

**The Secret History of the International Court of Justice
Appendix to Vol. II**

**The crimes of the MSS director, Viktor Bout's framing,
and Dimitri Khalezov's "Third Truth"¹**

LEGEND

MSS: Ministry of State Security, the Chinese foreign intelligence service
Vice President: D. C.
Mr Secretary of Homeland Security: M. C.
MSS director: director of Ministry of State Security, China's spy chief
(The then) Russian President: V. P.
Madam President of the International Court of Justice
Chinese president: H. J. T.

If you are familiar with the case of Viktor Bout and the "Third Truth" propounded by a certain Dimitri Khalezov, this chapter will quite surprise you. Both Viktor Bout and Dimitri Khalezov are tremendously implicated in the International Court battle which my December 2007 flight to China has engendered.

Let us follow the narrative which we have laid out in Appendix One of Vol. One, "Synopsis of CIA's argument in the ICJ". Once the United States had established, conclusively to the satisfaction of the Madam President of the International Court, that I was running a conspiracy with the director of the MSS by intentionally supplying him with my story and my information and knowingly receiving and following the instructions he gave me, all in order to harm the United States, China became obliged under UN Resolution 1373 to help the United States neutralize the threat I posed to the United States. That was, remember, early February 2008, when I was in Brussels. The neutralization of the threat meant reversing all the harms which the MSS director had already inflicted on the United States: exposing, in the UN Security Council, the United States' perpetration of 911 attacks on itself and support of Islamic terrorism in Central Asia to harm Chinese interests and destabilize China, and convicting, in the International Court of Justice, the United States as a terrorism-sponsoring state. Moreover, the Madam President of the ICJ was persuaded by CIA's lawyers that the proper compensation to the United States for suffering such abuse of UN Resolution 1373 consisted in making China suffer all that which China had intended to make the United States suffer: "An eye for an eye". All this meant that China was now required by international law to help the United States hide away the United States' role in 911 attacks and to convict itself of sponsoring Islamic terrorism to harm the United States. *The Madam President of the ICJ thus ordered the Chinese president to forge evidences from his own spy organ the MSS in order to convince the UN of the United States' innocence and to convict China as a terrorism-sponsoring state.*

1 Corrections after 2012 concern only grammar and layout.

Now you can see the strategic importance – to which I have earlier referred – in the United States' move to classify the lawsuit as “super-top secret” by requesting that the Madam President of the ICJ shut off all non-involving nations from observation of the course of this lawsuit. When the time came that our Vice President could request that she order the Chinese president to forge evidences showing that it was the MSS which had orchestrated 911 attacks, etc., no nations which were not directly involved in the lawsuit would know that the evidences were actually forged. Only in this way could nations truly *believe* that the United States was innocent of sponsoring terrorism – and the nations had to truly believe in order that the damage which the MSS director had caused to the United States' reputation in the eyes of the world may be reversed. Thus Madam President must not say anything herself. Madam President, adhering to her duty as the enforcer of international laws, agreed. By this time – when my “conspiracy” with the MSS was established and the United States had begun requesting that the Chinese president order the MSS to forge its own records as a way to frame China for sponsoring terrorism – the only judge left to oversee Chinese President's obedience to UN Resolution 1373 was the ICJ President judge Higgins herself. Not even the judges from China and the United States were left to witness how it all happened.

You must imagine that, since the MSS director had already, in late November and early December 2007, shown everyone in the UN how exactly our Vice President had himself carried out 911 attacks with the help of Pakistani ISI, forging new evidences to convince nations of the world that it now turned out to be China, specifically the MSS director, who had carried out the attacks would be no easy task. Covering up the truth about 911 attacks and blaming the attacks onto China's MSS meant that our Vice President would have to (1) convince nations that the earlier documents which the MSS director had brought out of the CIA showing how our Vice President had orchestrated 911 attacks and how the United States (the CIA and the State Department) had been sponsoring Islamic terrorism for two decades were fake and (2) create a new official story about how 911 attacks happened which would not only eliminate his role in them but also, at once, fit the facts! For the 911 truth movement had already revealed just how stupid the official story fed to the people since 2001 was.

To fulfill China's obligation under UN Resolution 1373, the Chinese president was thus first ordered by Madam President to order his own MSS to forge MSS documents showing that the former documentary evidences from the CIA showing Vice President's own orchestration of 911 attacks were in fact forged by the MSS and planted in the US database by some moles which the MSS had within the CIA, as part of an evil plan to root out the United States from the UN Security Council, etc. – that the MSS had planned the whole lawsuit: planting fake documents in the CIA's database, recruiting Lawrence Chin's twin brother David Chin to fly to China, and bringing up a lawsuit in the International Court of Justice, etc. When the United States brought these forged MSS documents to the United Nations, all nations were stunned.

Then came the next step of creating a new official story about 911 attacks which would lay the blame on the MSS director. Well, what exactly happened on September 11 2001? To repeat: the 911 hijackers were American CIA-Pakistani ISI agents originally recruited to fight the Russians in the Caucasus

along with other “mujahideen” (Operation Gladio Plan B). The neocons, or our Vice President and his shadowy crew, then decided on them as the candidates to carry out the 911 false flag attacks. During 911 attacks, after the agents had hijacked the planes, the first three planes were swapped before hitting their targets (obviously the American-Pakistani agents would not agree to sacrificing their lives for their American and Pakistani masters), so that the planes which hit the World Trade Center Twin Towers and the Pentagon were actually some other planes – and a missile! – than the hijacked planes. The fourth plane was shot down under Vice President's order when the passengers stormed the cockpit and the danger emerged that it might actually land and expose the “conspiracy”.² The Twin Towers and Building 7 of the World Trade Center were then brought down by the explosives which our Vice President's shadowy crew had before the attacks planted inside the buildings' superstructure. In other words, one does not need to look outside the mainstream 911 truth movement.

