


[Home](#)[Forum](#)[About Us](#)[Support Us](#)[Contact](#)[Register](#)[Log in](#)

Open Letter to Sibel Edmonds: the difficulty of insider story [Edit](#)

[Home](#) › [Forums](#) › [Civil Liberties & Police State](#) › [Open Letter to Sibel Edmonds: the difficulty of insider story](#)

This topic contains 40 replies, has 4 voices, and was last updated by  theophoretos 1 minute ago.

Viewing 40 posts - 1 through 40 (of 41 total)

12 →

- **Author**
[Posts](#) | [Unsubscribe](#) [Favorite](#)
- **June 11, 2013 at 2:43 am #20680**



theophoretos
Member

As a long-term fan and follower of Sibel Edmonds, I want to here share some of my frustrations with my similar attempt to expose government secrets, and see if Sibel can offer some suggestion drawing from her own experience.

What has most impressed me about Sibel is how happy she is when she relates the facts she knows in all her interviews. Well, it is a fundamental human need to have your experience shared with, and recognized and validated by, your fellow human beings. This is why, I suppose, whenever anyone has had an extraordinary experience, whether as an insider in government operations, or as a victim of government operations, she or he writes a book about the experience after coming out of it. This is also why friends like to gather together in coffee houses to talk about their days and significant others. In the case of these ordinary people, however, sharing and validation are a given, since the details in interpersonal relationships are fairly universal; nobody will have problem understanding anything or believing anything. In the cases of government insiders, on the other hand, validation from others is not a given. In the case of Sibel, working inside the government has caused her to transcend the fictional fantasy which ordinary people take to be reality and see the truth that the US government is the mastermind behind all these Islamic terrorists. This has become her reality, which is the exact opposite of ordinary people's reality, that the US is a victim of Islamic terrorism (the "official story"). Now I'm sure she has many reasons why she wants to share her reality with her fellow citizens, like: to promote democracy in this country... But she must have experienced an urge to share her extraordinary vision of reality – extraordinary because it is a kind of disillusionment with the fictions with which the government's PR machine has been feeding the ordinary citizens – simply as a matter of being human: she wants to correct the falsehoods which have dominated the world view of her fellow human beings, make her reality something shared, and in the process feel recognized and validated. But she experiences a problem: she is gagged. It must be utterly frustrating when a human being is denied the fulfillment of a natural human need. She seeks out help organizations, but is ignored by all of them, because it turns out that these help organizations are not here to help people at all, but are only interested

in fictional issues which can allow them to advance themselves. Ten years later, Sibel can finally talk. But there are more problems. She can't get on mainstream media, because mainstream media's task is to present government fiction as reality, and her reality is the opposite of this fiction. Lucky for her, she finds a corner in the margin of society, in alternative media and consumers of conspiracy theories... Now she finds her audience, she shines with happiness in all her interviews... And she finds her own help organizations with other people of similar interests. She is at last validated: her different version of reality has been recognized by her fellow human beings as true.

Of course people will usually ask how she has helped people with her revelation, rather than what benefit she has brought to herself. The benefit she has brought to her audience is enormous: it is equivalent to leading the victims of government deception out of their state of delusion, out of bondage, like Moses leading the Israelites out of slavery. I suppose this is why there is always the flavor of religious redemption at work in the world of alternative media and conspiracy theories. The consumers in this domain frequently refer to themselves as "awake".

A victim of human rights abuse or political oppression in China or Iran, like the recent Chen Guangcheng, not allowed to speak in his home country, can turn to the US. He even goes on discussion forum at Council of Foreign Relations. The US government accepts those silenced people from "kingdoms of darkness" because it has an interest in making its competitor nations like China and Iran look bad while making itself look like a beacon of democracy and human rights in the international arena. It's a strategy in building up soft power, and there is no substance to the humanitarianism supposedly manifested in the rescue. But at least the victim can use the opportunity to get his experience and victimization validated. The process however does not work in the reverse direction. Rarely can victims of US censorship or human rights abuse turn to North Korea or Iran or Russia to get their experience and victimization validated.

Whistleblowers, along with geopolitical analysts who refuse to be duped by "official stories", although not victims of human rights abuse, encounter the problem of validation in that they can never get on mainstream communication channels to get their experience validated by their fellow citizens – insofar as their experience of the government is at odds with that of the official version. But they are able to discover alternative news and conspiracy theories forum in the counter culture domain. These channels and audience on the margin of their society are however nothing like the official validation and confirmation which the US government and mainstream media offer to the foreign political victims who have sought refuge in American society. The closest foreign resemblance to US official validation of human rights abuse victims from "evil kingdoms" is Russia Today, as when it invites alternative media guru to its discussion forums. This is about the extent to which the "evil kingdoms" reciprocate US tactics.

