

The Secret History of the International Court of Justice
How I have been made into a different person, Part I: China and Europe: Appendix
Lawrence C. Chin
Dec. 2011, May 2012, Nov. 2012. Some corrections later.

The Secret History of the International Court of Justice

Appendix to “How I have been made into a different person, Part I: China and Europe”

LEGEND:

The MSS: Ministry of State Security, China's foreign intelligence service

The MSS director: China's spy chief

The Agency: the CIA

Mr Secretary: Secretary of Homeland Security Michael Chertoff

Vice President: Dick Cheney

Madam President of the International Court of Justice: judge Higgins

The lawsuit which the MSS director had brought against the United States, Taiwan, Germany, and Canada in the International Court of Justice in November 2007 would eventually evolve into the biggest, and most world-changing, lawsuit in the history of humankind. The CIA's strategy in countering, during the intense period between November 2007 and February 2008, the lawsuit brought forward by the director of the Chinese Ministry of State Security was probably also the most ingenious and spectacular in the history of legal dispute. At the end of the first volume I want to summarize in a short and clear order the arguments which the Agency's lawyers had devised to win the lawsuit which the MSS director had brought against the United States and its allies in the International Court of Justice and which seemed at first sight so solid and so impossible to repel. This synopsis shall collect into a single coherent and short exegesis all the Agency's ingenious arguments which I have reconstructed in “Government's investigation of a schizophrenic, Part III” and “How I have been made into a different person, Part I”. Then it will give you a summary glimpse into the argument which the MSS director had used to get into the secret database of the United States and its allies.

The CIA's argument in the ICJ, November 2007 – February 2008: a synopsis:

So the MSS director had caught Mr Secretary of Homeland Security lying in the UN Security Council and started a lawsuit against the United States, Canada, and Taiwan in the International Court of Justice: a clear-cut case of violation, on the part of the United States and its two allies, of UN Resolution 1373. This was November 2007. Following upon the lead given in my story (the “interview with Mark”) the MSS director had busted a CIA-BND operation in China; now he wanted to know more about the Agency's operational plans in China. He thus requested to obtain the entire personnel file of the CIA clandestine service on the pretext that it was the “associate” of the terrorist suspect (me). The request was based on the United States' obligation under UN Resolution 1373 to share information with nations which the terrorist suspect threatened about the terrorist suspect and his “associates”. After the MSS director had ascertained that my intention was to defect (my first MSN Messenger chat with Ms Mermaid), he also decided to save me. It was the MSS director who made the first step in

persuading the judges to model the evidentiary process on the ordinary course of any investigation of terrorists. He must have argued, “Let him fly over so we can see what he is up to and who are his accomplices beside that professor of philosophy!” because letting the suspect continue his plan only to bust him when he was about set off his bomb was how intelligence agencies ordinarily handled an investigation of a terrorist plot. The MSS director so argued not only as a way to prevent the United States from preventing me from flying to China and thus obstructing his lawsuit, but also to save me. Then, both to save me and to protect China's own secrets, the MSS director must have rejected the allies' plea to come over to China and run joint operations on me. The Agency's lawyers however saw a light in the modeling of the evidentiary rule on the ordinary course of any terrorism investigation and temporarily blocked the MSS director from using my passport number listed on my Visa application as evidence to prove my identity: “Just as in the ordinary course of terrorism investigation the intelligence agency gathers up evidences against the suspect without his voluntary cooperation, so in our dispute only evidences gathered up without the suspect's voluntary cooperation, such as in a sting operation, may be admitted.” In this way my identity couldn't be established conclusively, and the Agency may resist sharing its personnel file. Then came Mr Secretary's perjury. As my story, combined with the confession of the BND agent, established, legally, the Agency's clandestine service as my “associates”, the perjury prompted the judges of the International Court to grant the MSS unrestricted access to the Agency's database (and to other databases in the United States, Canada, and Taiwan as well). In the Agency's “secret box” the MSS director discovered not only the worldwide operational plans of the CIA's clandestine service – all the evidences which showed how the Agency had been for two decades sponsoring Islamic extremists in Central Asia to attack Chinese and Russian interests – but also indisputable proof that 911 attacks were orchestrated by the United States Vice President himself and that the 911 hijackers were CIA-Pakistani agents who had been trained in the United States. The MSS director brought all these evidences to the UN Security Council in order to get the United States labeled a “terrorism-sponsoring state”.

The “important people” in the United States government didn't really know what was in the Agency's “secret box”, and not everyone in the Agency knew there was such proof somewhere in this “secret box”. Everyone would have been surprised, and would look at the Boss Vice President, “Perhaps you sir should tell us about your plans before the Chinese intercept them and tell the world about them!” Where did this proof come from? Because he was a very secretive person, our Vice President didn't have the habit of writing down his plans. If you scorch through all the databases and papers of his shadow government looking for document proofs for his orchestration of 911 attacks, you'll probably find nothing, since the whole operation was planned through oral communication without leaving behind any documentary traces. And yet there had been someone in the CIA's clandestine service who, discontent with Cheney's daring false flag operation, talked about it in written communication, which now fell into the hands of Chinese intelligence service whose director then used it to embarrass Cheney in the United Nations.

Let's imagine the “situation room”, late November to early December 2007. The Agency's officials would brief all the important people – other than officials from Canada, Taiwan, and the German Bundesnachrichtendienst, there must be present, from the United States government, the Vice President,

the President, Mr Secretary of Homeland Security, and Madam Secretary of the State Department, among others – about their conclusions about the matter at hand: “We have examined how this matter has come about. He [referring to me] did it intentionally. Listen to what he has said earlier, how he admired the new Chinese military, how he thought China might constitute a hedge against neoconservatism, how he wanted to revenge, how he warned that the Chinese government would not believe Mr Homeland Security Secretary's lies about him. And now look at his actions. Why did he get an email account in China's Internet domain and send his story to that account? This is the source of our troubles. Obviously, he was trying to get his story intercepted by the MSS. And why did he need a guide in Shanghai when he speaks perfect Chinese? He knows the guide will be recruited by the MSS as an informant. The guide is to guide him to defection! Mr Secretary of Homeland Security there [referring to Mr Secretary who was among the audience] has fallen into his trap. This is a carefully devised plan to revenge and to escape at the same time. He knew that the Chinese have information about him from the FBI, that Mr Secretary will get caught lying, and that we will get sued. His plan is excellent: the more we lie about him the more we are punished. Now all the mistakes we have ever made about him have come back to haunt us. Agent [whoever: referring to the 'Big Sister'] at the FBI has confused him with someone else and made this paranoid assessment about how he could be planning terrorist acts even when outwardly he wasn't doing anything special. Now the Chinese can insist he constitutes a grave threat even when there is no evidence that he is planning anything. Our [the Agency's] mistake in recruiting him has now qualified us as 'associates of a terrorist suspect'. Homeland Security's mistake in investigating him as a terrorist suspect has now caused the exposure of all our secrets to our enemy – now all our sponsorship of terrorism is known to the world and our nation is about to be convicted of violating UN Resolution 1373 and get labeled a 'terrorism-sponsoring state'! But we have a way out. If we cannot dissuade him from flying to China, we will have to prove to the judges that he did plan the whole thing –” This was “Plan B”. “Plan B” was to firstly argue that I was running a conspiracy with the MSS with this carefully devised plan where both sides put up an act – one putting out information to be intercepted and the other pretending to intercept it – a plan where I would be saved while leaving the United States permanently damaged; then to suppress my story as evidence – and later my passport number – on ground of “conspiracy” – something which the modeling of evidentiary rules on the ordinary course of a terrorism investigation had just made possible; and finally to argue that I was not Lawrence Chin but Lawrence Chin's (non-existent) twin brother – the Agency's lawyers were here picking up an idea which had originated with Mr Secretary – and to reinterpret UN Resolution 1373 in such a way as to oblige China to cooperate in confirming this alternative scenario, prosecuting its own spy chief, and covering up the United States' sponsorship of Muslim terrorists around the world, including its orchestration of 911 attacks on itself.