Except for one thing: that the Pentagon was hit, not just by a missile, but by a Russian-made missile. This is where we do have to venture outside the mainstream 911 truth movement. We now have to enter the universe of Dimitri Khalezov's “third truth”.³ He has come up with a strange alternative story to explain how 911 did not happen in the way in which the official story (the 911 Commission Report) has described it – an alternative story in which the US government was not involved in the attacks at all! For this reason, many 911 truthers have been angry with him (for example: “The Dimitri Khalezov 'WTC was nuked' hoax” where Khalezov is identified as a Zionist disinformationist)⁴. Now Khalezov's story is a bunch of lies mixed with truth. What are true in his story are these: (1) The US government has been officially sponsoring two versions of what happened on 911: the “plebeian version” (to use his designation) which is devised since 2001 for the consumption of ordinary people: the infamous “9/11 Commission Report”; and then the “patrician” version which is devised (this Khalezov didn't say) in 2008 for the consumption of government elites around the world. The US government's sponsorship of the “patrician” version is however kept out of ordinary people's consciousness and thus never reported in the news. (2) The Pentagon was struck by a Russian-made missile, Granit P 700. This is also part of the “patrician” version. (3) The Granit missiles were stolen from the Russian submarine Kursk back in 2000.

Khalezov's claim is that neither the “plebeian” story nor the “patrician” story are the true story. Only his “Third Truth” tells the truth of what has really happened on 911. According to him, the “patrician” version claims that the three WTC buildings were demolished by suit-case nukes belonging to Osama bin Laden and Saddam Hussein. His “third truth” claims, rather, that the WTC buildings were demolished by nuclear devices planted underneath the buildings when they were built (this was some sort of emergency demolition scheme that came along with the building of the WTC Towers), that the US government demolished the buildings for fear that mini-nukes may have remained inside the buildings after they were struck by missiles, and that the ultimate perpetrator was a former Israelite intelligence officer. It of course also claims that the Pentagon was struck by a Russian-made missile,

2 David Ray Griffin has hit on this true reason for the shooting down of flight 93 in one of his books.

3 See his website: www.911-truth.net and his interview: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vNuKAdGlxFo&list=FLLc-JNKaHINvw2exrF0opcA&index=230&feature=plpp_video.

4 <http://wakeupfromyourslumber.com/blog/poseidon/dimitri-khalezov-wtc-was-nuked-hoax>.

Granit P 700. I have to agree with the mainstream 911 truthers: this “third truth” is a false story, a disinformation campaign to confuse the 911 truth movement.

What Khalezov has also lied about is the fact that the “patrician” version does not actually say what he says it says. The actual “patrician” version says probably something like: the WTC Twin Towers were exploded by mini-nukes planted inside, and the US government then decided to demolish them (along with WTC 7) with the preset emergency demolition schemes for fear of unexploded nuclear warheads, just like in Khalezov's “third truth”. Furthermore, the US government has in fact held the “patrician” version to be the truth and takes Khalezov's own “third truth” to be an incorrect and partial version of the “patrician” truth – especially because it is ultimately our Vice President who has instructed, through a long chain of intermediate commands, Khalezov to make up his “third truth” (which Khalezov designates as the “barbarian” version).

I have to tell you that Khalezov's story (both his “third truth” and his rather incorrect presentation of the “patrician” version) is nevertheless extremely valuable here in that his story is composed of fragments taken out of a new official story – *exactly the new official story which our Vice President had judge Higgins order the Chinese president to forge evidences to confirm in the aftermath of the MSS director's exposure of his 911 crimes and which he was going to use to cover up the truth of 911 attacks in the UN and blame the attacks on the MSS director*. We can therefore use these fragments to reconstruct our Vice President's new official story even though this new official story has never been made public knowledge because it was devised for exclusive use among world's government elites. Through his “Third Truth”, Khalezov has therefore revealed to you what government elites around the world might have been told, and believed, about what happened on 911 since 2008, namely, the (actual) “patrician” version. Now it is my contention that Khalezov has hardly told you the whole content of this “patrician” version. Namely, according to this version, it was the Chinese intelligence MSS which has furnished Al Qaeda and Saddam Hussein with the Granit nuclear missiles and the mini-nukes used to strike the Pentagon and the WTC buildings. What? The Chinese pulled off 911? Yes, do you get it? This “patrician” version, along with the “plebeian” version, is also false, of course; the “patrician” story is the story which our Vice President had made up, in February 2008, in the aftermath of China's lawsuit against the United States in the International Court of Justice – it was the new official story about how 911 attacks happened which would eliminate our Vice President's role in them and fit the facts, and which the Chinese government was obliged under international law to forge evidences to confirm!

To understand what happened later, we first have to know how it is that, in reality, Pentagon was struck by a Russian-made missile. That the hole on the Pentagon did not correspond to damages done by an airliner but looked like it was caused by a missile had been noticed since very early on, for the first time by the masterful Thierry Meyssan in his 2002 best-seller *L'Effroyable imposture*. But Meyssan couldn't have guessed that the missile was Russian-made. For this knowledge, we can only depend on Dimitri Khalezov. In an interview with Daniel Estulin⁵ (and elsewhere as well), Khalezov reveals that

5 <http://skypotrol.net/2010/10/13/arms-trafficking-stolen-missiles-soviet-submarines-nuclear-detonations-and-911-exclusive-interview-with-dimitri-khalezov-in-bangkok/>

the Granit P-700 which had hit the Pentagon was stolen from the Russian navy when the Russian submarine Kursk sank back in August 2000.⁶ The Russian government of course said that this was an accident, and that no missiles with nuclear warheads on board had been missing. Now it has turned out that this claim was false. The missiles have ended up in American hands. How?

The sinking of Kursk must have been a US-NATO clandestine operation. The original Russian assessment that the USS Memphis had collided, and stayed, with Kursk was probably correct: Memphis had carried off the missiles. (See the report at “What Really Happened”⁷.) The United States wanted these super Granit missiles back in 2000 – a missile which could successfully penetrate the US aircraft carrier battle group and destroy the carrier had remained a thorny concern for the US military planners after the implosion of the Soviet Union – and so it sank Kursk and stole away the missiles. The next question is: why, when our Vice President and his clique decided to stage 911 attacks, did he choose to use a Russian missile to strike the Pentagon? What's wrong with Tomahawk, for example?