Access to mainstream communication channels, and the validation obtained through broadcasting on them, are more advantageous because the ordinary consumers of conspiracy theories and alternative stories are not always the ideal audience for the kind of information which whistleblower like Sibel is bringing out. Most of them work full time and have not the time to educate themselves on the background information about politics and government operations, while many still live in the fantasy world of Illuminati. I don't know how many have fully grasped the significance of Sibel's revelation. I would like to see Sibel's revelations, along with those of other whistleblowers, becoming studying materials of professors in international relations and national security studies, for only specialists possess the background information and the complexity of mind to incorporate into context the tremendous light which whistleblowers shed on the true nature of our government against the

deception accumulated in "official stories". But university professors invariably toe the official lines in political issues. In order to recruit their precious mind to the study of truth, in other words, the truth has to be validated by mainstream communication channels.

The victims of human rights abuse in Western democracies are in much worse shape than the whistleblowers and alternative political analysts. The problems with the human rights abuses committed by Western democracies are (1) the Western democracies have well mastered the art of hypocrisy and built up a deceptive reputation that they do no systematically abuse human rights and (2) the abuses they commit against their citizens are so deviant as to be unbelievable and incapable of arousing sympathy for the victims. In the circle of conspiracy theories people are well aware of these typical US government crimes: staging 911 attacks to kill its own people; arming terrorists around the world and using them to attack other nations and to kill Americans themselves; engineering the weather and all natural phenomena so that even the air and food we breathe and eat can be controlled by the government (and its corporate partners); intercepting and cataloguing every single action which Americans and people around the world perform and every single word Americans and people around the world have spoken and written, to build up the most massive and technologically sophisticated surveillance state history has ever seen. The more bizarre ones include: faking UFO abductions; creating a fake reality about what it and other governments around the world are doing so that all people on the planet will have no idea what their governments are really doing... What even the conspiracy theorists couldn't imagine is the US plan to microchip every single human being on the planet – both in his or her body and in his or her brain – in order to eliminate free will from planet earth and make the entire humanity into half robot and half human that is interfaced with a giant computer that runs the entire nature. Our Uncle Sam has been living a double life; outwardly he presents himself as a champion of freedom, human rights and democracy, while behind people's back he is secretly aiming for the exact opposite. His real goal is to become the dominant power in every corner of the planet and control every inch of reality. He is a domination and control freak. For this he needs to completely eradicate freedom as a very possibility from our world. Knowledge of this fact has caused me to feel enormous aversion toward the usual cries over the injustice of Guantanamo and other CIA secret prisons. Those "ordinary" critics of the US government have hardly captured the essence of Uncle Sam's criminality – as if Uncle Sam were merely a rudimentary tyrant like Stalin or Mao, knowing only how to lock people up and beat them up. It's like criticizing Stalin for sending agents to steal people's bread while being oblivious of his extermination of 20 million of his own citizens. In focusing on the lesser crimes of Uncle Sam as if these were all he is capable of, the critics are actually contributing to covering up the man's true criminal nature. The cases that I deal with daily are the worst and most deviant which Uncle Sam has ever done: victims of those mind-control experiments and operations which are Uncle Sam's preparatory steps toward the total elimination of free will from planet earth. These victims daily complain about how, via the nano devices which have been implanted into their brain and body without their consent, thoughts and emotions which are not their own are transmitted into their consciousness by their controllers. I have known cases where people are being remotely controlled like a robot, by a computer program set up in the control center, to do all sorts of things – often without them ever being aware of it. Conspiracy theorists might suspect this terrorist bombing here and that mass shooting over there to be false flag events orchestrated by the US government itself, but they never wonder if the bombers and the gunmen might have a chip inside their brain through which the government could control them to commit any crime without leaving the slightest trace behind, since there is no handler or instruction or anything like that. In the case of those victims whose mind is possessed through implanted

devices, you can never help them complain to court or human rights organization, unlike the cases of those who came out of the secret prisons and so on. Nobody will believe it, and their suffering is too strange for people to sympathize with them and cry injustice on their behalf. In every age there is a kind of victimization where people will condemn the victims rather than the victimizers. In ancient India and China, for example, if a woman reveals that she has been raped, her family members will kill her rather than sympathize with her. Gradually I have discovered that, in Western "democracies", these mind-control victims are it. Even the most vocal and enthusiastic denouncers of Uncle Sam will not want to hear about his criminal attempts to invade people's mind and replace their free will with its computer program; victims of US mind-control operations are universally shunned. When I reported on it among 911 truthers (those revolutionaries against the New World Order) I was immediately shunned and then kicked out. And there are certainly no enemy states which will accept these most awful victims of US human rights abuse. Neither North Korea nor Iran have had the habit of accumulating soft power by rescuing human rights abuse victims in the West in any case – let alone these most disgusting types of victims.