The Agency's lawyers' readiness to interpret UN Resolution 1373 in such a way as to force China to defeat itself was certainly the most magical part of this “Plan B”. If they could prove that I planned the whole thing – that I purposely furnished the MSS with my story in order for them to sue the United States and get into the United States', its allies', and the Agency's secret box using UN Resolution 1373; that I thus wanted to hurt the United States while escaping to China to secretly defect – if the Agency could prove this, I would be a terrorist suspect planning harm against the United States instead of against China. The obedience to UN Resolution 1373 – the international agreement that nations should

help each other in neutralizing the threat posed by a terrorist suspect to any one of them – would then mean that China should help the United States neutralize the threat I was posing to the United States. Since the nature of this threat consisted in my helping the director of the MSS win a lawsuit against the United States and get the United States convicted of sponsoring terrorism, the Chinese government, in its obedience to international agreement, should help the United States win the lawsuit against the head of China's own intelligence service so that China, whom the terrorist suspect wanted to benefit, may gain nothing from the United States, while the United States would not fall victim to the terrorist suspect's act. Before I even flew to China, the Agency's lawyers were already looking out for evidence which could indicate that I planned the whole thing, just in case they would have to pull out this wild card in the end. They thus instructed Wes to ask me why I chose China instead of Iran or North Korea to escape to: should my mouth have been any looser and should I have divulged how I knew the Chinese government had information about me as a terrorist suspect, the Agency would have obtained evidence suggesting that I planned the whole thing – North Korea or Iran would not have been able to catch Mr Homeland Security Secretary lying, lacking the FBI document and the CIA-BND agent to catch.

While working on me, the CIA's lawyers also started to work on the MSS director himself in the court room. The CIA was relieved that their mole in the MSS had escaped unnoticed by the MSS when the MSS ransacked their database. Now the Agency's lawyers wanted the MSS director himself to believe that I had planned the whole thing to benefit China. Certainly, the way I set up my information on the Internet (such as on 5281.cn) had already made him suspect that this guy was helping him: the fish was just too easy for him to catch, as I have noted in “Government's Investigation of a Schizophrenic, Part III”. But the CIA's lawyers, when they had devised their Plan B, would then vehemently argue to the judges, but in the presence of the MSS director, that I had planned the whole thing and was purposely helping China harm the United States. The CIA's lawyers were not just making arguments to the judges, but were also trying to impress this scenario upon the MSS director. The MSS director, already suspicious, naturally believed the CIA in his heart, although he continued to treat me as a terrorist suspect in his outward pronouncements. The CIA was playing a trick on him. They knew that the MSS director had no idea that his entourage had already been infiltrated by the CIA. The CIA's plan was to make the MSS director believe the United States' “conspiracy scenario” so that he would talk about it with his entourage – how he himself now believed I was helping him – allowing the “mole” in his entourage to catch him playing fraud. The MSS was simply not a match for the CIA when it came to the game of deception and manipulation. The “impressioning of the MSS director” would have already taken effect by the time he was, that night in late November 2007, secretly chatting with me through Ms Mermaid's handle on MSN Messenger and had ascertained that I did want to defect.

By mid-December, the Agency must have obtained from their mole in the MSS definitive evidence for the MSS director's dishonesty. Not only did this evidence show that the MSS director didn't believe the FBI's “Big Sister's” paranoid assessment – that he didn't believe I was planning terrorist harm against China – not only did it show that he believed instead, just as the allies did, that I planned the whole thing and intended to furnish my story to him in order to defect and benefit China, but it must have also included his plan to save me: as soon as I arrived, either Ms Mermaid or his agents would lure me to a

meetup and “take me in”. This is why he didn't want the allies to come over to China to run joint operation with him. (The evidence also included the proof that the MSS director had forged a very important piece of evidence which he had used against the United States. This, in the next section.) But the Agency could not as yet put forward this piece of evidence because they were not sure if judge Higgins would allow this kind of evidence since this would violate the work product rule under normal circumstances. They decided that, only in case I couldn't be persuaded to desist from going to China, were they going to take the risk and put forward the evidence. Should judge Higgins be persuaded to admit the evidence, they would then break the MSS director's case by proving their scenario of my conspiracy with the MSS to defraud the International Court and defect. For this backup plan, “Plan B”, to work, they would have to first obtain the right to follow me to China and run joint operation with the MSS on me. For this end, they must have argued in the way I have described in Chapter 1 “Agency's sting operation”. It's however possible that they may have even invoked UN Resolution 1373 itself: “UN Resolution 1373 obliges nations to work together to neutralize the threat a terrorist suspect poses to any one of them. We are thus obliged to work with you, Mr director of the MSS, to neutralize the threat you say he may pose to your nation! We must obey international agreements and come to your country to work with you!” The MSS director would be dumbfounded: he was obliged by international agreements to not reject allies' “help”.

When I flew to Shanghai, the Agency had no choice but to resort to “Plan B”. The first thing which the CIA and the State Department lawyers did was to make the request to judge Higgins that she close up the International Court to shut off all observers from nations which were not directly involved. The move to classify the lawsuit as “super-top secret” had a strategic importance surpassing your wildest imagination. When judge Higgins agreed, they then put forward the evidence which their mole had furnished – that the MSS director was dishonest, that he did not believe I was planning terrorist harm against China, that he had forged an important piece of evidence, and that he even planned to save me. The CIA and the State Department lawyers then tried the argument they had prepared: “Because the very trade of intelligence agencies is infiltration, stealing information, and recruiting moles within each other, evidence obtained by such measures as violate work product rule should be considered legitimate in a court battle between intelligence agencies.” To the surprise of the CIA lawyers, our Madam President of the International Court was persuaded by this argument to ignore the work product rule in this case. I suspect that the Agency's lawyers, before they next proposed to Madam President their radical interpretation of UN Resolution 1373, might have even played for her the various intercepts of my conversations with Wes in which I sounded anti-neoconservative or China-praising. I have mentioned in “Government's investigation of a schizophrenic, Part II” that I once told Wes that I thought China might constitute our planet's last defense against the tide of neoconservatism. I have not mentioned that, hours after I put in my passport at the Chinese consulate for my Visa application, I called Wes and said something like, “I'm gonna give the Chinese government a gift...”, by which I meant my story “My experience...” Talks like this the Agency's lawyers could certainly present to Madam President as indication that I planned the whole trip in order for China to sue the United States – that I indeed wanted to hurt the United States. Now that the preliminary evidence was in place to indicate that I was running a conspiracy with the MSS to harm the United States, Madam President of the ICJ would be further incensed to the point of ignoring the work product rule. The Agency's lawyers

