in the sense that he had never participated in society, in “civilization”, in any meaningful sense.

We have here speculated on the basic outline of the results of the (Macrospherian) UN Study Group's SWS simulation of the sustainable civilization, which supposedly was begun in 2010, on the basis of both publicly available researches in similar domains and the patterns seen in the preceding chain of events which we assume to be not “natural” but orchestrated by a computer program called “justice” which had been left inside Monkey's computer in the control center – as long as we are correct in assuming that “justice” has attempted to reflect the Macrospherians' hypothetical interests. Because our civilization has entered “death phase”, the simulation results will be so shocking that the Macrospherians cannot possibly simply reveal them to the world without reservation. If you interrogate them, they will naturally deny that they have ever simulated anything, and will at most admit that they have indeed installed a “filter” in dangerous machines in accordance with international laws demanding just use of dangerous technology. This makes the “UN Study Group” a hypothetical construct, although we have been tempted to believe in its existence since the reconstruction of the course of the ICJ trial tells us that the beginning of the UN Study Group can in fact be traced back to the summer of 2008, in the aftermath of the Russo-Georgian conflict, when Cheney had supposedly sent a special envoy to Dame Higgins' office. If you are able to absorb the preceding theoretical points, but are baffled by the fact that nobody seems to know anything about the “UN Study Group” – not even the Macrospherians themselves – please simply ignore the question of whether the Group exists but concentrate on the plausibility of the outline derived here. From this point onward, we shall return to the narrative of the events which unfolded after the FBI's raid of the control center. From the raid of the control center onward began an episode where the victims of a terrorist

30 It is important to recall here the lesson Feefee has learned from watching those documentaries on serial killers: just because people are doing the same thing, this doesn't mean that they are doing it for the same reason. Although both Glen Rogers and Robert Yates kill women, they are doing it for completely opposite reasons, one in reaction to childhood trauma, the other “out of happiness”. Although both Feefee and the rest of mankind may find Nicole Kidman attractive, they may be doing so for completely opposite reasons.

31 When the topic of his discussion is not too weird like “I have been remotely controlled to cut myself”...

conspiracy – the CIA and the SVR taking the lead – began discovering various “types” among the conspirators as they started investigating this scandal; they would collect each type as a “sample” to study the causes by which their victimization had arisen. The white women were the “sick types”, and we have described their “Krankheit” in a preliminary fashion. Meanwhile the victims of the conspiracy found Feefee, the “wild species”. This “Feefee” was wild (“feral”) in the sense that he did not bother to dress nice and picked his nose in public completely unconcerned with social conventions, and he read whatever he felt like at the moment – all academic material – without the usual bureaucratic hindrances in the real academic institutions. It is not that Feefee was unaware of the “civilization” around him; it’s just that he didn’t see any reward in abiding by its conventions. He would not be able to make himself any more attractive to others just by dressing nice and obeying society’s etiquette, so why waste the time and energy? His story was similar to that of the Paris wild child “Victor of Aveyron”,³² except that in this precedent case the wild child, by being left outside of human civilization, grew up mentally retarded and unable to even speak to communicate, whereas, in the case of Feefee, by being left outside of civilization, he could actually think better, understand the civilization from which he was excluded better than those who were “inside”, and can communicate so much better that nobody in the civilized world could even understand him. (Nothing points up better the essence of a *terra inverta*.) In fact, it was precisely because the civilized people did not have the brain power and education to understand him that the whole disaster arose. Such is Feefee’s life story: the “domesticated pets”³³ see a “feral” among them, and naturally hate him because he is different; they gang up on him, and subject him to that human function universal across world history, “scapegoating”, such as of the Jew in Jean-Paul Sartre’s *Réflexions sur la question juive*, namely, using him as the entity on whom the rest of humanity can project those negative qualities which they don’t like in themselves or which they want to see contrasted with their own goodness, real or imagined, in order to feel good about themselves, the repository of all human negativities – except that, of course, in this case, the “domesticated pets”, led by Kiersten, are performing this universal function in a way peculiar to the American consumerist order, “fear-mongering”, as shall be revealed even more later (“Kiersten’s revenge”). It is thus apparent that the superiority or inferiority of the “wild species” is a measure of the sickness or health of the “domesticated species” – an index of whether the “domestication process” has gone wrong. When the “wild species” is intellectually superior – or understands the secrets to the ills of civilization, this domestication milieu, better – this means that the domestication process has gone very wrong and the species has to be “re-domesticated”. Isn’t it strange that the CIA and Dame Higgins so value Feefee because, among the one million people who have been chipped in the brain, he is the only person who can possibly reconstruct the entire course of the ICJ trial from relics and understand, without even seeing the SWS simulation results, those results – and yet he doesn’t have a job and the rest of the million do? This world is clearly upside down! And it is not merely in regard to intellect. Recall that Feefee tried to plead to the Russians to spare the CIA in early 2010 during the most crucial moment of the ICJ trial all because the sorrowful faces of pretty CIA agents had activated his childhood trauma and caused him to become exceptionally empathetic, and that he did so also because he was too influenced by the idealized romance fantasy found in traditional Chinese literature (especially in Jinyong) – because he was living in