My speculation – only my speculation, but a very reasonable one – is that our Vice President was trying to blackmail the then-Russian president into going along with his official story that “Al Qaeda terrorists have hijacked the planes and attacked the US”. Back in the summer of 2001, when the negotiation over the TAPI pipeline in Afghanistan did not turn to US's favor, the Russian president knew that our Vice President was determined to carry out 911 attacks in order to have a pretext to occupy Afghanistan and Central Asia.⁸ Our Vice President wanted military bases installed in Russia's backdoor anyway. Michael Ruppert has once in a lecture claimed that the Russian president had even ordered his intelligence service to warn the US that an attack was coming. If this was true, it obviously bespeaks how the Russian president was doing his best to prevent 911 attacks from happening so as to keep Russia's backdoor free of American influences. Our Vice President was worried that the Russian

6 <http://en.rian.ru/analysis/20100812/160170017.html>

7 At <http://whatreallyhappened.com/WRHARTICLES/KURSK/index.html>. Christian Schulz's 2002 documentary, “Russlands Alptraum: Der Untergang der Kursk” is fairly informative on the events surrounding the sinking of Kursk. The film explores three different theories about the sinking, and the first one stipulates precisely that USS Memphis had collided with Kursk. I believe in the first theory, and hold it to be the case that the USS Memphis had purposely steered itself to collide with Kursk in a well-planned clandestine operation.

8 On the history of TAPI pipeline, see, for example, John Foster, “Afghanistan, the TAPI Pipeline, and Energy Geopolitics” (http://www.ensec.org/index.php?option=com_content&id=233:afghanistan-the-tapi-pipeline-and-energy-geopolitics&catid=103:energysecurityissuecontent&Itemid=358), March 23 2010. He summarizes: “The US has promoted the TAPI pipeline since the 1990s. When the Taliban was governing Afghanistan, two consortia vied for the right to take on the project, one led by Unocal (an American firm) and the other by Bidas (an Argentinean firm). The US government supported the Unocal consortium. US negotiators participated in the Six-plus-Two conferences (the six countries bordering Afghanistan plus the US and Russia) from 1997 to 2001. The aim was to convince the Taliban to form a government of national unity. At the time, the Taliban controlled 90 percent of Afghanistan but not the area held by the Afghan Northern Alliance. Unocal testified to Congress that the pipeline 'cannot begin construction until an internationally recognized Afghanistan government is in place. For the project to advance, it must have international financing, government-to-government agreements and government-to-consortium agreements.' The Bush Administration urged the Taliban regime to form a government of national unity that would include the northern tribes. Bidas took a different approach – they negotiated separately with different tribes. The president of Bidas spent eight months visiting tribes along the pipeline route and reportedly had secured their cooperation for the venture. Negotiations with the Taliban broke down in July 2001, just before the attacks of September 11.”

president might blow open the US official story concerning 911 attacks. If the Russian president did so, our Vice President could then reveal that what hit the Pentagon was a Russian missile – which was furthermore armed with a nuclear warhead (albeit deactivated)! Since our Russian President had lied back in 2000 that no nuclear missiles were missing from Kursk, he would have difficulty in telling now the truth that he didn't order his submarine to fire a missile into American soil but that the Russian missile found on the site of the Pentagon was stolen away in a US-NATO operation. Our Russian President was thus blackmailed into not revealing what he knew about 911 attacks. (Khalezov was then probably right that, when Condoleezza Rice called the Russian government on the day of September 11 2001, she was telling them that it was a Russian missile which had hit the Pentagon!) The Russian President now had to get on Russian TV to express condolences to the American people, and agree to allow the United States to set up military bases in former Soviet republics bordering Afghanistan.

Now when the MSS director had exposed the truth of 911 attacks in the UN, the whole UN had seen definitive proof – coming straight out of CIA's database – that what struck the Pentagon was a Russian-made missile. Now, in order to absolve himself in the perception of the UN of the crime of 911 attacks and blame it on the Chinese, our Vice President's new official story would not only have to not have all the holes which the 911 truth movement had noticed, like the mysterious collapse of the three WTC buildings, it would also have to explain how a Russian-made missile could have hit the Pentagon: only then could the new story be more believable than the original official story fed to the people since 2001 (the “plebeian” version contained in the 911 Commission Report) and reverse the perception of everyone in the UN – who would not know that the evidences for this new “patrician” official story were forged by the Chinese under the order of the Madam President of the ICJ. This is where Viktor Bout's arrest came in.

For the story about Viktor Bout, see especially Daniel Estulin's *The Shadow Masters*. The DEA sting operation which has got Bout apparently started in November 2007, at the same time that my case at the International Court had begun. (See the unsealed US court indictment, Feb. 27 2008.⁹) I don't think it was related to my case back then. But, during January and early February 2008, when our Vice President and his entourage were working out the new official story (the “patrician” version) – of course they were already planning on this even before my “conspiracy” with the MSS director had been formally established – they must have decided that they could make Viktor Bout the “fall guy” in the new official story: to make their story work they would have to figure out a mechanism whereby Al Qaeda, under Chinese help, could fire the Granit missile and plant mini-nukes inside WTC Towers. Since they had already been defaming Bout as “Merchant of Death”, they could make him the devil who had somehow transferred the Granit missiles and the nukes to the Chinese intelligence, which then supposedly transferred these to Al Qaeda. To make Bout the “fall guy”, however, they would have to establish my conspiracy with the Chinese intelligence first, because only then could they oblige China, under the terms of UN Resolution 1373, to frame itself for the crimes of sponsoring terrorism to hurt the United States, including helping Al Qaeda carry out 911 attacks. (Presumably, our Vice President and his clique were thinking of establishing a connection between China's secret support of Iraqi insurgents, which may or may not be true, and 911 attacks, which in reality had nothing to do with

9 <http://www.danielestulin.com/bout/bout-complaint.pdf>

China.) This in fact explains the urgency with which the CIA and our Secretary of Homeland Security were trying, during January and early February 2008, to prove that I purposely helped Chinese intelligence to harm the United States.