then made two motions: that UN Resolution 1373 would require China to help the United States to neutralize the threat I was posing to the United States; and that the United States should be given a chance to substitute their fake Chinese agents for the real Chinese agents who were supposed to “take me in”. The Agency motioned that they be allowed to intercept the MSS' conspiracy with me, in other words – just as, ordinarily when an intelligence agency discovers a terrorist suspect planning harm, it has the habit of recruiting his conspirators as informants against him without his knowing. This was the sting operation on the night of December 31, 2007, in the hostel in Shanghai. China's obligation to help the United States neutralize the threat I posed to the United States could not be established until my intention to harm the United States was demonstrated in this instance as well, not just by interception of my conversation with Wes from before. The CIA intended this sting operation to prove this intention of mine, and thus definitively a conspiracy between me and the MSS to harm the United States. The Madam President agreed. It is at this point that she ordered that, since it was established that the MSS director was defrauding the International Court, the Chinese government should cooperate, per its obligation under UN Resolution 1373, with the United States in determining if the fraud indeed extended into a “conspiracy” (with the suspect, me). This was why the Chinese government set up “another side” – the “other side” of the Chinese government of which I spoke in Chapter 2, “The Agency's argument in the ICJ” – to cooperate with the CIA in running the sting operation on me on the night of December 31 2007. The CIA brought in Wuming and instructed him to offer me defection and to lure out anti-American statements from me, which the United States could then use as proofs that I did intend to harm the United States. As you have seen, the sting operation was only half-successful, establishing that a conspiracy did exist between me and the MSS for me to defect, but not for us to together hurt the United States – to Wuming's “Bomb America!” I replied only, “The American government is very scary...”

When I however told Guoming, on January 3 2008, that I thought Ms Mermaid might be part of a criminal group, judge Higgins reversed her judgment that a conspiracy had existed between me and the MSS for me to defect. At this point judge Higgins had bought into the scenario that, while the MSS director had tried to frame the United States and defraud the International Court by forging evidences, he had not conspired with me to harm the United States, which thus deprived the United States of the right to request that China help the United States neutralize the threat I posed to the United States by convicting its own spy chief. She believed that I was merely a pawn used by both sides, that I had merely tried to escape to China, unaware that the Chinese had me all surrounded and were running sting operations on me – that both sides had wrongly believed that I had planned the whole thing. Thus, even though the MSS director had committed frauds, she nevertheless declared China victorious because the United States did violate UN Resolution 1373. This was January 4 2008 or so. The Chinese president, given his conservative nature, must have then begged the United States to agree to a negotiation. But the United States refused. Why? 911 attacks were the problem. Everyone in the UN had already seen proof that it was our Vice President himself who had orchestrated the attacks. Our Vice President simply cannot swallow this, even if the Chinese president was willing to forget about the whole thing and not seek a conviction of the United States as a terrorism-sponsoring state. Our Vice President had decided that he must cover up the truth about 911 attacks in the *perception* of the world's elites. The CIA and the State Department had already devised a plan for him – to force the Chinese

president to order the MSS to forge its own records as a way to convince the world that the evidences everyone had seen were fake, and then to blame 911 attacks and all the past terrorist attacks onto the MSS director himself. That the MSS forge its own records to such effect was the condition which the United States insisted upon and would never give up, even if the Chinese president wished to negotiate. The Chinese president of course would not agree to such condition, the negotiation failed, and this is why the Chinese president must be forced. In order to force him through an International Court order, however, the United States must demonstrate a conspiracy between me and the MSS, and, for that, my intention to harm the United States.

Thus the CIA and the State Department lawyers tried a different tactic. Even though Madam President judge Higgins was not persuaded that I intended to hurt the United States, they were able to demonstrate to the Chinese president that China was obliged by UN Resolution 1373 to help the United States neutralize the threat I posed to the United States because the United States, as a sovereign nation, had the legal right to arbitrarily label me a “threat” no matter what the International Court had found. This is how China – the other side of the Chinese government than the MSS – submitted itself to Mr Secretary's command. It was determined that Mr Secretary, the leader of the allies, would know best how to neutralize the threat I posed to the United States. Remember that to help the United States neutralize the threat I posed to the United States meant that the Chinese government should help Mr Secretary win his lawsuit against China's own spy chief. The Chinese government thus had to help Mr Secretary (1) run more sting operations on me as a way to establish my intention to harm the United States and (2) manufacture evidences to prove the United States' false scenario, that I wasn't Lawrence Chin the terrorist suspect but Lawrence Chin's non-existent twin brother. Thus, right after China's shaky victory, the Chinese government had to allow Mr Secretary to recruit Cassie to run sting operation on me – talking to me about white men's take-over of Asian women in order to get me to make anti-American statements which could be used as evidences to demonstrate my supposed intention to harm the United States – and to take endless pictures of me, which the Chinese government would be ordered to digitally touch up so that evidences may be submitted to the International Court showing that I looked slightly different than Lawrence Chin and was therefore not Lawrence Chin himself but Lawrence Chin's twin brother. Still, the attempt to make me demonstrate an intention to harm the United States had failed: I never made any anti-American statements. Mr Secretary had at the same time also decided that what he had been doing to me – to frame me into a disturbing and perverted leftwing extremist and white supremacist schizophrenic who had imagined up a business with the intelligence agencies – was the best way to neutralize this threat that I was, and it was in this way that all the Chinese operatives – eventually even MSS operatives such as Xiuxiu and Lingling – would have to help Mr Secretary by making false reports about me to the authorities around, eventually providing the Interpol with the legal pretext to broadcast an alert about me when I flew to Germany. It is at this point that the most important law governing compensation of a terrorist conspiracy which would assume paramount importance in my subsequent narrative had come into being: the requirement for nations to work together to neutralize the threat which the terrorist suspect had posed to any one of them had resulted in the requirement that the nation's intelligence agency suspected of conspiring with the suspect to harm another nation be taken over by that other nation which was being harmed in this conspiracy, as a way to prevent the conspiracy from continuing to hurt its victim and to force the

conspiring nation to fulfill its obligation to help the nation which the suspect was threatening, and this new requirement would eventually evolve into the international law governing the resolution of all conspiracies of this kind. The Chinese foreign intelligence, the MSS, would in the end by this law fall under the permanent command of the United States, and, in the future, any intelligence agency which has been shown to be conspiring with me to hurt any other nation would fall under the command of the victim nation.

When the CIA's lawyers had devised "Plan B" for our Vice President, the goal was not only to get the United States and the Agency itself out of the danger of being convicted as a terrorism-sponsoring state and a terrorism-sponsoring entity, but also to reverse the Vice President's embarrassment when governments around the world suddenly saw proof of his orchestration of 911 attacks, by forcing the Chinese government to forge a new "official story" through the trick of reinterpreting UN Resolution 1373 on the basis of my supposed intention to hurt the United States. In other words, our Vice President wanted to make the government elites around the world *believe* a new story which would absolve him of involvement in 911 attacks after the MSS director had shown everyone the proof of his involvement. But since the strategy of reinterpreting UN Resolution 1373 to hide his crimes required the witness of ICJ judges who would have to oversee the enforcement of the Resolution, he had, back in December 2007 when "Plan B" was being worked out, thought it necessary to reduce the number of these "witnesses". The fewer the people who would have to know that the new Chinese (and then Russian) documents supporting a new official story of how 911 attacks had happened were forged, the better. Thus the CIA and the State Department began inviting the entire Western alliance to join the lawsuit on the side of the United States in order to eliminate as many judges from this ICJ trial as possible. By the time I was in Hong Kong, the number of ICJ judges who were working on my case may have been reduced to two or three. Other than Judge Higgins, there should also be the judge who came from China. I said this because, as I have mentioned in Chapter 6 of my narrative of my trip to China, "Revelation about the International Court of Justice", when I was calling Xiuxiu from a payphone in Hong Kong, someone obviously inexperienced with the interception of phone conversation had tried to cut in to listen in on it. I have mentioned then that it must be the ICJ judge who was from China – that he cut in because he was also under pressure to decide whether his country's spy chief had indeed conspired with me, and that he didn't want to wait for the regular digital interception to come into the court room because it would be dubbed over by English interpretations. If this was so, there should be a third judge involved because, usually, judges were taken off a case when it was the countries of their origin which were suing each other. If the judge from China was somehow not eliminated even though China was the petitioner, the judge from the United States might very well have not been eliminated also even though the United States was the principal accused party. Together with judge Higgins (who was British), there thus remained three judges by the time I was returning to Shanghai from Hong Kong.