32 Discovered by Dr. Jean Marc Gaspard Itard. The case is also described in Russ Rymer’s *Genie: A Scientific Tragedy* (1994; p. 53), mentioned in “My experience with...”.

33 Following Nietzsche (*On the Genealogy of Morals*), we may describe “civilization” as a process of “domestication” of the originally “wild species” called “blond beast”, i.e. humanity in its “natural state”.

Conclusion to the Syrian Nuclear Terrorism Scandal
Lawrence C Chin, March 2014; corrections, July – August, 2017

a dream world: not even the Chinese people practice the idealized romance in real life, and yet Feefee was so out of touch with social reality as to practice that with some American girls (as ridiculous as speaking Esperanto to some uneducated homeless person). Feefee is much more capable of empathy than the other dramatis personae in the story like Valentine and Karin because he is traumatized and lives in a dream world while they are “healthy” and live in the “real world”. Aren’t traumatized persons out of touch with reality supposed to be serial killers (like Glen Rogers) and un-traumatized persons living in the real world kind-hearted persons? Again, when the traumatized, daydreaming person who is “wild” is more empathetic and kind while un-traumatized, civilized, realistic person who is “domesticated” is mean and cares about nobody except himself or herself, this is an index that something is very wrong with the civilizational, or domestication, process. We are speaking as if the dramatis personae in this episode need to be “re-domesticated” for their own sake, because they are sick, but the preceding is meant to demonstrate that the Macrospherians want to “re-domesticate” the human species because the entire species are about to go through population bottleneck, warfare, and revolutions, and to drag down into the gutter with them all the great achievements they have inherited from their ancestors. When it is time for us to lay out, in the final chapter, the details of the Macrospherian New Agenda 21, specifically the Human Behavior Modification Program, it is basically about the details of a “re-domestication process”.

NOTICE

In relation to women’s under-representation in STEM fields (or abstract thinking domains in general) one more comment should be made, especially in view of the fact that Asian women seem to do far better in this area than American white women (look into the math-intensive science and engineering departments in top-ranking universities in US, and you will find that most of the so-called “women” there are just graduate students from China or South Korea, etc.): American women seem to suffer a triple disadvantage: (1) natural disadvantage: that, because women are by nature more passive, the frequency of members qualifying as “right tail group” is lower; (2) natural-social: the few women who do end up in “right tail group” have a lesser chance of attracting mates and reproducing than their male counterparts; (3) feminist ideology has tremendously hampered native-born American women’s progress in this area: (a) cultural feminism has left behind a legacy of rebellion against Enlightenment rationalism; too many native born American women have acquired the habit of seeing analytical thinking as “uncool” and “evil” (like: domination, patriarchy, imperialism, etc.) and seeing its opposite, superstition (astrology, distorted forms of eastern philosophy) and popular metaphysics (“energy work”), as “cool” and “liberating”; women naturally have less inclination to go into the real, hard-core knowledge given such climate of opinion; (b) redefinition of women’s strength in human relationship/ care ethics (from the first Gilligan-Kohlberg controversy to the contemporary mass attitude that women are more “diplomatic” and “people-oriented”); women have been conditioned by such falsehood (in the sense that the majority of experimental psychologists have concluded that Carol Gilligan’s “ethics of care” is simply unsupported by any experimental data, etc.) to think that it is “cooler” to be “people-oriented” – when in fact it’s just less demanding of concentrated brain work – and to be inclined toward laws/ marketing/ medicine/ psychology, etc. Women in East Asia didn’t grow up under the pernicious influence of second/ third wave American feminism, and so suffer at most a double disadvantage. Thus American feminism since the 1970s has not only destroyed American society, but also women’s brain development in

general.