Once the conspiracy between me and the MSS director was established in early February 2008, the United States then had judge Higgins order the Chinese president to order the MSS' own crew to forge evidences proving the MSS's sponsorship of Islamic terrorists. The MSS thus forged their own documents which showed that they had furnished Al Qaeda with a Granit missile and several suit case mini-nukes, all of which were used to bomb the WTC Twin Towers and strike the Pentagon, and that it was Viktor Bout who had sold these nuclear weapons to China out of Ukraine. This latter fictitious event to which the forged MSS documents referred, incidentally, has been leaked out by Khalezov in his interview with Daniel Estulin¹⁰, and Khalezov has also noted there that, to make the story more realistic, the Granit missile had morphed into X-55. Now Viktor Bout was just being arrested in Thailand at the time, and the United States could then take the evidentiary records of the ICJ (namely, those forged MSS documents proving Viktor Bout's crime) to the Thai court to reveal to them, in secret, the real reason why the US government wanted Bout extradited, i.e., Bout's connection to 911 attacks. This Khalezov has also leaked out in his interview with Daniel Estulin. This is really why Bout was held by Thai authority and eventually extradited to the United States even though, under Thai laws, as Estulin has noted in his *The Shadow Masters*, Bout's alleged agreement to sell anti-aircraft missiles to FARC, even if true (it is in fact false), constituted no crime at all. The public was mystified because the US had obtained Thai's agreement that the real charge against Bout not be revealed to the public.¹¹

To change the minds of everyone in the UN – of all government elites around the world – the United States then took the forged MSS documents to the UN to “prove” to everyone the new official story about what happened on 911 – namely that the Chinese intelligence service MSS obtained the Granit missile and mini-nukes from the Merchant of Death Viktor Bout and transferred these to Al Qaeda, who then used these to strike the Pentagon and blow up WTC Twin Towers, and that the US government had no choice but to demolish the WTC buildings with the preset emergency demolition scheme. This is the “patrician” version to which Khalezov has referred but which he has misrepresented. Our Vice President's next step was to blackmail the Russian government into cooperating in the confirmation by making up a story about Soviet's knowledge of the preset emergency demolition scheme and by sabotaging Viktor Bout's defense in Thai court. Everyone in the UN, because this new “patrician” version was backed up by forged documents that looked as real as real documents – you can't fail to fool people when you are asked to forge your own signatures! – thus did believe the new official story which completely absolved the US government of its involvement in 911 attacks.

Now I want to explain to you how our Vice President was able to blackmail the Russian government

¹⁰ This is found in James Corbett's November 2011 interview with Daniel Estulin (<http://www.corbettreport.com/interview-406-daniel-estulin/>).

¹¹ In 2015 ARTE made a documentary on Viktor Bout, “The Notorious Mr Bout”, in which it is noted that the Thai government at first wanted to send him back to Russia because he had committed no crime in Thailand. The documentary traces out Bout's whole life – contradicting Estulin's presentation in *The Shadow Masters* – and follows him to his trial in New York.

into sabotaging Victor Bout's defense and forging documents to reveal Soviet's knowledge of the preset emergency demolition scheme devised for the Twin Towers. Refer back to Estulin's interview with James Corbett in November 2011. There Estulin also reveals that Khalezov has revealed to him that the United States and Russia have together made up this story, prior to Viktor Bout's arrest, that the Granit missile which had hit the Pentagon was among the 12 Granits sold out of Ukraine via Bout, and that 6 ended up in China and 6 in Iran. Khalezov's claim is that Russia has agreed to work with US in framing Viktor Bout because the Russian president didn't want to admit missiles had been stolen from Kursk. Khalezov has hardly revealed the real reason: it is because Russia wanted to withdraw from the current lawsuit with the United States about me in the International Court of Justice. Recall how, during my last days in Brussels, between February 1 and 10, 2008, Mr Secretary of Homeland Security tried again and again to frame me for conspiracy with the Russian intelligence, first by duping me into calling Zudy Pingley Smith's number, then by luring me to talk to his fake Russian spy girls on the tram, and finally by making Russian pop up on the Skype software I was using. Even though he had never succeeded in establishing a conspiracy between me and the Russian intelligence service, the Russians had sensed that this might just be a matter of time insofar as I then returned to the United States on February 11. Russia at the time had only one piece of evidence on its side – that the evidence showing my connection with “Zudy Pingley Smith” was forged: hardly sufficient. Russia thus requested to withdraw. The Russian government's desire to withdraw would have been further reinforced when, on February 20 2008, “Richard”, you recall, during my French Quarter meetup in the English pub in Santa Monica, announced that he knew Russian. Clearly, the next day when Mr Secretary brought into the International Court the new “evidence” demonstrating how I after all knew Russian, the Russian government knew they could not possibly fight on when the United States could manufacture evidences at will. For whatever reason, our Vice President accepted Russia's request to withdraw, on the condition that Russia agreed to help United States frame China for sponsoring terrorism – which included framing Victor Bout and forging documents to convince the world that there really were preset demolition nuclear devices buried underneath the Twin Towers. To protect Russia, Putin agreed.¹²

But even after the deal was struck between the United States and Russia, Mr Secretary of Homeland Security still wanted to impress his Boss the Vice President by convicting Russia altogether and backing out from the deal; thus he tried one more time to get me to talk to a “Russian secret agent” on the Culver City bus 6 around March 10, 2008. He failed, Bout was already arrested, and the deal was being implemented. It seems that the road to Russia's interior was closed. Even by late July 2008 Mr Secretary seemed still not to have any new plan to sue Russia anew, which explains why he instructed my fellow church members to encourage me to kill myself. It would not be until the end of August 2008 that, because Russia had invaded Georgia and significantly disrupted Vice President's plan to make inroads into Russia proper, our Vice President ordered Mr Secretary to sue Russia anew in the International Court of Justice.