Now my purpose here is to talk about the particular "insider story" which I wish to reveal. Unfortunately my story is a combination of that of the whistleblower and that of those worst case human rights abuse victims I have just referred to. Although typical consumers of alternative media who are interested in seeing through the facades of official stories and getting to the truth of government operations would find what I have to offer extremely interesting, I encounter, in reaching out to people, obstacles that are impossible to overcome. It is impossible to communicate my experience to ordinary people. The insider stories of other whistleblowers, not just Sibel's, but also Susan Lindauer's and Annie Machon's, for example, all have a fairly simple structure. She performed a task within or for an agency, blew the whistle, and was mistreated and silenced, and she brings to the public one, or a set of, stories of discrete events within the boundary of a single nation's political machine. This makes possible their story's communication to, and comprehension by, audience who have not had the training of a specialist. Mine is about the US attempt to use a terrorist suspect as patsy to defraud the International Court of Justice as a way to advance its agendas against China and Russia and reorder international relations in its favor. This story is not only of protracted length, but involves complicated details of multiple nations' intelligence agencies' clandestine operations, international relations, sophisticated manipulation and interpretation of international laws, International Court of Justice, geopolitics, and classified military technology so advanced as to sound like science fiction. The fact that my experience involves national, and international, security secrets of the first order and cross-border events which have large scale impact on international relations actually works to impede its communication to ordinary people. Just to understand it requires a professor in related domains who has the background knowledge in international structures and politics and a mind capable of comprehending complex phenomena. Whereas, in the case of whistleblowers, academic specialists are the preferred audience, in my case they are the only possible audience. I might relate to ordinary people the first portion of my story, for example, the secret trial in the International Court of Justice between China and United States from late 2007 to mid 2008 over United States' violation of UN Resolution 1373, in which China was convicted as a terrorism sponsoring state and subjected to secret sanctions in the UN, and they cannot even understand the significance and the gravity of what I was telling them. Ordinary people have no notion of the fact that the greater part of the power relations between nations is effected through boring bureaucratic procedures, treaties, and international laws. I have never even met a person who has heard of UN Resolution 1373, let alone could comprehend the serious damage to its power base

in the international domain when a nation is convicted of violating this Resolution in the International Court of Justice. Then, the complexity of the ingenious backstabbing techniques which the US government practices on Russia and China is simply beyond the comprehension of ordinary minds.

The worst part is this. The kind of crime which the US government has committed in my insider story is so strange and deviant that no nations, not even the victim like Russia, can possibly admit it, not even for propaganda purpose. Speaking of it to ordinary people is also hopeless, because it simply goes beyond their comprehension of what is possible. The original secret trial has gradually evolved into a second secret trial where a whole host of crimes which the US has committed before are being tried. Among those crimes is the foiled US attempt to plant nanochips into the brain of Russian government officials through the International Court system in order to turn them into "Manchurian candidates" for the US government. I seriously doubt that anyone here will believe me if I reveal this. Not even Sibel herself will believe it. And yet this has happened in 2009. No one will believe it unless some government officials are willing to admit it; but they will never do so. It is partly for reason of the extraordinarily deviant nature of the US crimes being tried that this International Court trial has been maintained in the strictest confidentiality; only the topmost officials of governments around the world have knowledge of it, and they will never speak of it to anyone. The utterly bizarre nature of Uncle Sam's crimes has guaranteed that no one else who is involved can possibly leak them, not even to "alternative media".

Yet my very validation as a victim of human rights abuse depends on leaking this secret international court trial to the public domain. My involvement in this secret International Court trial has caused me to suffer the strangest and most deviant form of human rights abuse, precisely the kind which would cause others to hate you and blame you rather than hear you and sympathize with you, if people can actually believe it at all. I have also been feeling like an obstructed prophet... I thought there are a group of people, the consumers of alternative media, who refuse to take as reality the fairy tale fiction with which their government has fed them and who want to hear the truth about their government... But, can they understand the truth? And do they really want to see the full extent of the evil which their government practices? Can they handle the truth? Do they want the truth even when it is so disgusting beyond their wildest imagination?

And so I want to ask Sibel, where do I turn if I do not want to carry the truth I know to the grave? Or should I just carry it to the grave?

June 19, 2013 at 5:44 pm #20953



hohum
Member

*For what it's worth, **theophoretos**... I wholeheartedly believe everything you've charged Uncle Sam with. It took me a long time to get to the point where such things are imaginable, but at 30 years of age I now am able to comprehend such things to be part of our horrorshow reality.*

*It was probably after reading this book: **The Franklin Cover-Up, Child Abuse, Satanism, and Murder in Nebraska** by Senator John W. DeCamp about the cover-up of the secret child sex abuse ring, whose participants go all the way up the US power elite ladder to the Bush family, and many other members also being attendees of the infamous ritual ceremonies which take place at The Bohemian Grove... which shook my entire worldview enough to know that what you say is probably true.*

Here is the documentary about that book and this is like no other documentary you've ever seen, trust.

