

A Chinese American's open letter to Richard Spencer, the recognized leader of the Alt-Right movement

Dear Richard Spencer:

I'm a Chinese American who supports the Alt-Right cause. Does this sound strange? It's then expected that, although I share an interest in your greater agenda of "saving Western civilization", my reasons for supporting the Alt-Right cause are probably different from your own reasons. I want to share my reasons with you, plus some suggestions, because they might per chance provide beneficial stimuli for your Alt-Right movement or other identitarian movements.

Please read through the following carefully to appreciate my reasonings. They are rather intricate. My reasonings have similarities to the point of views of the far right activists in France (including Marine Le Pen), part of which you sometimes seem to profess. Thus, while they are not the mindless repetitions of established slogans which you see everywhere on both the left and the right nowadays, they shouldn't sound too foreign to you. On the other hand, I hope you will be intrigued by the different flavor of my argumentation. I know you are a thinking and reasoning being, unlike the protesters who refuse to hear you and can't think but know only how to heap irrelevant, demonic stereotypes upon you. If, however, you have actually already heard reasonings like mine from still other sources, then I apologize for wasting your time hearing duplicates.

My reasons are four: (1) Alt-Right mentalities are beneficial for our earthly environment and therefore desperately needed in times of great concern over the deteriorating state of our Mother Nature; (2) Alt-Right agendas are beneficial (without this being the intention of Alt-Right activists) to the poor nations around the world by moderating American imperialism and globalization pressures; (3) the "saving of Western culture" might be beneficial for humanity at large rather than being simply an issue about "protecting endangered species"; and (4) restoring America to "white men's rule" might bring back traditional, more healthy, values which are beneficial both to America and to the world at large.

I'm coming from the traditional left, and therefore I don't share your enthusiasm over "race realism", although I don't dismiss that as nonsense either. I am principally motivated by my alarm over the hypocrisies of leftist progressives. Over the years I have realized that they are actually about the opposite of what they preach. The leftists are in reality agents of global capitalism ("free-market radicalism") and American imperialism. For this reason, they are the cause for our environmental destruction and agents of Western exploitation of third-world nations and former Eastern bloc. Over the years I have realized that all this political correctness about gender equality and racial equality and accepting minorities into Western societies and so on which the leftists never stop promoting is just a clever ploy of the big capitalists. Here, given limited space, I shall do no more than hint, in an obvious fashion, at why the big capitalists demand society stop discrimination against minorities and accept immigrants of all stripes. I shall not give any comprehensive analysis, nor, for now, mention anything about the problem with gender equality.

Picture the good old days of racism when restaurants and so on might refuse to serve me because I was considered a “Chinaman”. The white restaurant owner was willing to sacrifice his profits in order to keep his environment “pure”. He had more in mind than simply profits. This is, however, not the way of today's multinationals. They have no other priorities than profits. They require that society cease all discriminatory practices in order to keep the market “free” – to keep it functioning to maximum efficiency without society's old prejudices impeding in any way the gaining of profits. Whether it comes from a Chinaman or a white man, the dollar bill must be allowed to circulate through the market unimpeded. The leftists, with their fight to eliminate racial discrimination, are therefore multinationals' agents, and you are marginalized because you, with your old-fashioned ethnocentrism (permit me to speak loosely), stand in the way of multinationals' profits.

The multinationals promote immigration to replace the indigenous whites also in order to exploit weak nations around the world for their profits. You see this very clearly in the case of Silicon Valley multinationals. Google wants all the best computer programmers in India to abandon their homeland and come to USA to work for them, so that they can keep their number one status in the competitive market place. The fact that India badly needs its engineers to stay home to develop their own homeland is no concern of these multinationals like Google. So many things in India are broken, and yet India's best engineers have all immigrated to America. Poland is another example. As the UK promotes immigration in order to steal the best minds from other countries, Poland is losing all its best engineers to the UK. Just like India, although Poland produces so many smart engineers, most of them choose not to stay home, leaving their country under-developed in comparison to its potentials.

This, as you can see, is imperialism pure and simple, stealing from poorer nations to benefit oneself. Here again, the leftists are agents of the multinationals. When the leftists demand you love and quit hating, they are simply trying to make sure that no one stops America from stealing brains and talents from other poor countries to feed its multinationals.