The “patrician” version probably came with the explanation (to other government elites) that the US

12 Russia was in effect required by UN Resolution 1373 to help the United States frame China and Viktor Bout and cover up the truth about 911 attacks, i.e., Russia must help the United States neutralize the “terrorist attack” which the MSS director and I had inflicted on the United States.

government has decided to withhold the truth (the “patrician version”) from the common people because it cannot let people panic over the fact that “terrorists” have been supplied with nuclear devices by nation states (China, and let's not forget, Iran). Khalezov's claim that the planes which hit the WTC buildings were digitally added is probably also part of the explanation attached to the “patrician version”: the US government has had to add these images in order to dupe the common people away from the harsh truth of nuclear terrorist threat. The US government has thus justified its original official story (the “plebeian” version) – which nobody, after prominent 911 truther's debunking and the MSS director's exposé in the UN, could possibly believe – as “duping people for their own good”. The United States then also persuaded the UN to pass a resolution demanding that all nations withhold the new “patrician version” from public knowledge on this humanitarian ground: We must not allow people to panic. The foreign governments would then look at those American 911 truthers without sympathy at all – those people, while smart enough to notice that the “plebeian version” cannot be true, have failed to understand the good intention of their own government in duping them. All the government elites around the world would then admire the United States for being so considerate of the common people that it was willing to swallow the latter's false accusation without making a sound, all for their own good. Hypocrisy has triumphed.

Without saying so, Dimitri Khalezov has thus been leaking out to the public what has happened in the aftermath of China's lawsuit against the United States in the International Court of Justice – if you know how to read his words. His final comment in his article at Nexus (“11 September: Die dritte Wahrheit”¹³) may also reveal more of the content of the “patrician” version which he didn't mention: “Der 11. September wurde von denjenigen inszeniert, die die USA gemeinsam mit anderen Ländern in einen aberwitzigen Krieg in Afghanistan und den Irak treiben und die Bevölkerung dieser Länder ihrer letzten Bürger- und Menschenrechte berauben wollten.” That the “perp” tried to lure the US to Iraq and Afghanistan to waste its blood while provoking the US government to deprive its people of their civil liberties. Remember that, in the actual “patrician” version, this “perp” is the Chinese intelligence service MSS. Among the documents which the United States has obliged the Chinese president to order his own spy organ the MSS to forge, there thus must be some which “proved” the MSS' goal in supplying Al Qaeda with the means to attack the United States on 911: not only did the US not attempt to wreak havoc in China's and Russia's backdoor such as China and Russia had accused the United States of doing, but the US was actually duped by the Chinese into China's and Russia's backdoor to bleed itself to death: hence China's support of Iraqi insurgents.

Our Vice President's next move was to create an alternative 911 truth movement. First of all, there was Khalezov's “third truth”. He blackmailed the Russian government into ordering Khalezov to leak out fragments of the new “patrician” version as if it were some truth discovered by a former Soviet expert. The “third truth” was thus a new false story aimed at the 911 truthers and other skeptics of the government (whom Khalezov has referred to as the “barbarians”), a story which absolves, once again, our Vice President of his role in 911 by blaming the attacks on a third party – though not the Chinese this time: for the public was not privileged to know what lies the world's elites had been fed with. Since nobody with a functioning brain can possibly believe WTC buildings were not demolished and the

13 <http://www.nexus-magazin.de/artikel/lesen/11-september-die-dritte-wahrheit>.

Pentagon was struck by a jetliner, both the “patrician” and the new “barbarian” versions, in order to not make the mistakes of the “plebeian version”, have to admit the *truth* that the Pentagon was struck by a missile while advancing the *falsehood* that WTC buildings were demolished by *nuclear* bombs. Khalezov, a former Soviet officer whom the United States had once wanted to make into a “fall guy”, was chosen to leak the new “barbarian” version, in order to make it more believable to the “barbarians”. While Khalezov gave people some truth to get them hooked (the Granit missile), his denial that the neocon gang was the ultimate culprit for 911 attacks was the main function of his “Third Truth”. You must also ignore his statement: “9/11 was planned by those behind the curtains who wished to create police states out of remnants of the former democracies.” This New World Order garbage talk was added by Khalezov (under instruction) to better convince the “barbarians”.

Our Vice President must have then also taken an interest in sponsoring the “no plane” version of 911 attacks – that the explosions in the WTC Towers were caused by bombs planted inside or by missiles hitting them and that the airline jets were digitally added. He would have liked these theories, because they looked like fragments of the new “patrician” version. Our Vice President was probably not particularly concerned with whether or not this alternative story could have any impact on the 911 truth movement (like directing people's attention away from the more mainstream 911 truthers who allowed for remotely controlled planes hitting the towers): people will believe and disbelieve anything nowadays, and there are a hundred versions to each conspiracy even without government's COINTELPRO intervention. His real goal was to make sure that the US government may go to the UN and claim: “Look, there have been people, like Khalezov and the 'no plane' proponents, who have actually hit on the truth somewhat, even though ultimately they could never have imagined the whole truth, namely, it was the Chinese who pulled off 911!” Our Vice President must have also obliged the Chinese president to order his own MSS to forge documents showing that the Chinese intelligence MSS had been secretly promoting the mainstream 911 truth movement which claimed that the US government itself was responsible for 911 attacks! In this way, government elites around the world would be further duped into believing that the “patrician” version was the real story!

I'm claiming that Khalezov must have been lying when he said that, during his time at the Soviet military, he had personally seen the documents relating to the preset emergency demolition scheme for the WTC Twin Towers. Part of the deal struck between the United States and Russia back in February 2008 must have been allowing the United States to recruit Khalezov as its disinformation agent – to dupe the United Nations by confusing the 911 truth movements. A target of the United States' aggression for many years, Khalezov was now suddenly ordered by his Russian handler to make up the story of “Third Truth” – to make up the story that he had himself seen the emergency plan for Twin Tower's demolition back in the 1970s, when he was still a Soviet officer. Until I am able to get a confession from Khalezov himself, I have but the suspicious dates of his writing and copyrighting as the support of my theory concerning the origin of his “Third Truth”: that he has finished composing it around June 2008, that he has set up his website “911-truth.net” in December 2008, and that he put up his video on the Internet in March 2010 (just when Russia has won the International Court trial and was requiring the neocon gang to recommit their crime under Russia's watch: which would include instructing Khalezov, once more, to spread the word of the “third truth”).

