

## Some short letters I have written to experts about brain-computer interface

**Lawrence Chin**

These short letters I have written to various experts in the field of brain-computer interface (the use of brain-chips in medicine) can serve as short introductions to the devices planted in my brain and their effects on me. All of them are similarly structured and phrased since each later one is written on the template of the previous one.

**21/02/2013**

**To Prof. H of the BrainGate II project. (Compare my blog post for 20/04/2013)**

Dear Prof. H,

I have been following the development of your BrainGate project for quite a while, for this reason. (I'm sorry the following sounds a little strange, but please bear with me.) The Department of Defense has left sensor brain chips inside my brain since late 2009. The nano size chip consists of sensors to interface with neurons, a miniature computer chip, and a nano radio device made of carbon nanotubes. The system of chips pick up information about the global activity patterns of my brain and the nano radio devices on them send the information back to the computer system in the base. The software on the computer system translates the information on activity patterns back into thoughts, feelings, visions, etc. by breaking down the patterns detected into elementary components and then matching the elementary components with identical patterns stored in a "mind reading dictionary" (pre-decoded information on human brain activity patterns). In other words, the computer is reading my thoughts. I have noticed that your BrainGate system is extremely similar to the military's mind-reading computer system, except that the military's chips somehow have no difficulty in picking up the totality of signals from the ENTIRE brain, not just of the motor cortex, etc. I don't know how the military scientists are able to do this, but they are.

The experience gradually becomes torture because, in the past year, the mind-reading process has reversed. The computer back in the base, instead of reading information off my brain, is now forcing my brain to read information off it. The computer controls me to laugh when I'm upset, because it signals to the chips to suppress the activity patterns corresponding to crying and then to stimulate the neuro-pathways in my brain responsible for laughter. It controls me to feel sad, depressed, hopeless, suicidal... etc. by the same principle. It has now evolved to the point where the military scientist inputs a "script" (for example Shakespeare's Hamlet) into the computer and the computer will control me to play out the script (to live out the life of Hamlet, for example) without my having ability to resist at all (as long as I'm rational), because somehow the computer is able to calculate algorithms which govern the evolution of my thoughts and the course of my emotional development. It can predict what I will think and feel at any time in the future with pinpoint accuracy, given the current environmental stimuli and my current mental state.

The control gets so bad that I'm desperate to get out of this situation. But I'm left in limbo with no one

"inside" to contact, and none of the medical institutes which offer Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy have machines with resolution enough to detect the carbon nanotubes. (The chips are made in such way that all X-rays will go through them.) Unless I'm willing to live in a bunker impenetrable to EMF... Since you work in similar technology, I wonder if you know institutes or persons who can neutralize the system. I ask you also because I thought you might be interested in this military system, which is years in advance of BrainGate even though they both seem to operate on the same principles. (Ordinary people think I'm crazy when I talk about this, as you can imagine. Just finding people with interest, let alone willingness to help, is practically impossible.) I hope you can believe that the military's R & D (I think it is a project of DARPA, but I've not been told so) is far in advance of civilian research, and they often fund similar researches in the civilian world in order to make people believe that such and such technology is still at the trial stage and not yet operational. And I also hope you don't feel I have wasted your time with crazy stuff.

Sincerely,

....

**18/07/2014**

**To Prof. W. Prof. W is a molecular biologist and is familiar with the use of brain-computer interface in medicine, although not an expert in it. Prof. W is an active member in the Orthodox Church of America and has written extensively on the question concerning the relationship between science and religion. (Compare my other, long, letter to Prof. W.)**

Hi Prof. W,

I'm so glad to receive your articles. If you do find the one that is relevant, please send it to me right away. I just downloaded the two articles you sent me and will read them immediately. I want to send you the background info right away before you get on the plane to Vienna.