APPENDIX I

The archetype of the “stalker” and “One Dimensional Woman”

The scandal was about to happen. You’ll see “Ape Morality” – or American “Monkey Way” – in full action. Its fundamental premises are: (1) hurting others and committing crimes is my “right”. Any attempt by the authority or by my victim to investigate me or obstruct me is “injustice”, and the offender must be punished – already seen with the Monkey. (2) When I screw myself up (especially when I screw up while trying to harm others), it’s others’ fault (especially the person whom I’m trying to screw).

This is basically the way of the “psychopath”, as you can easily see. Since most people are not born psychopaths, they have to be trained to become psychopath. It is precisely feminism which has trained women to become psychopaths. How did this happen? In the preceding, and in the following as well, you are seeing at work women’s “one dimensional mind”: If somebody threw some bottles into the Pyramid’s home, then he was “stalking her” (not harassing, but stalking); if he sprayed graffiti on somebody’s car, then he was “stalking her” (not vandalizing, but stalking); if he talked about a woman on his blog, then he was “stalking her” (not talking about somebody, but stalking); if these women gang-stalked this suspect, then he was “stalking them” (not being stalked, but stalking). There is nothing in this world except “stalking”.

The scandal that was about to happen was particularly orchestrated to expose the “One Dimensional Woman” that is the result of “victim feminism”, which, although waning in absolute (discursive) forms, is alive and acting in nebulous, and reduced, form in the mind of all American (white) women. It has solidified into a particular form of cognitive dysfunction whose mechanism can best be illuminated by resorting to the Platonic manner in the explication of mind’s *connaissance* of the external world which is identical to any scientific mind whatsoever:

Pauli schreibt: ‘Der Vorgang des Verstehens in der Natur sowie auch die Beglückung, die der Mensch beim Verstehen, d. h. beim Bewusstwerden einer neuen Erkenntnis empfindet, scheinen demnach auf einer Entsprechung, einem Zur-Deckung-Kommen von präexistenten inneren Bildern der menschlichen Psyche mit äusseren Objekten und ihrem Verhalten zu beruhen. Diese Auffassung der Naturerkenntnis geht bekanntlich auf Plato zurück und wird... auch von Kepler in sehr klarer Weise vertreten... Diese Urbilder, welche die Seele mit Hilfe eines angeborenen Instinktes wahrnehmen könne, nennt Kepler archetypisch. Die Übereinstimmung mit den von C. G. Jung in die modern Psychologie eingeführten, als Instinkte des Vorstellens funktionierenden urtümlichen Bilder oder Archetypen ist sehr weitgehend’.³⁴

34 Werner Heisenberg, “Die Bedeutung des Schönen in der exakten Naturwissenschaft”, 1971, in Gesammeltes Werkes, p. 381.

Elsewhere is spoken of the pleasure that is experienced when consciousness discovers an object in the external world which at long last matches the “Urbilder” that has hitherto only existed in the mind and therefore lacked exact contour:

So, wie nämlich die in der Aussenwelt sinnlich gegebenen Dinge uns diejenigen, die wir vorher im Traum wahrgenommen haben, in Erinnerung bringen, so lockten auch in der Sinnlichkeit gegebene mathematische Beziehungen jene intelligiblen Urbilder hervor, die schon von vornherein innerlich gegebene sind, so dass sie jetzt wirklich und leibhaftig in der Seele aufleuchten, während sie vorher nur nebelhaft in ihr vorhanden waren. Wie aber sind sie ins Innere gelangt?³⁵

Basically, there was this “Urbilder” in these women’s head, the “Urbilder” of a “violent, stupid, and delusional male sexual aggressor”; and they were constantly in search of objects or processes in the external world which could re-summon into their mind this “Urbilder”. This “Urbilder” of “violent, delusional, and stupid male sexual aggressor” is the *eidōs* in Plato and “archetype” in Kepler and Jung. There used to be many males in the external world who matched exactly this “Urbilder” – from whom this “Urbilder” might even have been derived – but, nowadays, as all the criminals in America had been locked up and were rotting in jail, there was a serious shortage of males who could still recall this “Urbilder” to women’s mind from their unconscious. Women now have to look much harder, and “making something out of nothing” is the absolutely necessary method: any slightest resemblance to this “Urbilder” would do. And so the women found this guy: a bottle, some graffiti, a word about them, or simply being stalked – but ultimately it was his ugliness which had done the trick, i.e. the overlap between the “Urbilder” and the “stalker” lies in outer appearance rather than in actual behavior.