One last crucial event I want to note is the United States' "psych-op" on our Madam President of the ICJ herself. Recall that, by the time my "conspiracy" with the MSS was established and the United States had begun requesting that the Chinese President order the forgery of the MSS' own records to frame China as a "terrorism-sponsoring state", the only judge left to oversee Chinese president's obedience to UN Resolution 1373 was the ICJ President judge Higgins herself. Now our Vice President would be interested in even making her *believe* that the United States was innocent of 911 attacks – even after she had seen the proofs herself. The CIA and the State Department lawyers would thus argue to judge Higgins that, to reverse the damage which the MSS director had inflicted on the United States and make China itself suffer the damage which it had intended to inflict on the United States, judge Higgins' bad impression of the United States must also be counted among the "damages". When judge Higgins agreed, she would then demand that the Chinese President work with the United States to convince her herself, *even after* she had seen the definitive proofs coming from the CIA archive which demonstrated that 911 attacks were perpetrated by the US government itself: "Find ways to make me believe that it is not the United States which has orchestrated 911 attacks and sponsored terrorism, but that it is your own spy chief who has done all this. Unless I can believe this, you, President of the People's Republic of China, will not have demonstrated your obedience to UN Resolution 1373." To obey international laws, the Chinese President thus worked with the CIA and the State Department on how to forge MSS documents showing how the MSS had been sponsoring terrorism around the world, how it had orchestrated 911 attacks to harm the United States, how it had forged documents blaming 911 attacks and so on onto the United States and planted them in the CIA's database, and how it had planned this whole lawsuit – the scenarios "proven" by these documents would be so realistic that Madam President herself actually did believe it, even knowing that it was she herself who had ordered the Chinese President to make her believe in a new scenario.

Now I don't know what this story is which was fed to judge Higgins. Either she was stupid or the Americans were just too good at lying and making up false stories – for, certainly, the Chinese President would have no idea how to make judge Higgins believe a new lie when it was judge Higgins herself who had demanded that he lie to her. Only the Americans, the greatest liars and hypocrites in the history of humankind, could pull this off, and the Chinese president simply did what the Americans had instructed – shocked by how expert the Americans were in making people believe lies. What I do know is that, while most of the documents which the Chinese president had forged to make judge Higgins believe 911 attacks and the sponsorship of terrorism were done by China's spy chief, were the same ones which the United States then brought to the United Nations to make nations around the world believe that the United States was innocent and China evil, the story which the United States had fed to judge Higgins could not include the detail that I was David Chin the twin brother of myself – which detail would however be present in the story fed to the United Nations. Judge Higgins could not possibly believe that I was not Lawrence Chin, for obvious reasons. Recall that no one in the UN, other than judge Higgins, even knew how exactly China had lost this case which at first sight seemed unbeatable – Judge Higgins' sole possession of the knowledge of how the United States had won its case and how "evidences" had been produced proving the United States' scenario that I was a twin brother of myself and a Chinese agent and so on would render her later on a target for our Vice

President. All that which the nations around the world knew was this: they thought that the MSS director had caught Mr Homeland Security Secretary lying about a terrorist suspect, and they saw all these evidences for something which everyone had been suspecting for a long time, that the CIA and the State Department had been setting up terrorist groups in Central Asia for two decades and that our Vice President had orchestrated 911 attacks. But, just when I was flying to Shanghai, the International Court was suddenly closed, and nobody knew what happened inside. Then, suddenly, the Court was opened again, and a horde of new evidences came forth, supposedly intercepted from within the MSS, telling them that all the evidences they had seen before were forged, that it had in fact been the MSS director who had carried out 911 attacks and sponsored terrorists, that I was not the terrorist suspect but his twin brother and a Chinese agent, and that the MSS director had planned out this whole operation to defraud the International Court of Justice and deceive everyone. No nations knew that they were being duped and that the deception was secretly ordered by the International Court of Justice because nobody had witnessed the episode where the United States had reinterpreted UN Resolution 1373 in such a way as to force China to convict itself. This was the whole extent of “Plan B” which the CIA's lawyers had thought out in the situation room back in November 2007. You should now understand just how ingenious the lawyers from the CIA were in hiding away the truth and making everyone in world's governments believe something else: dividing the International Court process into innumerable segments (“compartmentalization”) and making sure that no one outside each segment even knew that the segment existed – no nation especially was supposed to know about the secret enforcement of UN Resolution 1373 by judge Higgins on the basis of the MSS director's forging of evidence and my supposed intention to harm the United States.

In conclusion, our Vice President had basically decided to let the MSS director be his ultimate “fall guy” (Viktor Bout was his little “fall guy”). To comply with China's obligation under UN Resolution 1373, the Chinese president was forced to order his own spy agency (the MSS) to forge evidences to frame his own spy chief the MSS director for all these bizarre crimes: (1) supplying Al Qaeda with nuclear weapons to attack the United States on September 11, 2001; (2) luring the United States to Middle-East and Afghanistan to bleed itself in protracted wars; (3) fomenting dissents in the United States by secretly supporting 911 truth movements, and thus shifting the responsibilities for his own crime to the US government; (4) supporting terrorists and insurgents in their attacks on the United States in Middle-East and Afghanistan; (5) falsely accusing the United States of robbing Central Asia and Middle-East away from China and Russia; (6) tricking the US government to deprive Americans of their civil liberties; (7) conducting false flag attacks on China itself and then blaming them on the Uighur separatists; (8) then, of course, attempting to falsely convict the United States as a terrorism sponsoring state by defrauding the International Court using me to pretend to be a terrorist suspect (my twin brother). The government elites around the world would have been so shocked by this man's utter hatred for the good and honest United States, and so enraged by the devilish Chinckers who so dreamed of dethroning the Americans from their world-leadership role with these dirty and deceptive tactics. Mr Secretary must have let Karin and her meetup people in on these otherwise international security secrets: the “patrician version” and the discussions within the UN which the United States had hypocritically obliged other nations to keep in secrecy but which Mr Secretary of Homeland Security did not hesitate to leak to selected segments of the American population when it so pleased him. This is

why Karin so hated the Chinckers! When all these forged evidences were brought to the UN Security Council and the newly constituted International Court segment to issue judgments independently of judge Higgins' compartment, China was convicted as a terrorism-sponsoring state of the worst kind, namely supplying terrorists with nuclear weapons. The UN Security Council would vote that China be subjected to secret sanctions throughout 2008 and 2009, and both the International Court and the UN Security Council must have even required that China hand over all its nuclear weapon facilities (including its two-dozen ICBM silos in the mountains in central China and its one and only submarine equipped with strategic nuclear missiles, the Jin class) to American administration. If China did not comply with these resolutions, it would be faced with the most stringent outcry from the international community. Boycott and sanction from all the nations on earth must have forced China to comply with all these demands – for otherwise China could no longer engage itself in trades and diplomatic relations.