The background info I want to send to you concerns, partly, what I talked to you about last night. You must first look into Brown University's BrainGate project (or BrainGate 2): <http://www.braingate2.org/>. In 2000, when the project started, they got funded partly by the Defense Department. There are evidences that the Defense Department is funding brain-computer interface in medical applications because they have been working on reversing the medical application in order to make a weapon out of it. If you look at the latest BrainGate 2 system: "Wireless, Ultra Low Power, Broadband Neural Recording Microsystem" (<http://nurmikko.engin.brown.edu/?q=node/1>: I attach a PDF printout in the attachment), you see how the weapon works:

A nano size brain chip is devised for implant into the brain to allow a computer to control and program a person's thoughts and feelings. The nano size chip consists of sensors to interface with neurons, a miniature computer chip, and a nano radio device made of carbon nanotubes. The system of chips picks up information about the global activity patterns of the brain and the nano radio devices on them send the information back to the computer system in the base. The software on the computer system

translates the information on activity patterns back into thoughts, feelings, visions, etc. by breaking down the patterns detected into elementary components and then matching the elementary components with identical patterns stored in a “mind reading dictionary” (pre-decoded information on human brain activity patterns). In other words, the computer is reading the person's thoughts. The Department of Defense has previously decoded the human brain activity patterns and compiled a dictionary using the same technique as is used currently in the various fMRI mind-mapping projects in the various universities, like the one at UC Berkeley under Prof. Jack Gallant: <http://gallantlab.org/>. The difference is that the military’s chips will somehow have no difficulty in picking up the totality of signals from the ENTIRE brain, not just of the motor cortex, etc. Given the human brain structure, this can only be done by implanting a set of chips in all the important parts of the brain. Unless your knowledge about brain physiology tells you that the global activity patterns of the brain can indeed be picked up and accessed from a single, or a few, particular regions of the brain.

The next step in the military's own project consists in reversing the mind-reading process. The computer back in the base, instead of reading information off the brain, can force the brain to read information off it. The computer can then control the person to laugh when s/he is upset, because it signals to the chips to suppress the activity patterns corresponding to crying and then to stimulate the neuro-pathways in the brain responsible for laughter. It can control the person to feel sad, depressed, hopeless, suicidal... etc. by the same principle. Ultimately, when an algorithm is run on the computer, a system can be devised where the military scientist inputs a “script” (for example Shakespeare’s Hamlet) into the computer and the computer will control the person to play out the script (to live out the life of Hamlet, for example) without the person's having ability to resist at all (as long as s/he is acting rationally, i.e. doing what s/he wants to do), because somehow the computer is able to calculate algorithms which govern the evolution of the person's thoughts and the course of his or her emotional development.

Because the activity patterns of a person's brain are so complex, the algorithm is extremely complicated, and is found only in the design of supercomputers. Specifically, supercomputers designed to simulate, and predict, future events. The system is called “Sentient World Simulation” in the Defense Department, which comes out of Purdue University's project "Synthetic Environment for Analysis and Simulations":

<http://www.krannert.purdue.edu/centers/perc/html/aboutperc/seaslabs/seaslabs.htm>. In other words, the supercomputer that is designed to be powerful enough to simulate future events is here attached to the mind-reading computer to simulate the future state of the person's brain activities. This works because the principle involved in predicting future events is the same as in predicting future states of the brain, i.e the ability to calculate the results of interactions between billion of elements (what they call "nodes").

The design of the chips is so superb that they are practically undetectable. It consists of organic components, and the only detectable part is the carbon nanotube used as the radio transmitter and receiver. Because of its nano size, none of the medical institutes which offer Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy have machines with resolution high enough to detect them. The chips are made in such way that all X-rays will simply go through them. This design is very similar to the brain chip which Naweed Syed at the University of Calgary has independently invented in 2011:

<http://youtu.be/4gLhmm5dADc>.

The chips are still detectable by tracing the radio signals coming out of and going into them. But a “program” (like "script", e.g. “Hamlet”) can be preloaded onto the miniature chip before implantation, so that no communication would even be needed between the computer back in the base and the chips inside the subject's brain. The chips will program the subject to live out the life of Hamlet without any communication between his brain and the base. In this way, the chips cannot be detected even through tracing of electromagnetic signals to and from the subject's brain.