But why all this trouble? The pleasure experienced when the nebulous “archetype” seen in the dream has suddenly acquired flesh in real life (*so dass sie jetzt wirklich und leibhaftig in der Seele aufleuchten*). Note that this recalling process (*anamnesis*) is absolutely necessary, for the entire self-esteem of these women depends on it. For, for them, the “male aggressor” is the Other (*l’Autrui*) only against whom might the Self be defined as the “good”. If you recall Simone de Beauvoir’s most famous statement in *The Second Sex* – he is the Self, she is the Other (*l’Autrui*) – you can then see that “victim feminism” is nothing but sexism applied in the reverse direction. There is as little truth in “victim feminism” as in “male chauvinism” – and such really sums up the whole question regarding how much “social justice” really resides in all this “political correctness” – much of which consists in simple retaliation. One might of course argue that such is precisely the “justice” in all “political correctness”, i.e. “an eye for an eye”. White men have treated white women like trash in the past, and so now white women shall treat white men like trash. (“You made ridiculous stereotypes about us in the past, and so now we make ridiculous stereotypes about you.”) If so, then “justice” lies in the whole process of reversal, rather than in each individual practice or ideology itself, i.e. “justice” for you is precisely perpetrating injustice against those who formerly perpetrated injustice against you. After all, this is what most “revolutions” in world history amount to: the landlords have treated peasants like trash, and so, one day, the peasants raise up and dispose of the landlords in mass extermination. But, then, given this, I would have indeed suffered great injustice, for I wasn’t a white male and so should

³⁵ Ibid., p. 380.

not have fallen victim to “victim feminism”. It would be unjust to extend the unsettled score between American white women and American white men to an Asian male who wasn’t even born in the United States. Instead of being sacrificed on the altar of “victim feminism” here in United States, the “stalker” should have gone to China to do the balance sheet between the sexes over there. A much better fate, for Chinese women aren’t as aggressive as American women, and have so far never dreamed of “male foot-binding for one thousand years” in retaliation against “female foot-binding for one thousand years”.³⁶

We must remember that descendants don’t actually carry the miseries and suffering of their ancestors in their genes, so that “victim feminism” today is very different from the Radical Feminism of the 1960s and 1970s in which it was first formulated *in retaliation against sexism in the New Left*. The resentment which has once motivated ancestors to retaliate no longer exists in the descendants. The problem is thus that, due to the “simplification of the American mind”, there aren’t any other “Urbilder” left in the mind to compete with this primary “Urblider” of “victim of male sexual aggression” (the inverse, i.e. the Self, of the “Other”). In other words, these women have no interests in anything else than becoming “victims of male sexual aggression”. There was a serious deficiency in vocabulary in their mind by which they might formulate their experience in other terms. The Pyramid, Kiersten, and, later, Dr P and this woman nicknamed “SDW” – they were “professional victims”: becoming a “victim” is their “calling” (*Beruf*). The question of “Wie aber sind sie ins Innere gelangt?” should thus be answered: *the retaliation mode of the ancestors has continued in the descendants who cannot dispel it because the capacity of their brain has been severely reduced through prolonged brain damages.*³⁷

The saddest observation

“Women are stupid. Most men are idiots too. But every time you meet a rare functioning brain, it’s always a guy. Almost everybody is an idiot – here you have equality between the sexes. But the exception (a functioning brain) is more frequent among males than among females.”

APPENDIX II

If D. Higgins has ever the capacity to order the “Invisible Hand” to restore democracy in the United States and reform its society, the latter must perform two preliminary tasks: (1) destroy people’s self-esteem deemed too high – the purpose of this you should be able to see from the introductory comments in Document I; and (2) reverse the continuing feminization of society, where the concern for security has overtaken all other values (foremost liberty), where suffering

36 Such thus raises to the mind the interesting issue about the fundamentally different mindset of the Chinese people: they don’t have the habit of dissecting their society into different “classes” (excluding the period of the communist and Cultural Revolution).