When the CIA and the State Department had difficulty in establishing my intention to harm the United States, it was then that their lawyers argued to judge Higgins that, if China had forged evidence to frame the United States, the United States, as a victim of the conspiracy, should be compensated by having the same right to forge evidence to frame China. But the problem was that judge Higgins could

only grant to the United States the right to forge evidences if it had been legally established that I had conspired with the MSS at all – to defect, if not to harm the United States. In other words, the CIA had to prove once more that I knew, when the MSS conducted a sting operation on me through Ms Mermaid, that it was a MSS sting operation and that I had knowingly followed the MSS' "secret instructions". This could not only impart on the United States the legal right to forge evidence, but also serve to suppress the evidence of my passport number, which the MSS director was allowed to use to defend himself against a series of forged photographic evidences which supported the US scenario that I was David Chin. Thus, the CIA had to overturn my confession to Guoming that I thought Ms Mermaid was part of a criminal gang. The Agency therefore tried the trick of sending in Jennifer Day's sister¹ in order to argue that, if I could identify a CIA operative by mere sight, I would have been able to identify a MSS sting operation. As you have seen, this sting operation failed because I confessed that I had only figured out, just when I was in Hong Kong, that there was a trial about me in the International Court of Justice. Judge Higgins believed me, because, if I had been conspiring with the MSS, I wouldn't dare look up the website of the ICJ and the United Nations while I was in Hong Kong. She therefore ruled that the conspiracy between me and the MSS for me to defect was not established and that the judgment of China's victory was stayed. That's when, remember, I flew to Germany, on January 21 2008.

The United States, and our Vice President, were desperate. For, even though China was unlikely to continue to pursue the labeling of the United States as a terrorism-sponsoring state in the UN Security Council, everyone had seen proofs that it was the United States which had been supporting Islamic terrorism and our Vice President who had orchestrated 911 attacks. Besides, when the judgment about China's victory was stayed, both China and Russia raised issues about Iran in the UN Security Council. (Russia had also joined the lawsuit on China's side at this point.) The United States could not give up; it must try again to demonstrate my awareness of the MSS' sting operation and communications to me. It was thus that the CIA sent Ms Congenial onto the train when I was going back to Frankfurt from Geneva, hoping that I would identify her as a CIA officer by mere sight. I did, and had engaged myself in "spy talk" with her. This time, thus, the sting operation had succeeded, and judge Higgins accepted the argument that I had understood the MSS' "secret messages" to me and that I had thus at least conspired with the MSS to defect. By this time she would be the only judge remaining in this International Court trial.

The United States had thus obtained the right to orchestrate intercepts to establish my intention to harm the United States as a way to frame China. When Wes was instructed by the CIA to purposely stuff words into my mouth while I was talking to him through Skype in a cybercafe in Frankfurt – the falsehood that I was angry with the invasion of Iraq – the United States was actually permitted by judge Higgins to use this piece of garbage as evidence that I did intend to harm the United States. (My complaint to Wes about how stupid people were in the Western world such that they would believe any lies which the US government had told them about me had certainly helped the United States in claiming that I had a motive in harming the United States.) The final piece of evidence which the

1 As I would find out years later, it was actually merely another CIA girl who looked like Jennifer Day, not Jennifer Day's real sister.

United States would need to round off my conspiracy with the MSS director to get the United States labeled a “terrorism-sponsoring state” would be one showing that I was looking for a final signal from the MSS about how to finish my “mission”. The January 26 fake email which Homeland Security personnel wanted me to believe to have come from Xiuxiu did not work; I was just about to follow the direction in that “secret message” to go home when I noticed that I had been duped; and I quickly called up Wes the same night to tell him that I would do the opposite of what the message had instructed, namely, stay on in Europe. But then, my accidental browsing of a junk email from China while I was in Brussels was finally successfully interpreted by the CIA lawyers as indicating that I was waiting for a “secret directive” from the MSS director about what to do next.

When my “intention to hurt the United States” was finally established in this devious way, the United States could not only motion to judge Higgins that she suppress all the evidences which the MSS director had used to demonstrate my identity (my passport number and my story “My experience...”) but also request both the Chinese government and the International Court to work together to reverse the damage which the MSS director had done to the United States. Since the harm which the United States had suffered included its loss of its moral authority in the United Nations when the MSS director had exposed the United States as lying about a terrorist suspect in front of everyone, the United States should be granted the right to make up evidences to prove to nations around the world that it did not lie. To properly enforce UN Resolution 1373, therefore, judge Higgins had also to play her part by ordering the creation of a different compartment (or “segment”) in the International Court system where a new set of judges who were unaware of what had happened before would be presented with the new fake evidences proving the United States' counter-scenario and where these new judges would issue judgments convicting the MSS director and China according to the counter-scenario. Judge Higgins then ordered the Chinese government to help Mr Secretary by producing all the other faulty evidences demonstrating that I was a twin brother of myself who was born in China instead of in Taiwan – so that it may aide the United States in convicting its own spy chief and cause itself to lose in the lawsuit. The Chinese government would have to, at that point, even forge population records and birth certificates showing that Lawrence Chin and David Chin were twin brothers born in China on May 6 1968! Judge Higgins then permitted the BND and Belgian spies to put up a show in front of me so that their reaction toward me – while I was blacked out in surveillance – could be used as evidences to prove my bad character in conformity with Mr Secretary's lies about me. Our Madam President also permitted Homeland Security to coach my social worker Deborah G as to how to talk to me on the phone in order to produce in the surveillance intercept of our conversation the impression that I did suffer from schizophrenia in conformity to Mr Secretary's lies. Finally, judge Higgins allowed the United States to purposely orchestrate mistakes in surveillance and interception of my communications so that surveillance and interception showing me using a different passport number and having different bank account numbers than the ones listed on the FBI document about me could then be used as evidences proving that the United States in fact did not lie about me. When all the old evidences were suppressed and a series of fake evidences were brought to the newly constituted chamber of the International Court, the new judges, who didn't know what had happened before, were convinced that the United States had not lied about a terrorist suspect at all, and therefore reversed judge Higgins' January 4 judgment that the United States did violate UN Resolution 1373.

The United States' next step would be to oblige China, through UN Resolution 1373, to devise means to cover up the truth about 911 attacks and the United States' sponsorship of Islamic terrorism in general since the exposure of the United States' role in 911 attacks and terrorism around the world was considered part of the harm which the terrorist suspect (me) had intended to inflict upon the United States. It would be considered part of my conspiracy with the MSS director to get the United States labeled a “terrorism-sponsoring state”. This I would narrate in the Appendix to “Karin's Meetups” (“The crimes of the MSS director, Victor Bout's framing, and Dimitri Khalezov's 'Third Truth’”).