This simple exposition about the real agenda behind the leftists' promotion of immigration also reveals their hidden desire to contribute to environmental destruction. Again, just one example to hint at the situation while keeping the discussion within the topic of immigration. Millions of people move to North America and Western Europe each year from the rest of the world, and most of them do not come in on foot. They fly in on planes. Although that's a lot of profits for the airline industry and so on, the burning of fossil fuels is causing serious damages to the upper layers of earth's atmosphere. But none of this is any of the leftists' concerns when they forbid anyone from expressing skepticism about mass immigration. The leftists are the real deadly enemy of our earthly environment while professing to be earth-lovers. And I have not yet mentioned how the leftists' promotion of “loving all peoples” and “respecting other cultures” is in fact a big promotion of the tourist industry, which has caused even greater damages to our atmosphere.

All this is anecdotal rather than a comprehensive analysis – because I have no more space here than to hint at the situation – but you get my “drift”. **When I once heard you speak against immigration during an interview – “This country is ours, we don't want you to come here. You might have a lot to give, but it is ours, not yours” – I heard also, “You should stay home and give your talents to your homeland rather than to us, we are rich, we don't need you to give to us, your homeland**

needs you more”, and “You shouldn't travel so much, save the gasoline, save our environment”. That's the moment when I realized *you* are actually good to the poor nations around the world and beneficial to our Mother Earth without ever saying so, while your leftist opponents, mouth full of compassion for the poor and caring about the earth, practice the exact opposite.

What I'm saying is that you have hitherto only focused on what good you intend to bring to yourself or your people (i.e. protecting the identity and possessions of people of European descent) but have never mentioned what good your cause might bring to people of other nationalities and the world at large. **You allow your opponents (like those who dub themselves “Antifa”) to seize the moral high ground by pretending to profess love for all peoples of the world and the Mother Earth while doing just the opposite, while you lose the moral high ground which you should have occupied by never bothering to mention the obvious good which your cause will bring to peoples of other nations and the Mother Earth.** Part of the problem with the Alt-Right rhetoric against immigration – to keep our discussion within this topic – is that it habitually focuses on the image of refugees coming to this country to take advantage of the riches here, without mentioning the rich and talented immigrants who come to this country with their money and brains and thereby deprive their homeland of the wealth and talents they have carried away. This particular focus on the negative side of immigration has made you overlook the good your anti-immigration agenda might also bring to the world at large. Immigrants are of all stripes, some bringing in material benefits while others come here only to take. You can make a case of protectionism in one case but anti-imperialism in the other. Why does your camp so often choose the former and forget the latter? And yet, the latter is getting ever more realistic. Today, India and China have overtaken Mexico as nations sending the largest number of immigrants to America. Immigrants from India and China are by and large not refugees or border jumpers but carrying with them immense wealth and talents.

In case that I might appear to suggest that your rhetoric against immigration is really your wounding your own nation, I should point out that the underside of my reasoning is a concern over the decline of people of European descent in America. Alexis de Tocqueville has once remarked that the reason why the North was more prosperous than the South was that slavery had ruined the South, in that, in the South, because the whites had slaves to do the dirty work for them, they became lazy and lost their original industriousness. The Northerners were more industrious because they had to depend on themselves. Today, thanks to immigration, so much of the work force in America's high tech industry and military industrial complex is foreign born that native whites have become used to depending on immigrant engineers to invent and innovate to keep this nation going. High grade immigration has caused native people of European descent to become lazy and to degenerate, unlike the industrious Northerners from two centuries ago who laid much of the foundation of this country. **Only by rejecting immigration can people of European descent recover their original vitality.**