37 The idea implicit in this reflection is that there are actually two ways to achieve justice: (1) correct the unjust system into a just one; (2) reverse the unjust system, so that injustice is now perpetrated by former victims against former victimizers (“an eye for an eye”). People often mistake the latter for the former; thus, while liberal feminism is (1), victim feminism is (2).

harm has overtaken all other characteristics in defining the worth of a human being, and where the consuming female body has taken precedence over the traditional productive male body.

You might think of many apparent reasons why D. Higgins would order the reversal of the feminization of society – all the traditional, conservative reasons – but, ultimately, without doubt, it is because the feminization of society is the foundation of consumerism, and hence the springboard for the collapse of civilization from the exhaustion of earth's natural resources.

D. Higgins was of course basing herself on a thermodynamic understanding of feminism. Ultimately, all the issues surrounding gender relations have nothing to do with gender itself, nor with social justice. She must have thought as I do: I'm rather unwilling to discuss feminism at all in the English speaking world because it is fundamentally an issue to waste people's time – it merely diverts people's attention away from the real issue, which must remain hidden, i.e. the socialization of citizens into "professional consumers" and the increase of waste in society. In Asian countries where women's condition was much worse in the past in comparison to Western conditions, women have today achieved relative equality with men without there being any sort of women's movement at all – simply as a natural consequence of economic and national modernization. Any cross-culture comparison will cause you to see the obvious fact that women's movement only emerges in countries where it is least needed to remedy women's condition: clearly, feminist concerns serve some other function than remedy anything like injustice which women are supposedly going through. It's really a pity to see many female scholars in the English-speaking world wasting their life away working on some imaginary construct about "women's issues".

If you have at any time run into a thoroughly ideologically motivated American feminist – a woman who even refuses to look like a woman – you will realize that it's virtually impossible to ever get her to see this most obvious truth: that all the debates about gender have nothing to do with gender issues at all, and that the current of feminization of culture serves no other purpose than speeding up human consumption of earth's natural resources. Why is it so impossible to cause the feminist-conditioned female mind to see the (most obvious) truth of the matter? Because the woman's very self-esteem is at stake. Again, people hold onto their current belief system not because they have seen evidences supporting it, but because it makes them feel good about themselves. Besides this, several obstacles lie in the manner of cognition itself. One obstacle lies in the Grand Narrative in the woman's mind – which is usually never admitted because "women's culture" ostensibly rejects all Grand Narratives – concerning the origin of women's past oppression. It has been noted that, roughly, there have historically been two such grand narratives: Marxist vs. the Radical Feminist. Women's ideology can never catch up with reality – the women activists will always believe that the ideal condition of justice has not yet been achieved and that more has to be done no matter how much progress they have made – because they essentially subscribe to the Grand Narrative of Radical Feminism. Hence the only possible way to make them see the truth is to cause the axis of the Grand Narrative in their mind to change – from the Radical Feminist one to the Marxist one. But they will resist. The reason why it's hard to change it – why Radical Feminism is always preferred – is that *it's an insult to suffering when you learn that the person inflicting the harm never actually means it*. It's an insult to women who have suffered oppression in the past if it turns out that this is caused by the system and manner of production and consumption that was in vogue at the time rather than by

men's desire to hurt them. Again, demonization of the victimizer as "bad" and "evil" is a form of therapy.

Now there are many other factors causing the perceivers to resist the perception of the simple truth. One of them is the simple "cultural fatigue". Cultural fatigue has not only occurred in relation to the soft power of nation-states (e.g. the former Soviet republics' fatigue with things Russian or Koreans' and Vietnamese' fatigue with things Chinese) but has also occurred in the gender domain. It's so boring if the newly elected official is just another man, just another white male, like every other white male who has come before him.

Another factor is the human mind's inherent tendency to believe in symmetry. If the world is so bad (full of wars and oppression and destruction) when men rule it, the mind naturally wants to assume that the world will be better if women rule it: it's more symmetric. And yet reality is not symmetric – or, rather, the symmetry lies elsewhere. The reality is that the world will still be bad – but bad in a different way – if women rule it. A world ruled by women is a world where, although there will be less warfare, people will consume resources in peaceful tranquility to no end – until everything runs out. *From the perspective of the survival of the human species, the latter is even worse than the former*, even though the picture is far less violent. In other words, while men have their flaws, so too women – just different kinds of flaws: women are "beings of consumption and comfort".