This is the background information I want you to understand: the design of a brain chip system to remotely control a person like a robot, in which case the computer, or the scientist that is programming the computer, becomes the subject's God. You might find this a little far fetched; but I can send you in the next emails some documentaries about DoD's experiments on precursor systems, used to remotely control laboratory animals like robots, with 100 percent success. I have also one eyewitness testimonies, from a contractor in the army.

Then, if you can, I'd like to discuss more with you about this design when you come back to Chicago. In the meantime, if you have opinion about this design, please let me know.

Prof W, I really thank you for taking the time to look into this issue. I hope you are interested by it, and are sufficiently concerned by the fact that some people in the government want to become God over others.

....

**20/07/2016:**

**Dr G is an expert who has published extensively on the ethical implications of the use of Deep Brain Stimulation chip-implants in medicine. He presumably has also great interest in the ethical questions arising from the upcoming use of brain-chips on criminals to cure criminal instincts.**

Dear Dr G:

I recently discovered a piece at Deutschlandradio Kultur in which is mentioned your work on the ethical aspect of brain implant devices for epilepsy patients. I assume you know which piece I'm talking about: Jennifer Rieger, “Implantate, die das Leben verändern” ([http://www.deutschlandradiokultur.de/alarm-im-gehirn-implantate-die-das-leben-veraendern.976.de.html?dram:article\\_id=360145](http://www.deutschlandradiokultur.de/alarm-im-gehirn-implantate-die-das-leben-veraendern.976.de.html?dram:article_id=360145)). I have subsequently Googled you and found your profile at the website of the University of Tasmania.

I want to write to you because I have tremendous interest in your work. The reason for this I shall not explain immediately. What I want to do first is to bring to your attention a weaponized version of brain implant devices which the US Department of Defense has developed several years ago.

Dear Dr G, I don't know how busy you are and whether you have the time to read a four page letter

from a stranger interested in your work. And, even if you have the time, I don't know whether you actually have the interest in the materials I want to present to you. I assume you have after looking over the list of your publications, etc. Please, Dr G, I beg for your forgiveness if my assumption is incorrect.

Dr G, I assume you have heard of Brown University's BrainGate project (or BrainGate2): <http://www.braingate2.org/>. The device I want to talk to you about is very similar to BrainGate2 except that it incorporates the function of Deep Brain Stimulation as well. This DoD device is thus a two-way brain-computer interface (BCI) system where the computer is able to both read off information from the brain and input information into it. In 2000, when the BrainGate project started, the Brown University team got funded partly by the US Defense Department. I believe that the Defense Department is funding brain-computer interface in medical applications because they have been working on a similar two-way system in order to make a weapon out of it. Here is the design of the DoD system:

A nano size brain chip is devised for implant into the brain to enable a computer to control and program a person's thoughts and feelings. The nano size chip consists of sensors to interface with neurons, a miniature computer chip, and a nano radio device made of carbon nanotubes. The system of chips (planted in various regions of the brain) picks up information about the global activity patterns of the brain and the nano radio devices on them send the information back to the computer system back in the base. The software on the computer system translates the information on brain activity patterns back into thoughts, feelings, visions, etc. by breaking down the patterns detected into elementary components and then matching the elementary components with identical patterns stored in a "mind reading dictionary" (pre-decoded information on human brain activity patterns). In other words, the computer is reading the person's thoughts. The Department of Defense has presumably previously decoded the human brain activity patterns and compiled a dictionary using the same technique as that currently being used in many fMRI mind-mapping projects in various universities, like the one at UC Berkeley under Prof. Jack Gallant: <http://gallantlab.org/>. (Compare also the US government's BRAIN Initiative: [http://braininitiative.nih.gov/pdf/BRAIN2025\\_508C.pdf](http://braininitiative.nih.gov/pdf/BRAIN2025_508C.pdf).) Although the principle here is the same as the BrainGate system, please note that the DoD system is quantitatively far more advanced in that it will have no difficulty in picking up the totality of signals from the ENTIRE brain, not just from the motor cortex.