This brings me to my third reason for supporting Alt-Right. You might ask me why I harbor any concern at all for the decline of people of European descent in America. From what I have said, you can see that I do not deny that immigration has brought a lot of good to this nation. But what this nation has gained from immigration is mostly in the technical realm, in sciences and technology. In the domain of culture, there has been loss. I share many identitarians' impression that immigration from non-European sources has caused considerable erosion of the original Western cultural base of this

nation. But here, also, my reasoning presumably differs from yours in that **I'm worried about the harm which the erosion of Western culture in Western societies might cause to humanity at large.** Western civilization has tremendously benefited humanity at large by bestowing its sciences on the rest of the world. If the Chinese, for example, are today ready to go to the moon, it is only because they have adopted Western sciences. While the benefits of Western sciences are obvious to everybody around the world, the benefits of Western culture are less measurable. It's hard to say exactly what would become of China today if no Chinese has ever heard of Locke, Rousseau, Kant, Beethoven, Mozart, and so on – those representatives of the Faustian soul. When Western societies lose their originality, therefore, it's hard to say what humanity has lost overall. While the cultural question is always a tricky one, it should be safe to say that the loss of Western culture is as much a catastrophe to the rest of humanity as the loss of Western sciences. We would have all lost a very particular, and presumably beneficial, way of looking at the world.

As you can see, I support Alt-Right because I'm vehemently about anti-capitalism and anti-globalization. I see all this political correctness around us as nothing other than the vehicle of the multinationals to realize their agenda (profits and more profits) at the expense of the poorer ones among us, our earthly environment, and Western culture itself. When the Anglo-Saxon colonizers founded this country, they had this thing with free-market capitalism. Over the past two hundred years this “free market thing” has grown so out of proportion that, today, nothing else matters in life – certainly nothing about culture – nothing else except profits, consumption, and investment. We are simply living in the aftermath. I'm aware that, among the right, leftist statism and socialism is a bad word, so that, consequently, Alt-Right seems to be pro free market and pro small government. As you have seen, I think reality is just the opposite – and this is why I regard the far right movement in France which identifies immigration with capitalism and national identity with anti-capitalism as the most accurate perception of reality. You should not disagree with the perception that, while free market is a good thing to a certain extent, when it has swamped out everything else in life, as is the case today, it has become a bad thing, and that it is precisely this situation – free market absolutism – which you are fighting against.

My proposal for the Alt-Right movement is therefore a change of focus from what good the movement is trying to bring to people of European descent themselves to what good it can bring to the rest of humanity and the Mother Earth itself. This might perhaps be the only way by which you may rehabilitate yourself and your adherents in public opinions, given the ferocity with which the multinationals, with their agents like the Antifa, are trying to put you out of your business.

I hope you don't deny the obvious, that, thanks to the tremendous power of the multinationals to crash resistance, you and your adherents are today completely marginalized in American society. “White men's rights” has today become a dirty word. I hope you are aware of the demographic situation, that a large minority of Asian Americans, especially Chinese Americans, are quite dissatisfied with America's politically correct culture. About 30 to 40 percent of Chinese Americans are, for example, vehemently against affirmative actions. (Refer to Chinese American organizations' recent complaint to the Justice Department about Harvard University.) Despite a certain degree of congruence with your agendas, these Chinese Americans wouldn't be caught dead agreeing with you, because you and your agendas

about “saving Western civilization”, “race realism”, and “refusal to be replaced” have been so demonized by American mainstream that being associated with you is anathema and entails immediate excommunication from society. **The problem is that you are currently occupying the moral low ground in public opinions.** Can this motivate you to rehabilitate the Alt-Right movement and seize the moral high ground from your opponents by advertising, instead, the good your movement will bring to the world at large? Certainly, my purpose is here merely to hint at the situation. I can show you myriad more ways in which loving immigrants, respecting other cultures, and *encouraging the massive transportation of peoples across oceans in general* are environmentally costly and yet profitable to multinationals, and, conversely, I can count for you a lot more good which Alt-Right ideals, like nativism and consequently *the discouragement of mass migrations*, might mean to humanity and our Mother Earth. But, for now, to keep the conversation short, I shall say nothing more.