APPENDIX

The following is an excerpt from "Psychology of the Ying and the Yang, I", a speculation on the hypothetical UN Study Group (or the "Macrospherian Study Group"). This short account (from 2013) is necessary for understanding all the above, and other, writings when the "Secret History" itself cannot be made public on pains of persecution. I shall therefore include it here.

"Hypothetical" in the sense that the narrative about the political figures involved in the origination of the "study group" is probably incorrect. While there should exist such "study group", how it has originated and where is for now only left to guesses.

THE UNITED NATIONS STUDY GROUP

The way in which Nature works is like this. It promotes the species without care for the individual members of the species. This is why species are allowed to develop in which certain members are privileged and in which the females are made to bear all the burden of reproduction while the male do nothing else than contribute their genes – which is no work. The second way in which Nature works is that its promotion of the species is shortsighted. Or rather, the species are designed to be short-sighted in regard to their survival. The species are made by nature to breed. Their interest is to breed as much as possible to ensure the survival of the species. If there is room to have more descendants at the present moment, then the species will choose to have more even though in the long run it will end up having less. If the human species finds enough resources to support seven billion members at the present, it will do so, even though in the long

Conclusion to the Syrian Nuclear Terrorism Scandal
Lawrence C Chin, March 2014; corrections, July – August, 2017

run it will cause itself to collapse and end up with far less members. Species always over-breed, in other words. We find the same phenomenon in pet-overpopulation in American society. Dogs and cats breed more than the environment can support them. A lot of the young die, but the overall survival of the species is ensured by the strategy of overbreeding. The misery of some of its members does not matter.

When Putin was running around trying to establish good relations with the nations in the world in January 2010, he had especially established a good relationship with former ICJ President judge Higgins who had secretly presided over the entire trial from behind the scene. When judge Higgins and her entourage from the International Court examined the cause of this trial – from 911 attacks and Cheney's War on Terror through West's crimes against Russia in the past 30 years to neocons' motivation for this trial – it's all because the planet's resources base was being depleted by our mechanized civilization. Foremost, it was because our planet was running out of oil. The problem of Peak Oil – that our planet's oil reserve, and many other natural resources, will run out in three decades or so causing the collapse of our civilization and resulting in human population bottleneck; that when oil is used up, we will not have the energy to get the earth to support more than six billion people; that human population will have to shrink to one billion people or less, which is the natural carrying capacity of the earth – has been most prominently discussed by Michael Ruppert in his second great work, *Confronting Collapse: The Crisis of Energy and Money in a Post Peak Oil World*. The human civilization is now faced with the greatest crisis in its history: when oil runs out, most of our transportation and productive machinery will grind to a halt. Michael Ruppert is at pain to demonstrate to us that there is in fact no such thing as alternative energy source which can completely replace oil: no other kinds of matter can yield as much energy output with as little energy input as oil. Furthermore, here and elsewhere, Ruppert has enlightened us that the earth is at present only capable of supporting more than six billion people because we have mechanized farming, which is based on oil.¹ You might also want to watch another great documentary produced by BBC to learn about the pervasive use of oil in modern food production, “The Future of Food”.² (This documentary also explores how water depletion and climate change will contribute to the mass starvation which is coming our way in three or four decades.) Ten calories of oil have to be burned to produce one calorie of food which you consume. Will Martin, associated with Cornell's Center for Sustainable Global Enterprise, is another public voice on the crisis of Peak Oil which you might want to heed. In an April 2012 lecture at Cornell, for example, he has not only warned of the same things as Ruppert did – especially the fact that market force is not sufficient to create alternative energy to replace oil and that no alternative in fact exists to this wonderful liquid fuel for transportation – but has also pointed out that Peak Oil entails Peak in everything else: when oil production has peaked, there will be Peak Gas, Peak Water, Peak Mineral, Peak Soil, Peak Food. When the production of oil inevitably declines, water supply and food production and everything else will decline as well, while population continues to increase creating greater demand for everything.³ Finally, do not forget Daniele Ganser at the Swiss Institute for Peace and Energy Research, whose latest book *Europa im Erdölrausch* I have mentioned in the Preface.⁴

As you have seen, all the Western elites were secretly working on the project of a new civilization to confront this planetary crisis, only Cheney's was the most radical. They do not tell us about it; governments around the world all know about this but no politician will discuss it in open. They have a very good reason for keeping silent: ordinary people are simply too stupid to