The next step in the DoD system consists in reversing the mind-reading process. The computer back in the base, instead of reading information off the brain, can force the brain to read information off it. The computer can then control the person to laugh when s/he is upset, because it signals to the chips to send electrical impulses to suppress the activity patterns corresponding to crying and then to stimulate the neuro-pathways in the brain responsible for laughter. It can control the person to feel sad, depressed, hopeless, suicidal, angry, aggressive... etc. by the same principle. In this way it can work in a way that is very similar to those DBS devices used for suppressing tremors in Parkinson patients, or potentially for suppressing aggressions in sociopathic criminals, but which serves the inverse purpose. Namely, the DoD BCI device is now functioning as a Deep Brain Stimulation device for inducing pain and tremors and for increasing aggression in the implanted person instead of suppressing them.

Ultimately, when an algorithm is run on the computer, a system can be devised where the military scientist inputs a "script" (for example Shakespeare's Hamlet) into the computer and the computer will

control the target person to play out the “script” (to live out the life of Hamlet, for example) without the person's having much ability to resist at all (as long as s/he is acting rationally, i.e. always doing what s/he *wants* to do).

Because the activity patterns of a person's brain are extremely complex, the algorithm is extremely complicated, and is found only in the design of supercomputers. Specifically, supercomputers designed to simulate, and predict, future events. The computer system in this BCI system seems very similar to “Sentient World Simulation” developed by the Defense Department via Purdue University in another context: “Synthetic Environment for Analysis and Simulations”:

<http://www.krannert.purdue.edu/centers/perc/html/aboutperc/seaslabs/seaslabs.htm>. In other words, a supercomputer that is designed to be powerful enough to simulate future events is here attached to a mind-reading computer and a DBS system to program the future state of a person's brain activities. This works because the principle involved in predicting future events is the same as in predicting future states of the brain, i.e. the ability to calculate the results of interactions between billions of elements (what they call "nodes").

The purpose of this Defense Department BCI/ DBS system is not just to be able to remotely control a target person like a remotely controlled robot – I assume you are also aware of those experimental cases where scientists can remotely control a mouse with devices fitted on its brain or an insect by inserting electrodes at the back of its head – but specifically to be able to “reconstruct” a peaceful person into a career criminal. Namely, where the computer can not only induce anger and aggression in the implanted person in order to induce him to engage himself in criminal acts, but, when a complex program (like a “life plan”, or a “biography”, of a serial killer for example) is installed on the computer by which the computer decides when to induce what thoughts in the interfaced person and when to induce anger and aggression in him, can even over a long period of time completely “program” him to become a career criminal, even a serial killer.

The system of course does not entirely take away the target person's freewill since, when the computer inputs anger, aggression, or criminal desires in him, it's still up to him to decide whether to act on the anger, aggression, and desires that have been thusly remotely induced. He might resist, especially when he is aware that devices have been implanted in his brain and that he has been interfaced with a computer located somewhere else.

Prof G, I hope you have understood what I'm describing. While people have been debating whether to use DBS to cure career criminals of their criminality, the US government has been researching using fully computerized DBS to turn law-abiding citizens into career criminals. It's a technology for “criminalization” in a special sense, the inverse of “rehabilitation”.

And so here is the reason why I'm writing you – I'm sorry to say this, really. I have been a victim of this DoD BCI/ DBS system for several years, and have had enough with it. I'm seeking an expert who can understand to look into my issue since, as you can imagine, I have been shipped to mental hospitals by my lawyer or whoever several times for talking about “chips inside my brain”. I truly hope that you, Prof G, an expert in this field, are able to recognize that I'm talking science here. Even if I were lying to you or were completely wrong about my situation, I'm not talking insanity at the very least.

For this DoD system is truly the most pernicious thing in the world: when somebody has fallen victim to it, there is simply no possible way for him to make others believe, or even understand, what he has gone through. He can of course seek out other self-proclaimed “mind-control victims” in some “targeted individuals” community, but all these other fellows are still talking about “MK-ULTRA” from half a century ago – because nobody there is educated and so such outdated technology is all they can ever understand, or even verbalize.