The documents which the Chinese president had forged under American request would contain evidences indicating that Iran had also been sponsoring terrorism to attack the United States, so that Iran as well as China would have been labeled a “terrorism sponsoring state” and subjected to sanction starting from mid-2008. The issue which both China and Russia had raised about Iran in the UN Security Council on January 22 would thus have been dissolved. I am however not versed in this side of the story, and shall leave the matter at that.

In regard to the “crimes” of the MSS director, I want to direct your attention to the Center for Strategic and International Studies' March 2008 update.¹⁴ An announcement concerning China can demonstrate to you how you should read an “official statement” on international relations – only by reference to my case at the International Court of Justice does the pronouncement make sense:

China Claims to Foil Terrorist Plot in Xinjiang

The Chinese government reported on March 9 that it forestalled a plot by terrorists to hijack a passenger plane and crash it into Beijing. This abortive attack has been linked to the Uighur Muslim independence movement in Xinjiang. Officials claim that this attack, which was to occur on the 11th anniversary of a famous explosion near Communist Party headquarters, would have sent a deeply symbolic message to the government. China is increasingly concerned with ensuring adequate security measures for the 2008 summer Olympics, especially in the wake of recent violent protest in Tibet. Earlier this year, China killed 2 and arrested 15 in Urumqi, Xinjiang, as it raided a terrorist cell that was planning an attack during the Olympics.

Numerous security experts are skeptical of China's claim, wary that China is likely using this report as a means of propaganda to justify harsh crackdowns in the name of Olympic security. As per its secretive reputation, China has released few significant details regarding the plot, and many are also unsure as to whether the Uighur East Turkmenistan Islamic Movement has the capacity or the desire to attack the Chinese Olympics.

¹⁴ http://csis.org/files/media/isis/pubs/tnt_03-08.pdf.

Can you guess at what the real occurrence was behind this report? It in fact refers to one of the events by which our Vice President could add the crime upon the MSS director of conducting false flag attacks on China itself and then blaming them on the Uighur separatists. Note that the announcement came one day after Viktor Bout's arrest. Coincidence? Or is it because our Vice President wanted the “patrician” version presented to the UN by the middle of March 2008? Since the MSS director had exposed the Vice President as carrying out a false flag attack on America itself on 9/11, the latter wanted to revenge by making sure that the whole world would believe that it was the MSS director himself who had been in the habit of conducting false flag attacks on his own country and then blaming it on scapegoats whom he intended to persecute. In other words, he wanted the world to believe that MSS director liked to frame others for his own crimes but make it look like it was his opponent (America) who liked to engage itself in this kind of dirty framing – ironically, this was precisely what our Vice President himself liked to do. He thus secretly ordered the MSS to send out agents to pretend to be Uighur militants planning on a hijacking plot, then ordered the MSS to intercept the plan itself, and finally commanded the Chinese government to announce that it had intercepted an Uighur terrorist plot to disrupt 2008 Olympics. Again, the Chinese president had to allow our Vice President to order the MSS to pretend to commit this kind of crime as part of China's obedience to Resolution 1373. Our Vice President would then make sure that his CIA and Homeland Security folks took the relevant documents for the planning of this black op out of the MSS and presented them to the International Court (or its new segment), convicting the MSS director thus of the crime of framing the Uighur separatists for bogus crimes. The US government would then take the evidences to the UN to show to government elites around the world that it was the MSS director himself who had been in the habit of doing false flag attacks. Moreover, since the US government had been supporting the Uighur separatist movement, it would use this instance to persuade the UN to pass another resolution supporting the Uighur movements against “Chinese oppression”. The Uighur movements would then never be added to the UN lists of terrorist organizations while Al Qaeda, now supported by the Chinese, would top all the lists. The “security experts” “skepticism of China's claim about Uighur terrorism” – other than continuing overtly West's double standard in regard to the designation of terrorism and covertly West's support of Islamic terrorism in China's and Russia's backdoor – was just a shadow cast by the world's elites' new perception that China had reprehensibly created devilish terrorists out of totally innocent dissenters. The “shadows” are all that would appear in public pronouncements, if they would appear at all.

At last I want to comment on how, as the forged evidences for China's sponsorship of terrorism around the world had been brought into the UN starting from March 2008, the internal dynamics of the United Nations would change during the rest of the year of 2008. Our Vice President had at last made nations of the world believe that our planet was under unprecedented threat because, thanks to the MSS director, terrorists around the world were now supplied with nuclear bombs. He had been trying, since 9/11, to make up stories of nuclear terrorism in order to exaggerate the fictitious terrorist threat into a planetary crisis so that he may have a pretext under which to militarily occupy oil rich nations everywhere and to start World War Three, but he had never had success. Now the nations around the world were forced to acknowledge that this fantasy threat of his invention was reality. Our Vice

President thus had the United States propose that the UN should keep all its sessions in secret, away from public knowledge, since most of what the UN shall discuss – dealing with nuclear terrorists around the world – would be too scary to ordinary people. The UN Assembly had no choice but to agree. From then on the UN would stage fake sessions and fake working groups as decoys – which would be put on the UN website to dupe the public into believing that these were real – while the real UN became increasingly like the “shadow government” which our Vice President had created of the United States government since 911 attacks. The American, or neoconservative, style of secret government through decoys was now adopted as the norm throughout the world. The UN had furthermore passed into resolution the related US proposal that China's new status as a “terrorism-sponsoring state” and the existence of this trial about me be kept out of public knowledge as well. In this way, the number-one priority of the UN sessions – combating nuclear terrorism – would be entirely kept out of the consciousness of ordinary people; the decoy staged shows of UN sessions which we get from the news and UN website would make us believe that the world has gone on just like before, as if this International Court trial – which has completely altered the dynamics in the UN Security Council – had never happened – as if the secret events I'm sharing with you here had never existed. This is what our Vice President had always wanted: to elevate the governing elites into a plane of reality that was completely incomprehensible to the masses because the masses were prevented from knowing about even a single thing which the elites were doing – the masses were fed with a false reality with which they would be satisfied as true and beyond which they thus would never venture. Meanwhile, in the United Nations, the United States had emerged impeccably law-abiding, guilt-free, and a victim of terrorism just as it had always presented itself to be, while China had taken all the fall, and had become the grotesque and disgusting antisocial in the eyes of the world. Russia, insofar as it had stood with China, was increasingly isolated. None of this new dynamic entered the consciousness of the common people around the world. Everything went on as if nations continued to deal with each other on an equal footing just like before. This is Cheney's universe, the ideal world according to neoconservatism: ordinary people must be made to live in the state of illusion and delusion.