This brings me to my fourth reason for supporting the Alt-Right movement. Many Chinese Americans are complaining about affirmative action because they excel other groups academically so that, if universities don't do something to exclude them but allow fair competition, the student body will be half Asian and half white without any other ethnic groups taking part in higher education. This inequality is anathema to those politically correct school administrators, and they are determined to artificially shrink the number of the best in order to make room for the worst. Many Asian Americans are disgusted with such artificial enforcement of equality: why do these damn liberals always want to beat down the smartest in order to enable the dumbest *appear* equal to the rest rather than trying, for once, to *actually* make the dumbest smarter so that they can *really* become equal to the rest? The liberals are currently effecting a transformation of our value system, making us focus exclusively on the negative and forget about the positive. Today, under the liberals' politically correct regime, people are valued and worshiped when they are incapable and have supposedly suffered harm, rather than when they are capable and can do great things for the world. Hence the dumbest are rewarded with free pass and victims of oppression are given medals, whereas the smartest are excluded from society and those who invent and innovate are overlooked. I have elsewhere written extensively about America's becoming a “victim nation” where suffering harm is considered a more valuable trait than having merits and achievements, and so shall say nothing more here. In short, America is becoming an “opposite society”, where the bad is worshiped as good and the good becomes something to feel shame over. I believe this sorrowful transformation – the inversion of our traditional, more healthy, value system where people are valued because they have done great things and not because they have suffered harm – is intimately associated with white men's loss of power, status, and value while white women and minorities are elevated in power, status, and value. Much of this, in my view, has more to do with the feminist revolution since the 1970s than with any civil rights movements effected by minority groups, but, because I have chosen not to speak here about multinationals' promotion of gender equality for the sake of their profits, I shall not say anymore about the matter. Suffice it for me to give you my conclusion, that the multinationals have been promoting “victim culture” also for the sake of their profits, that the culture of liberal white women and their minorities is that suffering harm is more important than doing good, and that white men's culture in the past is just the opposite, that it is the traditional, more healthy, value system, namely that accomplishing extraordinary things is a more important trait than suffering harm.

As you can see, many Asian Americans are misfits in this “victim culture”; Asians have always

distinguished themselves through positive achievements rather than through complaining about oppression suffered. As an Asian American, therefore, I am naturally predisposed to see the restoration of white men's status in this society, to see this country return to “white men's rule”. Under white men's rule, I believe, accomplishing extraordinary things will once more count more than suffering harm. Once again, restoring white men's rule is not only good for the white men themselves, but also good for anybody else who wishes to make their mark in the world through positive achievement rather than through complaining about oppression, and, last but not least, good for the whole society – for, which society do you think is more productive, one which worships victims or one which values achievements?

There is therefore a gender dimension to my support of Alt-Right movement. Although the Alt-Right advocates rarely speak about the gender dimension of their cause, I support Alt-Right because, by returning society to white men's rule, we can restore traditional value system where virility, productivity, and achievement are valued over femininity, weakness, consumption, and suffering harm – things which the leftists promote. In this respect, a large segment of Asian Americans will gradually become friends of the Alt-Right movement. But, once again, in order for this to come about, the Alt-Right movement must shift its focus from its benefit to people of European descents to its benefit to other minorities as well and the country as a whole. **Namely, what benefits will accrue to non-whites and the world at large when whites defend their identity and territory in America.**

In this letter I am specifically asking you if you might consider making alliance with a large group of minorities who would otherwise find much agreement with you. In this way your movement will gain considerable strength and rehabilitate from your current moral low ground in public opinion. Your movement needs to capture the moral high ground in order to enter into mainstream America – the election of Donald Trump to presidency doesn't do the trick. **Perhaps, in addition to a change of focus as I have suggested, you might also have to change your objective from restoring this nation entirely to people of European descent to simply maintaining white leadership in this society.** In any case, the latter, more moderate, objective is not only more palatable for the minorities who would want to align with you, but also more realistic, and actually attainable. While it might perhaps be possible to stop immigration, it is unimaginable that anybody, the president or congress, can ever expel from the country all the immigrants and their descendants who are already here. I hope you see that this is neither ethical nor practical. If immigration can be stopped, however, it is possible for the white majority to maintain their leadership position in this society simply by dint of greater number. For this to come to pass, however, the white majority must be educated to see through the hypocrisy of all this political correctness which has trained them to “love” and “respect”. Perhaps, once the Alt-Right movement seizes the moral high ground precisely by exposing all this hypocrisy, it can become the agent of this change in perception.

An anonymous Chinese American
28 December 2017
Los Angeles, USA