Dear Prof G, like yourself, I'm fortunate enough to have studied philosophy while in college, and even wanted to go for history of science in graduate school. And thanks to that I'm now able to somewhat describe this evil technology which has so ruined my life.

I don't mean to scare you: a US government agency (it's NOT the CIA) has been using this DoD BCI/DBS system to “criminalize” me in the past three years. Before that, I have had no criminal history at all. I had never even got into a fight in my whole life. They tried to use this system to turn me into a violent criminal with the intent of disposing of me in the criminal justice system. They didn't quite succeed because, since I had had no experience in hurting people, no matter how much they hurt me by inducing anger and aggression in me, I knew only how to break windows in the neighborhoods to let out the anger and aggression. I was then repeatedly arrested for vandalism. I'm currently on probation for vandalism convictions in addition to being put on several government watchlists.

There is thus another weakness in the system: it can only control the target person to do what s/he already knows how to do or what is within his or her capacity to do.

Dear Prof G, I'm writing you because I hope to be able to incite your interest in my case enough to want to investigate it, even if only on your spare time and over a long period. At the very least, simply discussing the matter with an expert who can understand it and will not send me to the mental hospital upon hearing me is major progress for me.

I choose not to talk here about which US agency has been doing this to me and why. I myself only know why to the extent that I speculate, deduce, theorize, and research. The reason why they have done this to me seems very complicated. It's not worth talking about it here also because I don't have evidences for what I have theorized and deduced and discovered in any case. The only reliable evidences I have, of course, are the devices that are inside my brain – only if I could locate them. Now the design of the “chips” is so superb that they are practically undetectable. It mostly consists of organic components, and the only detectable part is certainly the carbon nanotube used as the radio transmitter and receiver. After some reading and asking around, I have decided that it might be possible to use Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy to detect these carbon nanotubes (by tuning it to look for carbon-13). However I was told by researchers that the MRS machines ordinarily found in hospitals (with merely 2 to 3 Tesla) didn't have the resolution necessary for detecting the sort of nanosize carbon fragments I was talking about. Only a few select research institutes in the world have machines with strong enough magnates. And so I guess I'm out of luck on this.

Thank you, Prof G, for reading through this letter.

Sincerely,

....

**29/04/2017:**

**Dr RSS is a renowned psychiatrist active in the anti-psychiatry movement in the US. He has also done research on government mind-control programs. Definitely not in the mainstream of the psychiatric establishment! The letter I wrote him mostly recycles the letter I wrote to Dr G.**

Dear Dr RSS:

I have recently discovered your interviews on Youtube and learned that you have corresponded with many “targeted individuals” about energy weapons, etc. It's indeed a pleasure to discover that a renowned psychiatrist such as you are can be open-minded enough to consider such outlandish topic without dismissing it right away.

I very much appreciate your work within the “anti-psychiatry” movement. I'm writing to you in order to bring to your attention a weaponized version of brain implant devices which the US Department of Defense has developed several years ago. I have been (I dare say) a victim of this device. I'm not clear if you could help me in my desperate circumstances in any way. But, since you are open-minded about the subject matter and have researched about government mind-control programs, I would like to keep you informed on what I know about this most sophisticated mind-control technology so that, at the very least, if you ever encounter similar claims during your future research, my testimony for you today might help you.

Dr RSS, I assume you have heard of Brown University's BrainGate project (or BrainGate2): <http://www.braingate2.org/>. The device I want to talk to you about is very similar to BrainGate2 except that it incorporates the function of Deep Brain Stimulation (DBS) as well. This DoD device is thus a two-way brain-computer interface (BCI) system where the computer is able to both read off information from the brain and input information into it. In 2000, when the BrainGate project started, the Brown University team got funded partly by the US Defense Department. I believe that the Defense Department is funding brain-computer interface in medical applications because they have been working on a similar two-way system in order to make a weapon out of it. Here is the design of the DoD system (according to my reconstruction):