Conspiracy of Silence

-Amanda

June 20, 2013 at 2:50 am #20972



theophoretos
Member

Thanks Amanda, for your encouraging comment. I found the case you referred to at Whale: <http://www.whale.to/b/franklin.html>.

I still wish Sibel Edmonds herself would look at the letter and say something about what she thinks. She is after all the president of the Whistleblower foundation.

June 20, 2013 at 12:57 pm #20977



hohum
Member

I still wish Sibel Edmonds herself would look at the letter and say something about what she thinks. She is after all the president of the Whistleblower foundation.

I understand.

In fact, I'd like to hear what she has to say about this also.

Sibel! Paging Sibel! ...You're wanted at the front desk.

Inquiring minds would like your expert advice, ma'am. =)

-Amanda

June 22, 2013 at 9:59 pm #21045



theophoretos
Member

Dear Amanda, I watched the whole Conspiracy of Silence at <http://www.franklincase.org/>. I loved it. I want to thank you for your reference.

I don't think our great Sibel has the time to pay attention to our little whistleblowing here.

I leave you a link to a 5 page summary of my "whistleblowing", <http://www.targetedforums.com/f6/my-story-i-am-remotely-controlled-robots-my-programmers-54/#post910> And my website: <http://www.lawrencechin2011.com/index.html>

For the most part, I guess I'm just gonna carry my secrets to my grave.

June 23, 2013 at 3:11 pm #21068



dreaminglucidly
Member

• This reply was modified 1 week, 4 days ago by dreaminglucidly.

June 23, 2013 at 5:00 pm #21072



hohum
Member

theophoretos

I read bits and pieces of the vast amount of information that you have written on your site. While there are many things mentioned which puzzled me, I guess the one that caught my attention the most was this notion you propose that Obama had been implanted with a microchip, whereby he was being controlled like a robot. I guess my question is not "how could this be possible?", because I imagine that it could be done without great difficulty, but rather –how do YOU know such a thing to be true? Why on earth would "you" be provided with such sensitive information, if you are merely an avg. joe preyed upon and toyed with by the NSA/CIA? It simply doesn't follow logic to think that you would be furnished with insider info such as this, when you are not even remotely an insider. Can you explain this?

June 24, 2013 at 3:49 am #21092



theophoretos
Member

Yes Amanda, the information is communicated to me by the CIA and SVR. In any case, by international laws a certain act can only be considered a crime if it is known in some circle (including me), this is why I assume I wasn't being lied to. (The chipping of Obama cannot be considered part of the crime under prosecution unless I and some others know about it.)

I'm sorry the truth is so bizarre. At least, I have no interest in wasting people's time by feeding them with fantasies. That's for sure.

June 24, 2013 at 4:31 pm #21111



Rose Mary
Member

theophoretos, I find your story believable.

Uncle Sam has been living a double life; outwardly he presents himself as a champion of freedom, human rights and democracy,

There seems to be some general misconceptions here, and these misconceptions are epidemic in alternativ media. It is not "Uncle Sam", it is not US government as a representative for Americans – that is responsible, but they like us to believe that. It is A PEOPLE, like Germans as a people. USA was conceived by these people as early as in "New Atlantis"
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/New_Atlanis

USA is a construction for these people. Who these people are is straightforward to identify. Any comments... These people hide themselves. But they are hidden in plain view.

- This reply was modified 1 week, 3 days ago by Rose Mary.
- This reply was modified 1 week, 3 days ago by Rose Mary.

June 24, 2013 at 9:54 pm #21144



Rose Mary
Member

Visible leaders of this people are Zbigniew Brzezinski
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zbigniew_Brzezinski,
Kissinger, the Bushes, the Kennedys, Benjamin
Netanyahu.

Kissinger
Kissinger was born Heinz Alfred Kissinger
in Fürth, Bavaria, Germany, in 1923 during
the Weimar Republic,

Mostly they "decent" from Europa. That is not what is
interesting, neither if they are Christians or Jewish –
religion is not important. What is interesting is who they
are.

June 25, 2013 at 4:22 am #21166



theophoretos
Member

Thanks Mary. And you make a good point about the
identity of "America".

June 25, 2013 at 4:55 am #21167



Rose Mary
Member

They may consider Astana as a future capital



Palace of Peace and Reconciliation (Pyramid of Peace)

In the BBC program "The Secrets of the Mediterranean"
Sir Trevor McDonald (elite himself)
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Trevor_McDonald visited
some untypical french village
<http://www.rancheldorado.fr/home.html>

I would like to learn more about them – probably
descendants of the same people. 900 years ago they
had their own kingdom in these areas till it was defeated
by the Pope.