When the United States came onto the scene, the world was filled with lies. What you have just seen is how the United States not just liked to lie, to make itself the wrong doer look good and to make the victims of its aggression look bad, but had been trying hard to make other nations with the habit of telling the truth – like China and Russia – into liars like itself. China, and Russia partly, had at last been forced by the United States to lie in order to make America the aggressor look like victim and themselves the victims of American aggression look like victimizers. Because China's state news reported truth more often than did the propaganda “free press” in the United States and Western Europe, the United States had been fomenting a propaganda campaign to label China's state news as hiding the truth from its people and to introduce its propaganda machines (CNN, etc.) to the Chinese people in order to destroy their perception of reality just as it had done the American people's. The United States and its NATO allies were not content with only deceiving their own people; they were upset with the fact that there were still nations in the world which told their own people the truth that the United States and Europe liked to use their stronger muscle to beat up weak people and rob them of their treasures. From mid-2008 onwards the United States and its allies had at last succeeded in forcing every nation of the world to lie like they did to people everywhere in the world, so that no human

beings outside the circles of government elites would know what was really going on in the world – so that no one can distinguish who were the evil doers and who were the victims.

I don't know how much government elites around the world knew about the American secret takeover of Chinese intelligence service and various other components of the Chinese government since 2008, even though I'm absolutely sure that no one among them knew that Americans have been using the international court system to secretly implant microchips into the heads of many Chinese government officials in order to remotely control them. I do know that the real reason why the US government has kept away from people's consciousness the supposed Chinese involvement in 911 and the rest of the terrorism business is that, in this way, no one would know that half of China has fallen under American control and that many of the terrible things which the Chinese government has done to make itself look bad are actually secretly commanded by the Americans in a sophisticated psych-op. People must not know anything about this episode of the International Court of Justice so that they may believe in the facade of “China growing more powerful” not knowing that China is actually becoming part of the United States. If our Vice President ever succeeds in provoking or remotely controlling the Russian government to war with the United States in World War III, the remnant of humanity who should survive it will then forever remember the evilness of China and Russia and accept the new totalitarian dictatorship above them as necessary for their protection against evil. The US government does not care if people might panic over the fantasy that terrorists are in possession of nuclear weapons. In fact, it wants people to panic. This is why, in the aftermath of China's lawsuit against the United States in the ICJ, our Vice President not only ordered a strike on Raul Reyes in order to forge his laptops showing the FARC was in possession of nuclear weapons – don't forget, in this framing, since Reye's computers also showed FARC's connections with all those leftwing South American nations unfriendly to the United States, from Ecuador to Venezuela, these nations would also risk being labeled “terrorism sponsoring states of the worst sort” – but he had also ordered Khalezov to spread around the falsehood that terrorists had been using mini-nukes to bomb buildings for many decades.¹⁵ Eventually these mini-nukes were supposed to be traced back to Russia, making Russia as well a “terrorism-sponsoring state of the worst sort”. When our Vice President remotely controls Obama, Russia, and China to fight each other in World War III and to exterminate the majority of human beings on earth in the process, Russia and China are supposed to be the “ultimate fall guys”, while Obama is supposed to be the “little fall guy”, in this genocide.

Addenda

- (1) In this interview with Daniel Estulin (<http://www.danielestulin.com/2010/10/22/entrevista-exclusiva-a-dimitri-khalezov-espanol-iv-y-ultima-parte/#more-2664>) Dimitri Khalezov has named the suit case nukes as “RA 115” and “RA 116”. Presumably when our Vice President ordered the Chinese to forge their own documents to frame themselves for selling mini-nukes to Al Qaeda, these were the types.
- (2) Note that, because of this trial in the International Court, the real identity of the MSS director had been carefully erased in the public domain. A search on the Internet for “director of China's

15 See the last chapter in Estulin's *The Shadow Masters*.

Ministry of State Security” would yield Geng Huichang (耿惠昌) as the man since August 2007. This is decoy false information. Geng was not the director of the MSS in late 2007 and early 2008.

ERRATA (May 2013)

In the foregoing I have not accurately depicted China's nuclear capacity which was under American administration between 2008 and 2010. In a latest report submitted by the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, “China's Military and the US-Japan Alliance in 2030: A Strategic Net Assessment” (Swaine, et al, May 3 2013)¹⁶ the authors report more accurately on the summation of China's nuclear arsenal as of 2013, which would not significantly differ from its 2008 state: “At present, China's inventory of nuclear weapons consists of roughly 240 nuclear warheads, about 50 of which are ICBMs capable of reaching the continental United States.” In January 9 2013 broadcast of 军情观察室¹⁷, it is reported that China's 300 something nuclear warheads are deployed on missiles set on mobile vehicles moving about in underground tunnels crisscrossing China. The tunnels add up to some 4800 kilometers.

16 Available at: . <http://carnegieendowment.org/2013/05/03/china-s-military-and-u.s.-japan-alliance-in-2030-strategic-net-assessment/g1wh>. The study is also mentioned in May 3 2013 broadcast of 直播港澳台:
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6Y7DBncRCeQ&feature=share&list=FLLc-JNKaHINvw2exrF0opcA>.

17 Available at: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FI nGlc p5Wps&feature=share&list=FLLc-JNKaHINvw2exrF0opcA>.