A nano size brain chip is devised for implant into the brain to enable a computer to control and program a person's thoughts and feelings. The nano size chip consists of sensors to interface with neurons, a miniature computer chip, and a nano radio device made of carbon nanotubes. The system of chips (planted in various regions of the brain) picks up information about the global activity patterns of the brain and the nano radio devices on them send the information back to the computer system back in the base. The software on the computer system translates the information on brain activity patterns back into thoughts, feelings, visions, etc. by breaking down the patterns detected into elementary components and then matching the elementary components with identical patterns stored in a “mind reading dictionary” (pre-decoded information on human brain activity patterns). In other words, the



computer is reading the person's thoughts. The Department of Defense has presumably previously decoded the human brain activity patterns and compiled a dictionary using the same technique as that currently being used in many fMRI mind-mapping projects in various universities, like the one at UC Berkeley under Prof. Jack Gallant: <http://gallantlab.org/>. (Compare also the US government's BRAIN Initiative: [http://braininitiative.nih.gov/pdf/BRAIN2025\\_508C.pdf](http://braininitiative.nih.gov/pdf/BRAIN2025_508C.pdf).) Although the principle here is the same as the BrainGate system, please note that the DoD system is quantitatively far more advanced in that it will have no difficulty in picking up the totality of signals from the ENTIRE brain, not just from the motor cortex.

The next step in the DoD system consists in reversing the mind-reading process. The computer back in the base, instead of reading information off the brain, can force the brain to read information off it. The computer can then control the person to laugh when s/he is upset, because it signals to the chips to send electrical impulses to suppress the activity patterns corresponding to crying and then to stimulate the neuro-pathways in the brain responsible for laughter. It can control the person to feel sad, depressed, hopeless, suicidal, angry, aggressive... etc. by the same principle. In this way it can work in a way that is very similar to those DBS devices used for suppressing tremors in Parkinson patients, or potentially for suppressing aggressions in sociopathic criminals, but which serves the inverse purpose. Namely, the DoD BCI device is now functioning as a Deep Brain Stimulation device for inducing pain and tremors and for increasing aggression in the implanted person instead of suppressing them.

Ultimately, when an algorithm is run on the computer, a system can be devised where the military scientist inputs a "script" (for example Shakespeare's Hamlet) into the computer and the computer will control the target person to play out the "script" (to live out the life of Hamlet, for example) without the person's having much ability to resist at all (as long as s/he is acting rationally, i.e. always doing what s/he *wants* to do).

Because the activity patterns of a person's brain are extremely complex, the algorithm is extremely complicated, and is found only in the design of supercomputers. Specifically, supercomputers designed to simulate, and predict, future events. The computer system in this BCI system seems very similar to "Sentient World Simulation" developed by the Defense Department via Purdue University in another context: "Synthetic Environment for Analysis and Simulations" (<http://www.krannert.purdue.edu/centers/perc/html/aboutperc/seaslabs/seaslabs.htm>). In other words, a supercomputer that is designed to be powerful enough to simulate future events is here attached to a mind-reading computer and a DBS system to program the future state of a person's brain activities. This works because the principle involved in predicting future events is the same as in predicting future states of the brain, i.e. the ability to calculate the results of interactions between billions of elements (what they call "nodes").

The purpose of this Defense Department BCI/ DBS system is not just to be able to remotely control a target person like a remotely controlled robot – I assume you are also aware of those experimental cases where scientists can remotely control a mouse with devices fitted on its brain or an insect by inserting electrodes at the back of its head – but specifically to be able to "reconstruct" a peaceful person into a career criminal. Namely, where the computer can not only induce anger and aggression in the implanted person in order to induce him to engage himself in criminal acts, but, when a complex program (like a "life plan", or a "biography", of a serial killer for example) is installed on the computer

by which the computer decides when to induce what thoughts in the interfaced person and when to induce anger and aggression in him, can even over a long period of time completely “program” him to become a career criminal, even a serial killer.

The system of course does not entirely take away the target person's freewill since, when the computer inputs anger, aggression, or criminal desires in him, it's still up to him to decide whether to act on the anger, aggression, and desires that have been thusly remotely induced. He might resist, especially when he is aware that devices have been implanted in his brain and that he has been interfaced with a computer located somewhere else.