The legal tradition on the basis of which the CIA lawyers were able to interpret UN Resolution 1373 in such a way as to oblige China to convict itself on the basis of my supposed intention to harm the United States is “the spirit of the law”. The “spirit of the law”, as opposed to “the letter of the law”, is the principal argument which nation states in dispute may invoke to prevent the abuse of international laws for nefarious purposes. The “spirit of the law” refers to the original intention of the nation states when they came together to make the international laws in question. When nations came together to make UN Resolution 1373, the intention behind the requirement for one nation to share information about terrorist suspects with another was to protect the other nation from being harmed by the terrorist suspects. According to the spirit of the law, China had no right to complain about the United States' lie about a terrorist suspect who was going into China unless it truly believed that the terrorist suspect in question meant harm. Ultimately, the law governing conspiracy finds its entire justification in the “spirit of the law”. If I intentionally passed information about myself to the Chinese intelligence service, the latter could not use the information to complain about the United States in a dispute based on UN Resolution 1373 because the law was written to protect nations from terrorist suspects and not to allow terrorist suspects to help one nation state to harm another using the letter of the law. What I do find incomprehensible is the fact that the United States could somehow define as “terrorist harm” a supposed attempt by the terrorist suspect to expose the crimes and hypocrisy of a nation-state (the United States), for, when nations passed into law UN Resolution 1373, the “terrorist harm” from which they intended nation states to protect each other could not be anything other than physical harms, like bombings and killings and massive destruction of property. It would not be in the spirit of the law for the CIA lawyers to define my supposed attempt to help the MSS director expose the United States as a terrorism-sponsoring state as “terrorist harm”. And yet they were able to convince judge Higgins to do just this.

Judge Higgins' allowance for the definition of “terrorist harm” to expand beyond these stereotypical notions of “destruction” would, as you shall see in the following episodes, set off a series of bizarre changes in any International Court of Justice trial judging a matter of a government's conspiracy with a terrorist suspect to harm others. You have already seen, and will see further, how the CIA was able to legalize the forgery of evidences in the International Court of Justice: since the MSS director had conspired with a terrorist suspect, he was a terrorist himself; since he launched his “terrorist attack” on the United States by “forging evidences in the International Court of Justice”, to neutralize his “terrorist threat” in accordance with UN Resolution 1373 the United States should also be allowed to forge evidences to convict him and his country. The judge was now required by UN Resolution 1373 to

knowingly accept faulty and manufactured evidences as valid. Later on, in the next two years, the CIA, and the Russians and the French following the CIA, would derive further bizarre rules which would make the legal reality in the ICJ into a laughable fantasy world – all in the name of “neutralization of a terrorist threat” as sanctioned by UN Resolution 1373: evidences could not only be legally forged, they could be used to cancel out other evidences, as if a particle and its anti-particle could cancel each other out; you can even forge evidences in the present and move them backward in time to cancel out, replace, or enrich past evidences; eventually, they could even be borrowed from the future. Through the combination of a generalization of the definition of “terrorist harm” with the requirement for nations to neutralize any “terrorist harm”, the International Court of Justice was about to be deprived of all sense of realism which you cannot, under normal circumstances, take away from even the smallest legal cases, such as in a county small claim court. Judge Higgins had set down an extremely dangerous precedent – allowing international relations to be entirely regulated by made-up fantasies.

Remember that this case is unlike any other; it's not like the lawsuit which, for example, Nicaragua has in 1984 filed against the United States in the International Court of Justice.² In that case Nicaragua had also a clear-cut solid case and the United States knew that it would lose. But the United States proceeded to ignore it, and, arguing that the ICJ lacked jurisdiction, never even attended the trial. The United States let Nicaragua win because a case like that wouldn't fundamentally alter the shape of international relations. When China filed suit against the United States for violating UN Resolution 1373, however, the United States could not afford to ignore the case for, in this “Age of Terrorism”, the entire reputation of the United States, along with the entire justification of the United States' foreign policies, hinged on the United States' status as a “victim of terrorism”. The United States could not allow the truth to come to light that the United States itself had been the mastermind behind the scene of “Muslim terrorists” around the world.

The MSS director's argument in the ICJ

In Chapter 8, “To fly out of Shanghai and 'Operation Confession’”, I asked myself rhetorically how the MSS director was able to make a credible terrorist threat out of my story “My experience...” This has always been a mystery to me, given that the basis of his argument was that I was telling the truth in my story and yet that this story was meant to explain how I was *mistaken* as a terrorist suspect by the FBI. As I have suggested in Chapter 1, “Agency's sting operation”, he must have made use of the FBI's “Big Sister's” paranoid assessment that I was some sort of genius able to hide my terrorist planning beneath the cover of doing ordinary things. What had actually happened was however more complicated. Only now can I give a full and confident account of the details of the argument which the MSS director must have employed to convince the judges of the International Court of Justice that I posed an imminent threat to China's security and that the United States must thus immediately share, according to international agreement, the information it had about me and the entire operational plans of the CIA clandestine service.

I have mentioned earlier that the MSS director had indeed forged evidence to support his claim to the

2 See “Nicaragua v. United States of America: Merits” at http://lawofwar.org/nicaragua_v_us.htm.

ICJ judges that I was a very dangerous and cunning terrorist in exactly the way in which the “Big Sister” had portrayed me. Evidence had to be forged because no one, after reading my story “My experience...” and observing me for more than a short period, could see how I could possibly be a real terrorist. The evidence forged had something to do with the Uighur separatist movement in the Xinjiang province.³ The Uighur separatists – or the “Eastern Turkestan Islamic Movement” – had been, since the collapse of the Soviet Union, waging a guerrilla war against the Chinese authority in Xinjiang in an effort to gain independence for their homeland. Since 911 attacks and the adoption of UN Resolution 1373, the Chinese authority had considered them “terrorists” insofar as their tactics and training were of the same kind as Al-Qaeda and so on, but, as noted, the United States had been supporting them as “freedom fighters”. What MSS director must have done was to instruct Prof. Wong to make calls or write emails to someone connected with the Uighur separatists (“terrorists”), and then to make sure that his own crew intercepted the calls or emails. Prof. Wong need only have been instructed to speak the vaguest gibberish in the communication in order for the MSS director to interpret it as “secret coded communication between terrorists” since he had already convinced the ICJ judges that the paranoid method of the Big Sister was correct who was able to interpret any meaningless and mundane actions on my part as hinting at a sinister terrorist plot. Presumably, the MSS director had long ago figured out that the Big Sister had made a stupid mistake, and he was planning on doing the same thing: after his lawsuit succeeded and he had saved me, he would just claim: “Ops. Perhaps we have made a mistake. Maybe that gibberish in Prof. Wong’s communication was not coded communication at all; and the Uighur terrorist has turned out to be someone else...” In other words, copying the mistakes of the FBI and Homeland Security. The MSS director’s first use of the method of instructing people to act out a show while under surveillance and pretending to make mistakes explains why the Agency and Mr Secretary would later on be so intent on using the same method to frame him: “an eye for an eye”. Thus, when the MSS director first brought up his complaint to the International Court of Justice in mid-November 2007, his argument must have been something like: that the Big Sister’s assessment of me as a dangerous terrorist was indeed correct; that I had indeed converted to Islam; that I had through my terrorist connections in North America connected up with the Uighur separatists in Xinjiang and had come to China, precisely half a year before the Beijing Olympics, in order to work with the Uighurs to cause massive damages during this important time – and that, finally, *I planned to do so under the CIA’s manipulation*: that is, that it was the US government itself which had manipulated me, with or without my knowledge, to go to China to conduct my “attack”, and that this was why Mr Secretary had instructed his Homeland Security thugs to lie to the Chinese Foreign Ministry saying that I had never been investigated as a terrorist suspect, contrary to my own admission. The MSS director could further support his claim that my threat to China was orchestrated by the CIA by citing how Homeland Security itself, back in 2006, had investigated CIA operatives who came to recruit me as “terrorist suspects”. He was thus making maximum use of the mistakes which the FBI and the Department of Homeland Security had once made to hurt the United States itself.