- This reply was modified 1 week, 2 days ago by Rose Mary.
- This reply was modified 1 week, 2 days ago by Rose Mary.

June 25, 2013 at 11:23 am #21172



hohum
Member

What is interesting is who they are.

I would argue that it is not "who they are", but rather
what they've done that's interesting.

-Amanda

June 25, 2013 at 11:34 am #21173



Rose Mary
Member

So what is CIA?

1. An "intelligence organisation"
2. An "American" organisation

None is true. And the same goes for NSA.

But that is what Wikipedia tells us.

"The National Security Agency (NSA) is a cryptologic intelligence agency of the United States Department of Defense responsible for the collection and analysis of foreign communications and foreign signals intelligence, ..."

"foreign communications" – not true

"cryptologic intelligence" – not true

"United States" – funding yes, purpose no

- This reply was modified 1 week, 2 days ago by Rose Mary.
- This reply was modified 1 week, 2 days ago by Rose Mary.
- This reply was modified 1 week, 2 days ago by Rose Mary.
- This reply was modified 1 week, 2 days ago by Rose Mary.

June 25, 2013 at 1:29 pm #21190



Rose Mary
Member

Correction: But that is what Wikipedia tells us -> But that is not what Wikipedia tells us.

June 25, 2013 at 3:30 pm #21204



hohum
Member

Wikipedia is not the end-all-be-all of factual information. Anyone can edit the topics to say anything that they want. You or I could even add our own information right now. Corporations have certainly added false info to Wikipedia in the past. The Church of Scientology is infamous for doing just that.

-Amanda

June 25, 2013 at 3:47 pm #21205



Rose Mary
Member

It used to be like that, Wikipedia was a database build by individual contributions.

But obviously that is no longer the case. Simple proof:

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Globalism>

Very sparse with explanation here. Why?

When we click on the History button top right we can see the editing

<http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Globalism&action=history>

The contributions are mostly deleted. There is hardly anything left, and what is left is wrong.



Rewriting facts

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sandy_Hook_Elementary_School_shooting#cite_note-CTSP-20130118-20

A large quantity of unused ammunition was recovered inside the school along with three semi-automatic firearms found with Lanza: a .223-caliber Bushmaster XM15-E2S rifle (with a 30 round magazine), a 10mm Glock handgun, and a 9mm SIG Sauer P226 handgun.[19][20][21][22][83] Outside the school, an Izhmash Saiga-12 combat shotgun was found in the car Lanza had driven.[20][22]

Not factual: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xFNPY4jTskI>

It used to be that Wikipedia was telling one version not confirmed by the links. The "facts" are still changed, and any version will have a "source"

- *This reply was modified 1 week, 2 days ago by  Rose Mary.*
- *This reply was modified 1 week, 2 days ago by  Rose Mary.*

June 25, 2013 at 4:15 pm #21207



hohum
Member

Nevermind =(

ClueBot FTW!

June 25, 2013 at 4:56 pm #21216



Rose Mary
Member

Anyone can edit the topics to say anything that they want. You or I could even add our own information right now.

One author with years of research on one topic tried to get Wikipedia right on that, but editing was deleted after some days. In the end editing was deleted by minutes.

When something is controversial Wikipedia is useless. Today Wikipedia is just like any globalistic source. It means we can find out what the globalists are saying by reading Wikipedia.


June 25, 2013 at 5:58 pm #21220



Rose Mary
Member

In short: Wikipedia is no longer what it pretends to be. We have to keep that in mind and not be like sheep.

This fact alone tells us something about what is real.

- *This reply was modified 1 week, 2 days ago by  Rose Mary.*
- June 26, 2013 at 11:34 am #21255*



hohum
Member

Rose Mary,

I think that you and I are in agreement about Wikipedia. Not sure if you got the impression that I was trying to argue with you here, but I wasn't. =)

It means we can find out what the globalists are saying by reading Wikipedia

Except for this part right here, which doesn't make sense.
June 26, 2013 at 12:05 pm #21257



Rose Mary
Member

Simple example: What is National Geographic's (globalist org) view of human origin. Check out Wikipedia – same people.

July 1, 2013 at 1:54 pm #21644



hohum
Member

*Yes Amanda, the information is communicated to me by the CIA and SVR. In any case, by international laws a certain act can only be considered a crime if it is known in some circle (including me), **this is why I assume I wasn't being lied to.** (The chipping of Obama cannot be considered part of the crime under prosecution unless I and some others know about it.)*

So these are things that, as you said, you have "assumed" to be true.

They could have been feeding you lies the whole time (not at all unlikely of the CIA to do), but you assume they were telling the truth.

I think that they lied to you.
July 1, 2013 at 7:06 pm #21677



theophoretos
Member

No Amanda. I don't believe they would lie to me about this. They can't win the trial if they lie to me about the crimes on trial. It would defeat their whole purpose.