Conclusion to the Syrian Nuclear Terrorism Scandal
Lawrence C Chin, March 2014; corrections, July – August, 2017

comprehend the problems of their world on a large scale, and they are too stupid to know that they are stupid. Everyone thinks that he or she is in the right. If ordinary people are allowed to go on living the way they do, when society becomes grounded and mass starvation results, they will not even know why. They will blame the politicians, not understanding that it is their own fault, their own wasteful lifestyle which has doomed them. Thus revolutions and social upheavals world wide. The industrial elites in the West of course also have bad reasons to keep silent: their own profit, and power, has come to depend on our wasteful lifestyle based on fossil fuel. They all wanted Russia because the largest reserves of everything were all found in Siberia and Central Asia – they wanted these as the bases on which to construct short-term solutions during the period of transition to the new civilization. When judge Higgins' head was cleared up, she, Putin, and the rest of the United Nations had decided that a study group composed of competent scholars and scientists be formed to study if a solution may be found which, unlike Cheney's secret plans, would not have to “wipe the plate clean to start a new plate”, so to speak – which, unlike the plans of the rest of the moderate Bilderbergers, wouldn't even have to involve any significant reduction in human population.

Once Russia had been “aufgehoben” to the Macrosphere, there was now a pervasive sense of security under which everyone may work. Putin, judge Higgins, the rest of the world, and their Study Group were all Macrospherians, and their plan was to devise a model for a “sustainable civilization” which is indefinitely sustainable given the unalterable amount of our planet's natural resources base but without any significant reduction of human population size, get Cheney to “finish his mission” (establish his utopia and recommit all the crimes), and then, utilizing the rule of reversing a conspiracy to benefit its victim rather than the conspirators, make sure that reversal of his “terrorist conspiracy” would somehow result in this “sustainable civilization”. In other words, Putin was not simply trying to use his victory in the International Court of Justice to secure the rise of Russia, but, with judge Higgins, was also inviting nations of the world to work together to ward off the coming planetary disasters. Judge Higgins had never been more excited in her life. She had always been a leftist idealist, concerned with the creation of a just world which was truly democratic and in which resources were fairly distributed among all peoples. She had entered international laws hoping that the international legal system could be used to attain “desired results” (a just world) but was repeatedly disappointed by the selfish way in which governments conducted themselves, despite lofty words. Now, at the end of her life, she was suddenly given the power to legislate her ideal world into being with pinpoint accuracy and without remainder. (Her political orientation seemed to be that of a typical sophisticated leftist, probably slightly on the right of some such figure as Jean-Paul Sartre, but not subscribing to the disillusionment with the left such as found in Michel Foucault, and not in agreement with the “fear to act” conservatives like Eric Voegelin and other American paleoconservatives.) What's more, she had worked on the problems of oil for quite a while. But she was not expecting that her experience with the Study Group in the coming two years would also alter some of her past idealism.⁵

Now in the past, nations had each gone its own way in anticipation of Peak Oil: the West wanted Eurasian reserves and couldn't care less about the needs of Russia and China – they were dispensable, while Russia and China formed their Shanghai Cooperation Organization to secure the Eurasian reserves for their future, ignoring the needs of Europe and America's wish for global dominance through domination of the energy market. Now Putin would allow the devise of a

Conclusion to the Syrian Nuclear Terrorism Scandal
Lawrence C Chin, March 2014; corrections, July – August, 2017

solution acceptable to all nations and have all nations implement the solution by incorporating its implementation into the judgment which the International Court will issue about my case. To make civilization sustainable without drastic population reduction, our behavior – our consumption habit, and thus our way of life – will have to be fundamentally altered. In other words, consumerism is the target here: it has to be dismantled and replaced with a new way of life that is less wasteful of earth's resources. This means that the UN Study Group would have to couple a “Human Behavior Modification Program” with its “Project for Sustainable Civilization”. We will get into this in later narratives. The United Nations was thus planning to remodel our planet in a completely different direction than Cheney had planned – a society where human beings live intelligently rather than being duped into orderly existence. It would be a bloodless revolution achieved through computer systems and microchips because the resolution which the United Nations had passed requiring all government elites around the world to be implanted with microchips in their body and brought under the control of a computer to prevent them from violating international laws would now be used, instead, to implement UN's liberal reform rather than Cheney's fascist program.