3 For a quick introduction in the spirit of the “official version”, see Chien-Peng Chung, “China’s ‘War on Terror’: September 11 and Uighur Separatism”, *Foreign Affairs*, July/August 2002: <http://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/58030/chien-peng-chung/chinas-war-on-terror-september-11-and-uighur-separatism>.

The proof for the MSS director's dishonesty with which the CIA mole in the MSS had furnished the United States must have contained the MSS director's own admission that the communication between Prof. Wong and the Uighurs was orchestrated by himself and that he was pretending to make some coded communication out of meaningless gibberish in imitation of the FBI's Big Sister, so that the United States could later request judge Higgins to grant to the United States the right to orchestrate intercepts and pretend to make mistakes in surveillance in order to frame China. That the United States would send internationally known terrorists to China to conduct attacks might sound like the most absurd utterance to ordinary people whose worldview has been shaped by mainstream media, but this kind of knowledge was no stranger among the Chinese and Russian government officials and intelligence officers. The MSS director had only to make the ICJ judges become aware of the fact that the United States had been sponsoring terrorist organizations in Western China, Central Asia, and the Caucasus since the breakup of the Soviet Union. An example of a confession from an insider to this effect is the former FBI translator Sibel Edmonds. For example, in her December 2011 interview with James Corbett: <http://www.corbettreport.com/interview-422-sibel-edmonds/>, she reveals that, from 1996 to 2001, it was the United States and NATO which have set up all the "Islamic terrorists" (from the Chechens to what would later be called "Al Qaeda") in Central Asia and the Caucasus as proxy forces to dismantle Russian influence in this region rich in oil and gas. As Peak Oil neared, the West wanted to grab the natural resources found here away from Russia. According to Edmonds, the United States and NATO were doing this mostly through Turkish intermediaries. In the first half of the 1990s, the CIA, the State Department, and NATO forces were using Turkish commandos to train the Chechens. In the middle of the 1990s, when a particular scandal broke open in Turkey to render the use of Turkish commandos as intermediaries unfeasible, the United States and NATO decided to foster Islamic extremist sentiments in Central Asia. They sent out Turkish extremist Imams to set up Madrasahs (Koran schools) throughout Central Asia as a way to spread Islamic fundamentalism and reinforce the locals' antagonism toward the secular Russian forces. Michel Chossudovsky from Global Research has noted that, in the 1990s, according to Russian government's accusation, the United States was helping the Chechens via the Pakistani ISI. Both accounts are true, I suppose. Sibel Edmonds also reveals how the CIA had brought a Turkish Islamic extremist to the US to set him up, and then sent him off to China to help the Uighur separatists wage war against the Chinese authority. All the pipelines the Chinese had built to import oil and natural gas from Central Asia transited through Xinjiang, and the United States, wanting to dominate the world's energy trade, had thus developed an interest in seeing "Eastern Turkestan" secede from China proper. The West's ultimate goal was to make the Islamic states from western China through Central Asia to the Caucasus ally with the United States instead of with Russia and China.

The MSS director had been coveting evidences for this for a long time – that United States and its allies were the biggest supporters of Muslim terrorist groups in the world, rather than Iran or Venezuela. This was the opportunity to get them. By framing me for connection with the Uighurs, the MSS director could establish in a preliminary fashion that the common knowledge of the CIA's support of the Uighur separatists was correct. He was arguing that I was just another one of those Islamic extremists whom the CIA had been sending into China (or had duped into going to China). (Of course, whereas most of the extremists whom the CIA had sent into China were Turkish, I would be the first Chinese – although

from Taiwan.) The MSS director could then exploit UN Resolution 1373 to get into the Agency's "secret box", hoping to find *real* evidences for the Agency's widespread support of Muslim extremist groups around the world. He could then label the United States a "terrorism-sponsoring state" and the CIA a "terrorist organization" in the UN Security Council. He could also, additionally, dismantle the CIA's world-wide operations, much of which were harmful to Russia and China. Of course, he found a lot more in the CIA's "secret box": he found also proof that 911 attacks were orchestrated by the United States itself, and that Taiwan, Canada, and Germany (and maybe other NATO nations) had also had a hand in the training and support of Uighur separatists plus other Muslim terrorist groups. Now all these enemies of China could be labeled "terrorism-sponsoring states" and subjected to sanctions. The entire dynamics in the UN Security Council would change when the United States, instead of Iran, Venezuela, and North Korea, would be labeled a "terrorism-sponsoring state".

The episode of the Iranian girl "Niku" whom Ala brought to me during my meetup dinner on the night of December 25, 2007, was the only window at the time through which I could have peeked into the MSS director's arguments. To make his case against the United States, the MSS director had of course insisted that the United States let his Ministry of State Security crew come to Los Angeles to check me out and to investigate me as a "terrorist suspect" before it was time for me to fly to Shanghai. Karin's meetups were being watched by the MSS during the last two months of 2007, and Karin knew this, which was one of the reasons why she was so angry with the Chinese in 2008. (Nothing offended her belief in the superiority of the West more than letting "Chinckers" conduct surveillance on her meetup groups.) The MSS director decided to test me. The CIA had to cooperate with the MSS director in my "investigation" per obligation under international agreement. The Agency thus selected one of its operatives who was of Iranian origin and instructed Ala to bring her to me. This was the test which the MSS director had requested. I fell into the trap and showed Niku I knew how to write her name in Farsi, demonstrating that I knew Farsi. This was the evidence which the MSS director had wanted. As many Uighur "terrorists" were trained in the Mujahideen (or "Al-Qaeda") training camps in Afghanistan, and as the majority of Afghans spoke Farsi, the MSS director would use this instance as evidence confirming my affiliation with Uighur separatists and other Islamic terrorists. Those in the CIA couldn't be more incensed by the hypocrisy of the MSS director. After the FBI and Homeland Security had put up all that fuss about my being a possible terrorist, the Agency had to degrade itself by sending its million-dollar operative to conduct a serious investigation of me as an "Islamic terrorist". This is just one of the many instances where the MSS director had so pushed the CIA to a corner that the CIA was forced to spend all its genius helping its political enemy (the Vice President and Mr Secretary of Homeland Security) to knock him down.

Addenda to "My Experience with the FBI, CIA, and DHS"

My next objective in this Appendix is to provide the text of the "Addenda" which I wrote during the period between December 2007 and February 2008. This "Addenda", as I have noted in Chapter 7 "Homeland Security reality in Shanghai", I eventually finished while I was in Shanghai and pasted onto my "Feefee blog". This "Addenda" was a work of dishonesty. As I had become aware that a dispute had broken out between China and the United States while I was writing this and knew that this was the

reason why Homeland Security was suddenly tormenting me anew and obstructing everything I was doing, I wanted to note down the operations without giving out any hint of this awareness on my part that “the Chinese had come into the picture”. I thus wrote this piece, and, as you can see, portions of it have been incorporated into “Government's investigation of a schizophrenic, Part III”. This piece itself contains no lies, but doesn't tell the whole truth.

Addenda to “My Experience with the FBI, CIA, and DHS”

by
Lawrence C. Chin

written between December 2007 and February 2008

There are four matters I wish to discuss in regard to my situation during the last two months of 2007.