Again, you can't appreciate this point unless you are familiar with the international laws in question.

July 1, 2013 at 7:21 pm #21678



theophoretos
Member

Just as I have said in my open letter, most of the government secrets cannot be "whistleblowed" because nobody will understand them. Most of the government secrets involve policies, grand strategies, laws, international laws, treaties, and bureaucratic procedures, and ordinary people don't have any understanding of these things. When they hear it, it's like meaningless noises that don't even register in their mind.

The stories from E Snowden are about as far as whistleblowing can get. He describes NSA's simple act with rudimentary technologies to spy on people. There is no explanation of the context (policies, politics, international politics, and the grand strategy which explain why NSA is doing this), and no revelation of higher grade classified technology. Snowden doesn't have access to the policies, etc., nor will anyone understand his revelation if he gains access to them and reveal them.

July 1, 2013 at 7:26 pm #21680



theophoretos
Member

Forgot to say that Sibel does reveal something on the level of policies when she whistleblows about Muslim terrorists being Gladio Plan B operatives, for example. Namely, there are a lot of resources in the Caucasus. That's part of what I mean by "policies" and "grand strategies".

July 1, 2013 at 7:47 pm #21683



Rose Mary
Member

Snowden doesn't have access to the policies, etc., nor will anyone understand his revelation if he gains access to them and reveal them.

Snowden cannot see the big picture, in no way will employees at his level be educated. He will see a piece of the big picture. We can explore the big picture (not easy) – first there will be screaming trolls

hohum

I think that they lied to you.

Not "the real thing" reply to me

• This reply was modified 2 days, 22 hours ago by Rose Mary.

July 3, 2013 at 8:26 pm #21856



hohum
Member

No Amanda. I don't believe they would lie to me about this. They can't win the trial if they lie to me about the crimes on trial. It would defeat their whole purpose.

Again, you can't appreciate this point unless you are familiar with the international laws in question.

I'm sorry. That was rude of me to say to you. You're probably right.

*I mean, why *would* they lie to you?*

July 3, 2013 at 9:51 pm #21863



theophoretos

Member

I don't really know what to do with people sometimes. It seems so hard for people to use their brain.

I have said it three times: these people need to tell me about the crime, otherwise they can't win the trial. And they need to win the trial. That's why they can't lie to me.

The inability for people to process information through their brain is the most serious obstacle to democracy, evidently.

July 4, 2013 at 1:55 am #21865



hohum

Member

I don't really know what to do with people sometimes. It seems so hard for people to use their brain.

I have said it three times: these people need to tell me about the crime, otherwise they can't win the trial. And they need to win the trial. That's why they can't lie to me.

The inability for people to process information through their brain is the most serious obstacle to democracy, evidently.

I understood what you said perfectly, actually –all three times that you said it even.

I also understand that these agencies conduct mind-control/psychological experiments on unwitting subjects all the time. Many of which are elaborate schemes that they fabricate out of thin air and which often involve many people whom are all in on it –each one playing a different role. The subjects of the experiment are usually none the wiser.

This entire scenario you've described here sounds very similar to CIA experiments conducted in the past.

July 4, 2013 at 5:28 am #21870



theophoretos

Member

I also understand that these agencies conduct mind-control/psychological experiments on unwitting subjects all the time. Many of which are elaborate schemes that they fabricate out of thin air and which often involve many people whom are all in on it –each one playing a different role. The subjects of the experiment are usually none the wiser. This entire scenario you've described here sounds very similar to CIA experiments conducted in the past.

From what you said it seems that your knowledge of some CIA mind-control experiment is derived entirely from little pieces of information which you have read here and there. How do you know these info are accurate? Why do you speak with such authority as if you can distinguish between what is true and what is false, when you obviously have had no personal experience with the issue? Why do you question me when I'm the one who has had 7 years of on-and-off personal encounters with the CIA clandestine service personnel (plus a few from the SVR and MSS) and you have simply read some little bits of info here and there? It is just very strange: why does an inexperienced novice think she can question an expert?


Anyway, it is just coincidental that I was meeting with one of those clandestine service guys today when I saw your reply and I showed it to him. The essential of the "trial" has finished, and he is baffled by why I even want to relate my experience to strangers on the Internet. I am rather baffled by him. I have been baffled by these clandestine service women and men for many years: they always keep things in secret; when they do bad things, they keep it a secret; when they do good things, they keep it a secret; when they suffer injustice from their political opponents higher up or from Homeland Security, they keep it a secret. Why do you never have the desire to tell people what you have gone through? They just don't. "You can't tell people... They will not understand it anyway." That's their answer. Don't you believe in democracy? "Democracy is not possible," that's their answer. That's what I don't get: the field operatives are all graduate students and the planners higher up are all university professors of some sort. All educated and nice people, when you first meet them. Then why do they have such disdain for democracy? I have only bothered to participate in activist groups in the past year and a half. All because I suddenly believed in this stupid thing called "democracy", like, people should know what their government is doing instead of being manipulated by politicians to go there and do that without knowing why. Now I know that those people in the CS and the government are truly wiser. They never reveal anything that they do to the American people because American people will not understand it. Ordinary people are just not smart enough to understand politics and international relations, and they don't know that they aren't smart enough. Now I understand that General Hayden really does mean what he said on RT:

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bxEWTvX4QGw&feature=share&list=FLLc-](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bxEWTvX4QGw&feature=share&list=FLLc-JNKaHINvw2exrF0opcA)

JNKaHINvw2exrF0opcA , that "we are a representative democracy, not a direct democracy like ancient Athen; we elect representatives who consent to the government on our behalf". There shouldn't be total transparency of government processes... because people will not understand them. If people are allowed to influence policies with their opinions, the whole nation will sink away. People are not capable of understanding what their interests are. The government knows people's interests better than people do themselves.

What if the government is not acting on people's behalf? I'm sure that, when I ask the CS guy this question the next time, he'll just say, "That's too bad". Representative democracy is the best you can have. If the representatives are not acting in the interests of their constituencies, then it's just too bad, because there isn't anything better out there. People are just not smart enough, what can you do about that?

In any case, I should have learned this earlier and never bothered to say anything to strangers. Now I realize that it is more important to pay attention to the immigration reform bill that is going through the House right now than to waste time on political activism or whistleblowing or fighting to keep government transparent on alternative media, etc.

• *This reply was modified 13 hours, 29 minutes ago by  theophoretos.*

July 4, 2013 at 8:41 am #21879



Rose Mary
Member

hohum

I also understand that these agencies conduct mind-control/psychological experiments on unwitting subjects all the time.

Joking?

What will happen in media when Sibel Edmonds is associated with attitudes like "mind-control/psychological experiments on unwitting subjects all the time"? 😊

- This reply was modified 10 hours, 16 minutes ago by Rose Mary.

July 4, 2013 at 11:05 am #21883



hohum
Member

From what you said it seems that your knowledge of some CIA mind-control experiment is derived entirely from little pieces of information which you have read here and there. How do you know these info are accurate? Why do you speak with such authority as if you can distinguish between what is true and what is false, when you obviously have had no personal experience with the issue? Why do you question me when I'm the one who has had 7 years of on-and-off personal encounters with the CIA clandestine service personnel (plus a few from the SVR and MSS) and you have simply read some little bits of info here and there? It is just very strange: why does an inexperienced novice think she can question an expert?

All educated and nice people, when you first meet them. Then why do they have such disdain for democracy? I have only bothered to participate in activist groups in the past year and a half. All because I suddenly believed in this stupid thing called "democracy", like, people should know what their government is doing instead of being manipulated by politicians to go there and do that without knowing why. Now I know that those people in the CS and the government are truly wiser. They never reveal anything that they do to the American people because American people will not understand it. Ordinary people are just not smart enough to understand politics and international relations, and they don't know that they aren't smart enough. Now I understand that General Hayden really does mean what he said on RT: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bxEWTvX4QGw&feature=share&list=FLLc-JNKaHINvw2exrF0opcA>, that "we are a representative democracy, not a direct democracy like ancient Athen; we elect representatives who consent to the government on our behalf". There

shouldn't be total transparency of government processes... because people will not understand them. If people are allowed to influence policies with their opinions, the whole nation will sink away. People are not capable of understanding what their interests are. The government knows people's interests better than people do themselves.

In any case, I should have learned this earlier and never bothered to say anything to strangers. Now I realize that it is more important to pay attention to the immigration reform bill that is going through the House right now than to waste time on political activism or whistleblowing or fighting to keep government transparent on alternative media, etc.

The US has never been democratic. We live under a Republic "rule of law", not a democracy. An "expert" such as you purport to be, should be well aware of this fact, but I digress...

Earlier on, I began to suspect that you could be a fraud ...now, I am sure of it.

First of all, I never claimed or demanded to know anything. I simply shared my opinion, which is that it sounded like you were lied to.

Now, your following melodramatic, ultra-defensive, guilt-trip inducing, emotional string pulling, ridicule laced reaction to this (quoted above) was the proverbial "smoking gun", as it follows the typical tell-tale liar/con-artist script to a "T".

Con-artists get angry when a person isn't buying what they are trying to sell to them. As a result, they will use insults to try to belittle –and therefore pressure –the person into second-guessing themselves/reconsidering. Just one of many tactics in the pathological liar's arsenal.

Genuine whistleblowers do not get defensive and rude when a single person express doubt over their claims, nor do they begin firing petty insults at their critics.