Dr RSS, I hope you have understood what I'm describing. While people have been debating whether to use DBS to cure career criminals of their criminality, the US government has been researching using fully computerized DBS to turn law-abiding citizens into career criminals. It's a technology for “criminalization” in a special sense, the inverse of “rehabilitation”.

Now a note on my circumstance. I have been a victim of this DoD BCI/ DBS system for several years, roughly between June 2012 and February 2016, and have had enough with it. The worst part is that, as you can imagine, I have been shipped to mental hospitals by my lawyer or whoever several times for talking about “chips inside my brain”. I truly hope that you, Dr RSS, a professional scientist, are able to recognize that I'm talking science here. Even if I were lying to you or were completely wrong about my situation, I'm not talking insanity at the very least.

For this DoD system is truly the most pernicious thing in the world: when somebody has fallen victim to it, there is simply no possible way for him to make others believe, or even understand, what he has gone through. He can of course seek out other self-proclaimed “mind-control victims” in some “targeted individuals” community, but all these other fellows are still talking about “MK-ULTRA” from half a century ago – because nobody there is educated and so such outdated technology is all they can ever understand, or even verbalize.

Meanwhile, I'm fortunate enough to have studied philosophy while in college, and even wanted to go for history of science in graduate school. And thanks to that I'm now able to somewhat describe this evil technology which has so ruined my life.

What has happened to me is that a group of people from Homeland Security's clandestine operation unit (not the DHS bureaucracy, but its operational team) has been using this DoD BCI/ DBS system to “criminalize” me in the past four years, roughly between November 2013 and February 2016. Before that, I have had no criminal history at all. I had never even got into a fight in my whole life. They tried to use this system to turn me into a violent criminal with the intent of disposing of me in the criminal justice system. They didn't quite succeed because, since I had had no experience in hurting people, no matter how much they hurt me by inducing anger and aggression in me, I knew only how to break windows in the neighborhoods to let out the anger and aggression. I was then repeatedly arrested for vandalism. I'm currently on probation for vandalism convictions.

There is thus another weakness in the system: it can only control the target person to do what s/he already knows how to do or what is within his or her capacity to do.

But these folks from Homeland Security were able to gratify themselves by interpreting my vandalism as “terrorism” and classifying me, in official records, as a “terrorist”. That was the summer of 2015. In other words, they labeled me a “terrorist” for the vandalism they had themselves controlled me to perform. It caused me tremendous problems when I, for example, volunteered for political campaigns. As far as I know, these folks from Homeland Security didn't completely ruin me because other federal agencies are currently disputing the validity of Homeland Security's classification.

Now the reason why these Homeland Security thugs have done this to me seems very complicated. I myself only know why to the extent that I speculate, deduce, theorize, and research. It's not worth talking about it here because I don't have evidences for what I have theorized and deduced and discovered in any case. The only reliable evidences I have, of course, are the devices that are inside my brain – only if I could locate them. Now the design of the “chips” is so superb that they are practically undetectable. It mostly consists of organic components, and the only detectable part is certainly the carbon nanotube used as the radio transmitter and receiver. After some reading and asking around, I have decided that it might be possible to use Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy to detect these carbon nanotubes (by tuning it to look for carbon-13). However I was told by researchers that the MRS machines ordinarily found in hospitals (with merely 2 to 3 Tesla) didn't have the resolution necessary for detecting the sort of nanosize carbon fragments I was talking about. Only a few select research institutes in the world have machines with strong enough magnates. And so I guess I'm out of luck on this.

Thank you, Dr RSS, for reading through this letter. Again, even though my testimony is anecdotal and not backed up by documentary proofs, I hope it might help you in some way in your future research on government's mind-control programs. If you ever develop an interest in my case, please do contact me. The chips are still in my brain supposedly, and my status as a “terrorist” is in dispute, so that I shouldn't implicate you in any way. I currently live in Los Angeles.

Sincerely,

.....