(1) Since I returned from Taiwan to Los Angeles in late October 2007, I found the residents in West Los Angeles being alerted again about me. Let me talk about this alert a bit. As said, the Department of Homeland Security has become increasingly secretive by utilizing local government and public resources to carry out its operations so as to hide its direction from behind the scene. To alert the population that I'm a dangerous schizophrenic with problematic intentions so as to ostracize me from humanity and silence me once and for all, the Department of Homeland Security most likely instructed the police, security services, and mental health professionals to send out such alert among universities, work places, movie theaters, airlines, and other public places in California. Since I have written out my story, the DHS now has a new objective: to preempt the possibility that my story might convince anyone in case it should reach the eyes of anyone. As said, Mr. Homeland Security secretary loves secrecy and enjoys that feeling of watching you from the computer screen in his secret hideout and manipulating the environment around you to frustrate you or build up an artificial profile of you as some sort of radical liberal while you have no clue that you are being duped. He enjoys creating an alternate, false reality for his target and the population while only he and his department personnel live in the true reality behind the scene. When he succeeds in deceiving others, he feels superior. But my case must remain the most guarded government secret not because its exposure would really allow your enemies to get an edge over you – as you have seen, my story tells merely of an episode of inter-agency turf battle, and contains no classified information on sensitive technology, operational plans, or secret agents' identities – but because Mr Secretary simply wants to hide the fact that he has once badly embarrassed himself... And so he does not want anyone outside the government to know that what I'm saying – that his Department is after me – is true. The Department must find a way to notify the population about me without giving away the fact that they have been watching me.

This, of course, can only be my hypothesis: all the residents, students, and workers that are alerted about me are gagged – required to keep the alert a secret from me – and instructed to pretend not to know me at all and be courteous to me. Anyone who doesn't do so will be thrown into jail. And so I'm never explicitly told all this, but have to guess what might be going on. Courtesy is very important,

because the DHS wants to do its best in copying the CIA technique in pretending and then training the whole population with it. Deep down inside everyone of course detests me to the extreme because of the enormous viciousness of the content of these alerts. My best reconstruction based on hints of the content of the alert is: (1) that I'm schizophrenic but paradoxically at the same time extremely intelligent: this is the crux of the alert; that I have imagined up this whole story about the FBI investigation, the CIA recruitment, and the DHS surveillance and practice and wrote it down; but this story I wrote, because of my paradoxical high intelligence, risks convincing people. Remember that the whole purpose of the alert is to preempt people from believing me by reconstructing me as a paranoid schizophrenic under the guise of protecting the wellbeing of the people and my own "mental health"; (2) that I have made threats (well, I happened to have done that three years earlier – what a bummer); (3) that I like spying and snooping on people (false); (4) that I have an extremely selfish and vicious personality; (5) information about my bad hygiene – that I take shower and do laundry only infrequently; (7) information about what kind of women I'm attracted to – again, those cultural icon-looking white females – and sometimes even information about what turns me on sexually (this alert happened in Taiwan); (8) information about my daily normal activities that were twisted into something bizarre and frightening: for example, after I set up my website and the DHS destroyed my laptops with a "federal trojan" (below), I started seriously learning about computers and the Internet in order to ensure the smooth functioning – to avoid censorship – of my websites and to defend against future intrusion into my computer. I have always been somewhat computer illiterate and am now coming into the game quite late. But that probably didn't prevent the DHS from alerting the population that I'm a computer mad genius and extremely dangerous great hack; (9) information about my pathetic relationship with my family members and the fact that they still have to help me out financially regularly. Although the real purpose of the alert is to discredit me, its secondary purpose is character assassination, to make me look vicious and pathetic as much as possible: "Schizophrenic mad genius", receiving financial aid from family members while already an adult, the ridiculous scene of an ugly Asian male craving for culturally iconic white females, awful hygiene.... Just like negative ads in an American political campaign.

To add to the authenticity that these alerts came from normal means rather than from DHS operations, the Department had probably also instructed my family members and Deborah to alert the police or other authorities – as if out of concern for my wellbeing and that of the population – that I'm at the moment entering into especially acute schizophrenic episodes. As said, the real purpose of the alert is to discredit me – and ordinary people, especially in America, being as gullible as they are, Mr. DHS Secretary has been very successful with this character assassination.

(2) While on the Cathay Pacific flight Taipei-Hong Kong-Los Angeles on Oct. 25 2007, the flight attendant grabbed my laptop (a Gateway) from my lap during takeoff and put it in the overhead bin. It was placed improperly there, so that when I opened the bin again while on flight, it fell straight down to the floor and couldn't function anymore. After such a painful disaster in Taiwan, my bad luck still had to multiply. Now Cathay Pacific flight attendants had evidently completely bought into the false alerts that the Department of Homeland Security had sent out to them and did exactly as the Department had instructed them: to act as if they didn't know anything about me and to be especially

courteous to this “dangerous schizophrenic” so as to deceive him that nothing was up, that it was all his imagination.

So upon my return I had to take the laptop back to Best Buy where I bought it for repair. It's most unfortunate because Best Buy was thoroughly under the control of the Department of Homeland Security, so that when the store returned the laptop to me, you can be sure that they had scrubbed up every piece of datum I had put in the hard disk (not that they were going to find anything I wrote they didn't already know about) and then either reconfigured it or inserted trojan functions in it for it to be remotely controllable and surveillable, the administrator function meanwhile being disabled for me. Thus I simply bought another laptop (a Toshiba Satellite U305 this time) from Best Buy and made sure to refuse when the DHS-informed sales person there offered to have their Geek Squad configure the operating system before I should carry it home. It was now November 2007 and I created two websites to host this story you are reading,⁴ one in Germany, and another in China. At the same time I obtained a visa and bought a plane ticket to China. This apparently has caused the Department of Homeland Security to exert more efforts on me. New alerts seem to have been sent out to the population of West Los Angeles. They also found an opportunity to install another trojan horse into my new Toshiba Satellite. It seemed that a DHS agent installed this trojan horse by coming into the Starbucks where I was one late November night and sticking a USB flash drive into my laptop while I went away from my seat for a few minutes leaving the laptop unattended. (Since the Starbucks employees were most likely already alerted about me the “major menace to society”, of course they wouldn't tell me when I came back: “Hey, someone just stuck a flash drive into your computer while you were away.”)

This trojan horse took over the laptop just like any famous trojan horse such as Subseven, save that it could be remotely controlled wherever hotspot exists, even when I turned off the wireless and LAN adapters. Through the trojan horse the Department downloaded and replaced all the files saved in the laptop. When I tried to fix it in the UCLA library, or even on the bus (wherein DHS had also installed radio wireless access points), the DHS personnel would prevent me from turning on my computer in safe mode, lock up my ongoing Word document (the Chinese translation of the story I've written) into read-only, or play with my computer for fun, such as continually open up Internet Explorer browsers one after another – all from their control center, and I was neither online nor had any adapters turned on. I can only surmise that the trojan horse was remotely controlled through bluetooth.

So I wiped out the hard disk of this damaged Toshiba with the recovery CD and planned to reinstall the operating system, not knowing whether such measure would really kill the trojan. And I went back to using my Gateway, which ran slower and slower after I started going onto the Internet with it. (Could it also be because I downloaded Karin's photos from our Meetup site and more trojan horses were embedded in these photos?) Finally, the Windows Explorer just completely broke down and it became totally unusable – although at least it worked in safe mode with the few functions available in it. Perhaps the final blow came when I started using on it the flash drive which the DHS-informed Best Buy sales person gave to me as a freebie when I bought my Toshiba, saying that it came with the new purchase of the laptop: perhaps the flash drive was a trick, containing another trojan horse and

4 Namely, “My experience with the FBI, the CIA, and the Department of Homeland Security”.

bluetooth remote-control device inside.