Along with targeting consumerism by modifying our consumption habit, the Study Group seems also to have developed an agenda about fixing people's brains. The age of electronic and digital media has combined with political correctness, increased workload, and America's propaganda machine to so thoroughly destroy the human mind that thinking – this habit once ignited by the Presocrates, Plato, and Aristotle and then extinguished during the Barbarian invasions, but reignited in Europe thanks to the advent of print media – cannot be expected to exist in the future generations who would have to go through population bottleneck.

American conservatives would decry foreign forces which are undermining the traditional culture to cause the destruction of human intellect in America. In my thermodynamic interpretation of history I have argued for the case that the evolution of human culture is inherently geared toward mind-destruction. The problem is not just that the mind is fast disappearing from planet earth, but that an ideology has developed which causes the mind to mistake its disappearance for its expansion. It's common opinion that Internet is expanding human intelligence, and yet researches in brain functioning clearly indicate that the Web is eating away the human mind.⁶ Most people on earth have always been stupid, but mass culture, consumerism, political correctness, electronic communication, mass education, and the illusion of democracy, freedom, and human rights have not only destroyed people's mind, but have at the same time increased their arrogance. I say the illusion of democracy, freedom, and human rights that is prevalent in United States and NATO countries and which has been exported as political correctness to other countries. I have said that, after World War II, democracy, freedom, and human rights in the Western world has become increasingly a sort of formalism only; people's ability to decide on their leaders and their freedom to do what they please without government's dictate have been clandestinely undercut as they have been increasingly manipulated and brainwashed to choose only what the elites want them to choose without their awareness, and government agencies' violation of their human rights have proceeded so clandestinely that no one has noticed it, often not even the victims themselves. (Think about the nanochip that's inside the brain of some of you right now.) But the illusion that they can do whatever they want without authority's intervention and abuse has made them increasingly arrogant, thinking they have the right to demand everything they want and possess the proper degree of knowledge about how

Conclusion to the Syrian Nuclear Terrorism Scandal
Lawrence C Chin, March 2014; corrections, July – August, 2017

reality works and what is the best way to run their life in accordance with this knowledge. At the same time that the elites have been building utopian-totalitarianism in secret, the illusion of democracy and political correctness are not just making people ever more stupid and but also reinforcing the false notion of themselves as important and all-knowing. Again, the elites see no need to persuade the people to think otherwise, because people's false notions of themselves as free, important, and omniscient have disinclined them to believe that the elites could not possibly be exerting increasing control over their perception of reality and behavior.

At this point I shall do no more than mention these basic agendas of the UN Study Group. Only in later narratives will you get to see the details of a sustainable civilization, of the fact and manner in which the human mind is being fast destroyed by our modern life style that is increasingly “American”.

- 1 Michael Ruppert also discusses the coming human population bottleneck – how 5 billion people will have to die – in consequence of the exhaustion of oil in the interview with Max Keiser on June 16 2010: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gFfqixur9eI>.
- 2 It can be seen on Youtube: http://youtu.be/XiPuCSGo_wo.
- 3 The enlightening lecture can be seen at: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0HeEHKJxSA8&feature=share&list=FLLc-JNKaHINvw2exrF0opcA>, while Will Martin has a website at: <http://www.peakoilproof.com/>.
- 4 I have watched another excellent ARTE documentary on the history of the politics over oil on the website of Swiss Institute for Peace and Energy Research: “La face cachée du pétrole”: <http://www.siper.ch/de/siper/institut/video/>.
- 5 See the biographical entry on her by Donald Jackson in *Women in Law: A Bio-Bibliographical Sourcebook*, ed. Rebecca Mae Salokar and Mary L Volcansek, 1996.
- 6 For now I shall only mention two popular books documenting the researches I'm referring to: Nicholas Carr's *The Shallows: What The Internet Is Doing To Our Brains* (2011) and Manfred Spitzer's *Digitale Demenz: Wie wir uns und unsere Kinder um den Verstand bringen* (2012). Spitzer has been very active on German television alarming the general public about the damage which the Internet is causing to their brain. For example, at ZDF Login, 8/29/2012, at <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ePVSBg8nscA&feature=share&list=FLLc-JNKaHINvw2exrF0opcA> and at “Digitale Demenz”, at http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7IAoQopc_50&feature=share&list=FLLc-JNKaHINvw2exrF0opcA.