*You have zero credible evidence to backup your stories with ...stories, btw, which are chock full of gov't sponsored propaganda and other misc. 2+2=5 inconsistencies. The very fact that you keep harping on the mother of all propaganda points –that politics is just too complex for the avg. brain to decipher –really sounded the alarm on my bullshit detector. Coincidentally –and I hate to have to break this to you, but –none of what you've said thus far has blown my mind. "What" you've said here isn't nearly as significant as how you've said it. **Case closed***

July 4, 2013 at 11:32 am #21887



Rose Mary
Member

Earlier on, I began to suspect that you could be a fraud ...now, I am sure of it.

Heh?

First of all, I never claimed or demanded to know anything. I simply shared my opinion,




Now, your following melodramatic, ultra-defensive, guilt-trip inducing, emotional

string pulling, ridicule laced reaction to this (quoted above) was the proverbial "smoking gun", as it follows the typical tell-tale liar/con-artist script to a "T".

Con-artists get angry when a person isn't buying what they are trying to sell to them.



• This reply was modified 7 hours, 24 minutes ago by  Rose Mary.

July 4, 2013 at 12:31 pm #21897



Rose Mary
Member

Hohum

*Just a suggestion: I would not waste time listening to anyone who devotes any amount of time delving into topics such as: **aliens/UFO's, paranormal jargon, ... Mayan prophesy** (or any prophesy, for that matter), **anything relating to religion** (doesn't matter which religion, they're all based on myth), **Illuminati**, etc.*

Hohum

*I guess the one that caught my attention the most was **this notion you propose that Obama had been implanted with a microchip**, whereby he was being controlled like a robot.*

I think flip-flop'ers are not credible at best. Here we have flipflop as "view".

July 4, 2013 at 4:46 pm #21909



hohum
Member

Rose Mary,

Chopping up my comments and rearranging the sentences like puzzle pieces has not demonstrated anything, other than your obvious lack of reading comprehension skills. Are you having fun using this forum to troll me with meaningless strings of incoherent ramblings?

July 4, 2013 at 5:02 pm #21910



theophoretos
Member

Now, your following melodramatic, ultra-defensive, guilt-trip inducing, emotional string pulling, ridicule laced reaction to this (quoted above) was the proverbial "smoking gun", as it follows the typical tell-tale liar/con-artist script to a "T". Con-artists get angry when a person isn't buying what they are trying to sell to them. As a result, they will use insults to try to belittle –and therefore pressure –the person into second-guessing themselves/reconsidering. Just one of

many tactics in the pathological liar's arsenal. Genuine whistleblowers do not get defensive and rude when a single person express doubt over their claims, nor do they begin firing petty insults at their critics.

Yes Amanda, I'm a con-artist, you caught me. I made the whole story up. I have always enjoyed lying to people, but I guess I failed here. You're too smart. It's a case closed.

July 4, 2013 at 5:08 pm #21911



Rose Mary
Member

Rose Mary, Chopping up my comments and rearranging the sentences like puzzle pieces has not demonstrated anything, other than your obvious lack of reading comprehension skills.


@hohum

I was very factual, I quoted you correctly. You are not able to explain yourself, just empty accusations.

You claimed using logic and science as a tool – named "The Scientific method" – meaning "authority" about truth. Here it turns out to be just the opposite 🙄

@theophoretos

I am sorry you have to face these phony replies. One thing you can do is to report hohum and responsible moderator to Sibel. I know both better than Sibel.

• This reply was modified 1 hour, 34 minutes ago by  Rose Mary.

July 4, 2013 at 6:01 pm #21916



hohum
Member

Yes Amanda, I'm a con-artist, you caught me. I made the whole story up. I have always enjoyed lying to people, but I guess I failed here. You're too smart. It's a case closed.

...and now for some reverse-psychology 🙄

July 4, 2013 at 6:13 pm #21917



hohum
Member

@hohum

I was very factual, I quoted you correctly. You are not able to explain yourself, just empty accusations.

You claimed using logic and science as a tool – named "The Scientific method" – meaning "authority" about truth. Here it turns out to be just the opposite 🙄

@theophoretos

I am sorry you have to face these phony replies. One thing you can do is to report hohum and responsible moderator to Sibel. I know both better than Sibel.












*Thanks for the laugh.
Hopefully the moderator will see fit to remove you from the forum, now that you are trolling her too.*

• Author Posts

Viewing 40 posts - 1 through 40 (of 41 total)

12 →

Reply To: Open Letter to Sibel Edmonds: the difficulty of insider story

b
i
link
b-quote
~~del~~
img
ul
ol
li
code
close tags

You may use these HTML tags and attributes:
a href="" title="" rel="" <blockquote cite=""> <code> <pre>
 <del datetime=""> <ol start=""> <img src=""
border="" alt="" height="" width="">

Tags:

Notify me of follow-up replies via email

Comments are closed.