Thus I could only surf the net on computers at the UCLA Medical Library or in a cybercafe. When I got onto websites that the Department of Homeland Security had decided should not be seen by me (other people's blogs, the blog of a university professor in China, and even the website of Chinese consulate), they would freeze up my internet connection, block it (with a "HTTP 404 error" showing), or simply close the browser in front of me. I would then get the librarian to come take a look at the problem. The DHS personnel, watching all this through the hidden cameras installed on the ceiling (most likely, again, inside the ventilation shaft), would then allow my Internet connection to function smoothly again. The librarian would then walk off disbelieving me and thinking that there had never been any problem. You see, the librarians had of course already been alerted that I was a schizophrenic who had concocted this story of inter-agency rivalry between the CIA and the DHS, and, by allowing only me but not the librarians – nor any one else at all – to see the tricks they were playing on me, they had further convinced the librarians that my mind was constantly hallucinating up scenarios about the Department of Homeland Security – and the Department hoped that I would myself eventually believe that I'm crazy.

The DHS officers in their control center have remote administrator capacity with respect to any public computer in the United States. In the cybercafe they would suddenly turn off the computer I was using or cause the floppy drive to malfunction when I attempted to save another copy of my story on a floppy disk. When I was using a payphone they would also suddenly disconnect the phone call. Probably trying to diminish my financial access when I do get myself to China, they had also instructed Paypal to not send me the new debit card that would replace my old Paypal card which was set to expire on the end of December 2007.

(3) So the battle with the Department of Homeland Security on the Internet has started again. Since no visits came from search engines to my website (guess why), I have had to constantly post messages on Internet forums and blogs or sign guestbooks of other people's websites in order to provide a link back to my website and generate some hits. The DHS personnel in their control center censor me half the time: while I could post messages on other people's blogs or guestbooks all I want, if I include a link, the message would never go through, especially on American ones and often on German and Chinese ones as well. Either the connection would simply freeze up, or the post would just disappear, or the DHS would manipulate the guestbook or vbulletin or bbs software and come between to fashion out a false reply saying, for the guestbook, that "the message is awaiting review by the website owner", or, once after signing up a Russian forum (the site was the English version of the Russian site), that "the account is awaiting activation by forum administrator", or, once after putting in a link on the signature section of a Chinese forum account of mine, that "the signature must be less than 10 words", even when I had reduced it to less than 10 words. Of course, the message or the account was never approved because it was never sent but intercepted in between. Homeland Security was always between my computer and the server.

I was able to sign up some German forums. But on all these forums the same thing that happened in

June 2007 soon followed in: whether at Lima City or Exilblog, as soon as I posted a description of the remote control capacity of the trojan horse, massive amount of vulgar and hostile posts by long-time handles intending to discredit me followed in: either these handles were taken over by the DHS personnel in their control center or by the German security officers helping the DHS or the users behind them in Germany were instructed by authorities to post these messages.

If I just put in some ordinary messages telling of insignificant matter as a way to provide on these forums a link to my websites, replies which clearly came from the DHS internauts would soon populate the rest of the thread in order to cover up my posts. Soon it became clear that I was only interacting with Homeland Security internauts pretending to be the preexisting handles on the forums. This happened first with German forums and then with Chinese forums as well. The worst possible scenario was that I didn't even get onto the "real", original German or Chinese forums but was redirected via techniques such as DNS cache poisoning onto fake, duplicate sites temporarily created on DHS servers just for me, and that thus no one else was seeing these sites but me. Some of the visits I received on my websites were clearly fake visits from the DHS as well, despite the fact that, for my website in Germany, they were able to route their visits through German ISPs in order to create an air of authenticity on my visitors' log. (The Department of Homeland Security uses Linux as their operating system far more frequently than ordinary people.) In other words, my communication with the rest of the humanity has been completely cut off. Once again, I live in a bubble, in an artificially created "Homeland Security fake reality".

Meanwhile I left my Toshiba in the closet at my apartment: a mistake. One night in late December I came home to find that it had been moved. The next day when I took it back to the Best Buy in Westwood to ask the Geek Squad personnel about re-installing Windows Vista for me with the recovery CDs, he said, without even looking at the computer and with that hostile attitude he thought a social menace like me deserved, that the hard drive was probably damaged, that this happened all the time with new laptops. You can guess what has probably happened: in order to convince me that I was wrong about the trojan horse, the DHS had sent agents into my room to perform alteration on the hard drive so that it became damaged, and then instructed the Geek Squad personnel to tell me that the hard drive was damaged, so that if I ever had them fix it, it'd appear that I had mistaken a damaged hard drive for a trojan horse.

(4) Now it's one thing that no one believes your story; another devastating consequence of these alerts is the damages they have wrecked on my already fragile social connections. All my Meetup groups were now fixed, the most important ones of which were of course Karin's two groups. After my return from Taiwan, Karin was "re-trained" as to how to properly pretend, to act naturally and never show any fear. But her personality was simply such that she wasn't very interested in government's intelligence operations and she was clearly deeply bitter toward me for having entangled her into my bizarre business and destroyed two of her three groups. (She was of course forbidden to reveal her bitterness toward me by virtue of the letters and confidential agreements she had signed with the Department of Homeland Security.) No matter how hard she tried acting, she had clearly changed into a different person by the false vicious alerts the Department had bombarded her about me – it was almost as if she

had been abducted by an UFO and dropped back onto earth, never the same person again: this was how vicious and effective Mr. Homeland Security Secretary's character assassination was. Meanwhile some of the old timers of her group rarely showed up again thanks to the scary nature of the falsehood the Department of Homeland Security had spread out about me. Some were happy to work as Homeland Security operatives doing acting in front of me, pretending to like me and trying to make me feel comfortable: such as Elissa, a girl from Taiwan also who was after all a nice girl but who couldn't appreciate more the green card which the DHS had promised to give her for her operation on me. Well, I don't really complain that much about bringing her this gift as if I were some sort of Santa Claus insofar as she was a nice girl. Many new people – informants and agents – were sent into Karin's two groups. These two favorite groups of mine – just about the entirety of the little pleasures I derived from life – were by now complete theater, everything in it being planned and staged in order to lock me in a delusional state. Can I really blame Karin for feeling bitter toward me when my presence in her groups and my sharing of my story with her had transformed her favorite – and only – pastime into a constant clandestine operational theater?

Some of the old-timers in my French language meetup group were alerted about me and, just like Karin, had changed into different persons when I was around. They found me utterly despicable while having to pretend to like me on the surface. Homeland Security informants signed up as group members in this group and in other new groups that I started signing up for: the Hungarian language group (where the permanent Homeland Security “infiltrant” was another guy from Taiwan by the name of Vincent Berkeley Chen: whether it was his real name or a fake name I can't say) and the Portuguese language group. All members of these two groups were alerted about me and instructed to act naturally – despite the shock they went through – the first time I joined their meeting. Homeland Security agents have for sure infiltrated other meetup groups in which I wasn't a participant. Meetup.com has become a mad house of Homeland Security secret agents and informants.

And so I went on my trip to China on December 29 to face an uncertain fate: would I be sabotaged by false secret rumors and alerts devised by the Department of Homeland Security if I should ever try to meet people and find employment? Actually, of course I would. God will never – is probably unable to – answer this prayer of mine: to have again a normal life like everyone else.